

# Introduction to QFT

## Bonus Assignment

Due on 24.02.16

1. The free real scalar field can be expanded as

$$\phi(x) = \int \frac{d^3x}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2E_p}} [a(p)e^{ipx} + a^\dagger(p)e^{-ipx}],$$

and the Klein-Gordon Hamiltonian is given by

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} E_p : a^\dagger(p)a(p) + a(p)a^\dagger(p) : . \quad (1)$$

Show explicitly that  $|k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n\rangle = a^\dagger(k_1)a^\dagger(k_2)\dots a^\dagger(k_n)|0\rangle$  is an eigenstate of  $H$  with energy  $E_{k_1} + E_{k_2} + \dots + E_{k_n}$ .

2. Classical electrodynamics (without sources) is described by

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}, \quad \text{with} \quad F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu . \quad (2)$$

Derive the Maxwell equations by treating the components of  $A_\mu$  as dynamical fields and write the equations in standard form by identifying  $E^i = -F^{0i}$  and  $\epsilon^{ijk}B^k = -F^{ij}$ .

3. The proper way to introduce gauge fixing to quantize the Maxwell field is done by introducing a scalar auxiliary field  $B$  (Nakanishi-Lautrup field), modifying the Lagrangian to

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + B\partial^\mu A_\mu + \frac{1}{2}\alpha B^2 . \quad (3)$$

Show that this is equivalent to

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{\alpha^{-1}}{2}(\partial^\mu A_\mu)^2 + \frac{\alpha^{-1}}{2}(\partial^\mu A_\mu + \alpha B)^2 . \quad (4)$$

Derive the the Euler-Lagrange equations for this system (now called quantum Maxwell equations) and show that this really reduces to the classical Lagrangian plus a gauge fixing term. Argue that  $\alpha = 0$  (Landau gauge) is the quantum equivalent to Lorenz gauge.

4. Calculate the vacuum expectation values (i.e. express them in terms of propagators) and draw the corresponding diagrammatic representations for

- (2%)  $\langle 0|T\phi(w)\phi(x)\phi(y)\phi(z)|0\rangle$
- (6%)  $\langle 0|T\phi^2(x)\phi^2(y)|0\rangle$
- (12%)  $\langle 0|T\phi^4(x)\phi^4(y)|0\rangle$

5. Consider a field theory with three different scalar field  $A, B, C$  where we only have an interaction of the form  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} = gABC$ . Look at the scattering process  $AB \rightarrow AB$  and show that disconnected diagrams do not give any contributions to the scattering part of the S-Matrix element  $\langle p'_A p'_B | S | p_A p_B \rangle$ . Do this by following the steps:

- Calculate the S-matrix element in 0th order of perturbation theory. Are the Feynman diagrams connected or disconnected? What's the physical meaning of this process?
- What's the situation at order  $\mathcal{O}(g)$ ?
- Identify the leading order in perturbation theory where  $AB \rightarrow AB$  scattering occurs.
- Use the LSZ reduction formula to calculate  $\langle p'_A p'_B | S | p_A p_B \rangle$  at leading order.
- Is this generalizable to any order in perturbation theory and  $n$ -body scattering?

6. In addition to the canonical quantization, there is another way to formulate a quantized theory. This approach is called path integral formalism. Let us look at a simple matrix element for a scalar field theory:

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu \hat{\phi})(\partial^\mu \hat{\phi}) - \frac{1}{2}m^2 \hat{\phi}^2 - V(\hat{\phi})$$

- Show that the Hamiltonian of the system is given by

$$\hat{H} = \int d^3x \left( \frac{1}{2} \hat{\Pi}^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \hat{\phi})^2 + \frac{1}{2} m^2 \hat{\phi}^2 + V(\hat{\phi}) \right).$$

Notice that we use  $\hat{A}$  to explicitly show a quantity is an operator! Now we want to calculate the overlap of two states at different times, i.e. the matrix element

$$\langle \phi' | e^{-i\hat{H}t} | \phi \rangle.$$

For technical reasons we have to regularize our theory, i.e. formulate the theory on a finite grid with spacing  $a$  and we will take  $a \rightarrow 0$  at the end. the Hamiltonian then reads

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{x \in \mathbf{R}^3} a^3 \left( \frac{1}{2} \hat{\Pi}^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\nabla_a \hat{\phi})^2 + \frac{1}{2} m^2 \hat{\phi}^2 + V(\hat{\phi}) \right) = \hat{H}_0(\hat{\Pi}) + \hat{U}(\hat{\phi}).$$

Further we will introduce two complete sets of eigenstates with the following properties

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\phi}(x)|\phi\rangle &= \phi(x)|\phi\rangle, & \mathbf{1} &= \int \mathcal{D}\phi |\phi\rangle \langle \phi|, & \mathcal{D}\phi &= \prod_{x \in \mathbf{R}^3} d\phi(x), \\ \hat{\Pi}(x)|\Pi\rangle &= \Pi(x)|\Pi\rangle, & \mathbf{1} &= \int \mathcal{D}\Pi |\Pi\rangle \langle \Pi|, & \mathcal{D}\Pi &= \prod_{x \in \mathbf{R}^3} d\Pi(x), \\ \langle \phi' | \phi \rangle &= \delta(\phi' - \phi), & \langle \Pi' | \Pi \rangle &= \delta(\Pi' - \Pi), & \langle \phi | \Pi \rangle &= \prod_{x \in \mathbf{R}^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp(ia^3 \phi(x)\Pi(x)). \end{aligned}$$

- Begin by computing  $\langle \phi' | e^{-i\hat{H}_0 t} | \phi \rangle$ . Do this by introducing an appropriate set of complete eigenstates and solving a Gaussian functional integral (Evaluate this integral as it was a usual c-number integral). The result will be

$$\langle \phi' | e^{-i\hat{H}_0 t} | \phi \rangle = \prod_{x \in \mathbf{R}^3} \sqrt{\frac{a^3}{2\pi t}} \exp\left(-\frac{a^3}{2t}(\phi'(x) - \phi(x))\right). \quad (5)$$

- Now calculate  $\langle \phi' | e^{-i\hat{H}t} | \phi \rangle$  by using the Trotter formula

$$\langle \phi' | e^{-i\hat{H}t} | \phi \rangle = \lim_{n_t \rightarrow \infty} \langle \phi' | \hat{W}_\epsilon | \phi \rangle, \quad \text{with } \hat{W}_\epsilon = e^{-\epsilon \hat{U}/2} e^{-\epsilon \hat{H}_0} e^{-\epsilon \hat{U}/2} \quad \text{and } \epsilon = t/n_t,$$

and inserting appropriate sets of eigenstates and using (5).

- Now take  $a \rightarrow 0$  and show that  $\langle \phi' | e^{-i\hat{H}t} | \phi \rangle = \int \mathcal{D}[\phi] e^{iS[\phi]}$ , where  $S[\phi]$  is the classical action without any operators,  $\int \mathcal{D}[\phi] = \prod_{x \in \mathbf{R}^4} d\phi(x)$  and we have dropped an awkward normalization constant that does not play a role for practical calculations.