

Charmed meson physics from three-flavour lattice QCD

S. Collins (Regensburg U.), *K. Eckert (Münster U.)*, J. Heitger (Münster U.), S. Hofmann (Regensburg U.), W. Söldner (Regensburg U.)



ALPHA Collaboration

Theoretical background

The branching ratio for the leptonic decay of a $D_{(s)}$ meson can be written as

$$\mathcal{B}(D_{(s)} \to l\nu) = \frac{G_F^2 |V_{cq}|^2}{8\pi} f_{D_{(s)}}^2 m_l^2 m_{D_{(s)}} \left(1 - \frac{m_l^2}{m_{D_{(s)}}^2}\right)^2,$$

with q = d, s. By measuring this ratio the product $|V_{cq}| f_{D_{(s)}}$ can be determined experimentally. Combined with a precise theoretical prediction for the leptonic decay constants $f_{D_{(s)}}$, the CKM-matrix elements $|V_{cd}|$ and $|V_{cs}|$ can be determined, enabling the unitarity of the CKM-matrix to be tested in detail.

• In QCD: f_D and f_{D_s} given by non-perturbative matrix elements

 $\langle 0|\overline{q}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{5}c|\mathrm{D}_{(s)}(p)\rangle = \mathrm{i}f_{\mathrm{D}(s)}p_{\mu}.$

Numerical tests of distance preconditioning

- Unmodified solver setup: locally deflated Schwarz preconditioned general conjugate residual solver (DFL_SAP_GCR) for light and strange quarks, conjugate gradient on the normal equations solver (CGNE) and DFL_SAP_GCR solver for heavy charm quarks
- Modified solver setup: DFL_SAP_GCR solver for I,s, distance preconditioned CGNE solver (CGNE_DP) & distance preconditioned SAP_GCR solver (SAP_GCR_DP) for h





• On the lattice: computation of decay constants via stochastic Monte Carlo simulations; derived from two-point correlation functions of the *pseudoscalar* density $P^{rs} = \overline{\psi}_r \gamma_5 \psi_s$ and the time component of the axial vector current $A_0^{rs} = \overline{\psi}_r \gamma_0 \gamma_5 \psi_s$, which are constructed from two mass non-degenerate valence quarks r and s as

$$f_{\rm PP}^{rs}(x_0) = -a^3 \sum_{\vec{x}} \langle P^{rs}(x) P^{sr}(0) \rangle , \ f_{\rm AP}^{rs}(x_0) = -a^3 \sum_{\vec{x}} \langle A_0^{rs}(x) P^{sr}(0) \rangle$$

 \rightarrow determined by Dirac structure at source and sink position and propagators (Green's functions of the massive lattice Dirac operator). Propagators S_i defined by:

 $\sum (D[U](x,y) + m_i) S_i(y,z) = \mathbb{1}\delta_{x,z}.$

Motivation for distance preconditioning

Check numerically if condition

$$\sum_{y} (D[U](x, y) + m_0) S^n(y) - \eta_t(x) \bigg| < r_{gl}$$

is satisfied, with D[U]: discretized lattice Dirac operator, m_0 : quark mass in lattice units, $S^n(y)$: approximate solution of propagator at the n-th iteration of the solver procedure, $\eta_t(x)$: stochastic noise source located on single time-slice t, r_{ql} : global numerical accuracy one likes to achieve.



solver show increased accuracy for solution of heavy-heavy *correlator* on sample of CLS configurations (top left) and derived *effec*tive PS meson mass $m_{eff} = \ln \left(\frac{f_{PP}(x_0)}{f_{PP}(x_0+1)} \right)$ (top right). The behaviour of the local residual $r_{loc} = \frac{|AS - \eta_t|(x_0)|}{|S(x_0)|}$ was tested for unmodified CGNE, modified CGNE_DP and modified SAP_GCR_DP solver (right; SAP_GCR_DP shown in blue)



- Problem: time-slices y_0 far away from source at x_0 exponentially suppressed by factor $\propto \exp(-m_0 y_0)$
- Contributions to norm negligible for heavy quarks
- Solutions for large time extents $|x_0 y_0|$ increasingly inaccurate
- ▶ Proposed improvement: implement Distance Preconditioning [1] via diagonal preconditioning matrix P:

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} p_1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0\\ 0 & p_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 0\\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 0\\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & p_T \end{pmatrix} \text{ with } p_i = \exp(\alpha_0 \cdot |x_0 - y_{0_i}|)$$

P is unity in spin, color and spatial coordinates \rightarrow time-slices receive different exponential weight (α_0 acts as control parameter; optimal: $\alpha_0 \approx \frac{m_{eff}}{2}$)

• Instead of original system consider preconditioned system:

 $AS = \eta$ with $A = (D[U] + m_0) \longrightarrow A'S' = \eta' \Leftrightarrow (PAP^{-1})(PS) = (P\eta)$

 \Rightarrow solve for PS and scale with P^{-1} to obtain original solution S

Computational details & techniques

Numerical tests were performed on several Coordinated Lattice Simulations ensembles (https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/CLS/WebHome) with tree level improved Lüscher-Weisz gauge action [2] & Sea of $N_{\rm f} = 2 + 1$ (2 light mass degenerate + strange) non-perturbatively O(a) improved Wilson quarks:

Considerable accuracy gain from unmodified solver setup (50 configurations of CLS H105r002 ensemble, top left) to modified setup for heavy-strange (with $r_{ql} = 10^{-4}$, $\alpha_0 = 0.7$, $r_{loc} < 10^{-10}$, top right).

Further steps and outlook



• Chiral extrapolation to physical point, two proposed strategies: $(2m_l + m_s) = const$. or $m_s = const$. (lines of constant physics along renormalization group trajectories); examples for f_D (top left) and $f_{D_{(s)}}$ (top right) borrowed from complementary poster by Stefan Hofmann (RQCD) presented at "Lattice 2016" and extracted from

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_A(x_0, y_0) &= \frac{f_{PS}}{2} A(y_0) e^{-m_{PS}(x_0 - y_0)} \ , \ \mathcal{C}_P(T - y_0, y_0) &= \frac{|A(y_0)|^2}{2m_{PS}} e^{-m_{PS}(T - 2y_0)} \\ &\text{with } A(y_0) &= \langle 0|P|PS \rangle \ , \ f_{PS} \cdot m_{PS} &= \langle 0|A_0|PS \rangle \end{aligned}$$

- Simulations performed using openQCD code [3], with overall computational setup described in detail in [4]
- Using 16 U(1) noise sources $\eta_t(x) = \delta_{t,x_0} \exp(i\phi(\vec{x}))$ located on randomly chosen time slices t [5] so that solving the Dirac equation once for each noise vector $\zeta_t^r = 1$ $Q^{-1}(m_{0,r})\eta_t = a^{-1}(D+m_{0,r})^{-1}\gamma_5\eta_t$ suffices to estimate the two-point functions projected onto zero momentum

 $a^{3} f_{\rm XP}^{rs}(x_{0}) = \sum_{\vec{x}} \langle [\zeta_{t}^{r}(x_{0}+t,\vec{x})]^{\dagger} \Gamma \zeta_{t}^{s}(x_{0}+t,\vec{x}) \rangle , \ \Gamma = 1/\gamma_{0} \text{ for } {\rm X} = {\rm P}/{\rm A}$

- Utilizing so called Γ method developed within ALPHA Collaboration [6] \rightarrow error estimation by explicit determination of autocorrelation functions and times (more certain error estimates than binning techniques, but requires continuous Monte Carlo series)
- Continuum limit extrapolation (lattice spacing $a \rightarrow 0$), extra care has to be taken with regard to extremely localized charm quark
- Non-perturbative determination of renormalization factors (recently computed within ALPHA Collaboration)

Goal: Precision measurement of the pseudoscalar decay constants f_D and $f_{D_{(s)}}$ to further explore already existing tensions between lattice calculations and experimental findings in the heavy flavour sector.

References

[1] G.M. de Divitiis, R. Petronzio, N. Tantalo, Phys.Lett. B 692 (2010) 157-160, arXiv:1006.4028. [2] M. Lüscher and P. Weisz, Commun.Math.Phys. 97 (1985) 59, doi:10.1007/BF01206178. [3] M. Lüscher and S. Schaefer, JHEP 1107 (2011) 036, arXiv:1105.4749. [4] M.Bruno et al., JHEP 1502 (2015) 043, arXiv:1411.3982. [5] R. Sommer, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. 42 (1995) 186, hep-lat/9411024; M. Foster and C. Michael, Phys. Rev. D 59 (1999) 074503, hep-lat/9810021. [6] U. Wolff, Comput.Phys.Commun. 156 (2004) 143, hep-lat/0306017