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Speech rhythm in varieties of English

Native varieties of English (British, American, Canadian, Australian, New Zealand English) have been described as stress-timed. Nativised varieties of English (Indian, Nigerian, Jamaican, Singapore English and others) have been described as more syllable-timed than native varieties (Platt et al. 1984, 136; Wells 1982). Previous research on Nigerian (Gut 2005; Gut and Milde 2002; Gibbon and Gut 2001) and Singapore English (Deterding 1994; Deterding 2001; Low et al. 2000), among others, provided evidence for lower durational variability compared to British English.

Phonological features of Indian English

Phonological features indicative of a tendency for syllable-timing have been attributed to Indian English (IndE):

- Vowel reduction not as strong as in British English (BrE)
- Monophthongisation of /ei/ to /e/ and /ou/ to /u/ (monophthongs shorter)
- Tense-lax contrast not maintained by some speakers of IndE
- Consonant cluster reduction

Research questions

Any acoustic evidence for attributing a tendency towards syllable-timing to IndE? What influence, if any, does a speaker’s L1 have on their speech rhythm in IndE?

Data: Read and spontaneous speech

Reading (two to three minutes) and spontaneous speech (ca. five minutes) for 16/11 speakers of IndE and 10/9 speakers of BrE (read/spontaneous). Mock police interrogation to elicit spontaneous yet highly comparable spontaneous speech (Nolan et al. 2006). Informants play the role of a suspect in a drug trafficking case. All knowledge they possess about the case is displayed in red. These cognitive demands make them less self-conscious.

Sociological profile of informants

Indian informants are students at a prestigious university in Hyderabad, Andhra Pradesh, are highly fluent in English and for the most part went to prestigious English-medium convent schools. They have either Hindi, Bengali (Indo-European), Telugu or Malayalam (Dravidian) as L1. Interviews were conducted in early 2012 by the author. British informants are students at Cambridge University and speak Standard Southern British English. Interviews were conducted by the DyVS research group (Nolan et al. 2006).

Methodology

Automatic segmentation with HTK toolkit and P2FA, corrected manually → durations of vocalic and consonantal intervals
- Segmentation by the same rater for both BrE and IndE, ensuring high comparability
- Syllabification (Maximum Onset principle)
The following metrics were computed for all inter-pausal intervals longer than 4 syllables/4 vocalic intervals, last syllable discarded:
  - Global metrics, not normalised for speech rate: ∆ C, ∆ V, % V (Ramus et al. 1999)
  - Global, normalised metrics: VarcoC, VarcoV (Dellwo 2006; White and Matys 2007)
  - Local metrics: nPVI-C, nPVI-V (Low et al. 2000)
- From the above, speech rate-normalised vocalic metrics have been found to be more reliable (White and Matys 2007; Wiget et al. 2012).
- Syllabic metrics: nPVI-VC (Lis et al. 2009), nPVI-S, Rhythm Ratio (Gibbon and Gut 2001), Variability Index (Deterding 1994; Deterding 2001), YARD (Wagner and Dellwo 2004), VarcoS (Ratchie and Smith 2011)

Control/Compensation Index: CCI-V, CCI-C (Bertinetto and Berti 2002; Dellwo 2004), VarcoS (Ratchie and Smith 2011)

All metrics were calculated individually for every inter-pausal interval. The speaker score is the median of all such intervals from one speaker.

Hypotheses

- The metrics will show lower variability for IndE than for BrE. Normalised vocalic metrics in particular should show this, but also syllabic metrics.
- Speech rhythm differences between IndE and BrE are more pronounced in spontaneous speech than in reading.
- CCI will be further towards compensation for BrE, and control for IndE.
- No differences between speakers of IndE depending on L1.

Results: Overview

Significantly less variability in IndE than in BrE (repeated measures t-tests on speaker scores, others p>0.1):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>BrE Mean</th>
<th>IndE Mean</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>nPVI-VC</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%V</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Vocalic variability (reading)

Lower variability of vocalic interval durations for IndE (N=16) than for BrE (N=10) speakers.

Syllabic variability (spontaneous)

Lower variability of syllable and vocalic interval durations for brE (N=11) than for BrE (N=9) speakers. This is the only syllable-based metric showing near-significant differences.
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