Morphosyntactic complexities in (North-Eastern) Siberia: evidence for contact-induced convergence?

Alexey Vinyar¹, Tatiana Kazakova¹, Alexandra Nogina², Alexey Baklanov¹, Daria Ignatenko², Ksenia Lapshina¹, and Ivan Stenin¹

¹HSE University ²Independent researcher

Our study is devoted to areal linguistic patterns of North-Eastern Siberia and possible (pre)historic contact situations underlying them. We study 6 morpho-syntactic variables on the sample of 69 languages, covering all 9 language families which at least partially enter Siberia. Using an additional 120-language variety sample covering the world we show that this clustering is not well-attributed to chance resemblance, genealogical inheritance and/or general functional-typological selection and indeed bear a contact explanation.

For six variables studied we show (following Ranacher et al., 2021) that geographical inclination towards North-Eastern Siberia is a predicting factor. The patterns we find cross previously widely discussed contact/genealogical subgroupings such as 'Transeurasian/Altaic' (see Robbeets & Savelyev, 2020) or Uralic-Yukaghir (see Nikolaeva, 1988). For these patterns we provide separate tentative contact-based scenarios extrapolating back in time to more small-scaled previous analyses (e.g. de Reuse, 1994; Anikin & Helimsky, 2007; Pakendorf, 2020; Pupynina & Aralova, 2021).

For all variables we study, Sakha is an outlier, which speaks for contact scenarios taking place before Sakha's intrusion (see Pakendorf, 2007: 7-10). For several variables pattern-borrowing without matter-borrowing seems as a most plausible analysis, which speaks for a multilingualism of a special kind (see Epps, 2009: 587-590). Additionally, some distributions provide a clue for more detailed hypotheses.

For example, we propose that the function of Locative case to mark Goal participants is associated with the spread of Northern Tungusic people (see Pakendorf & Aralova, 2020; Whaley & Oskolskaya, 2020). The spread of Nominal Tense is partly attributed to contacts between Tungusic and Samoyedic peoples (see Anikin & Helimsky, 2007), and the presence of dedicated Attenuative in languages of North-Easternmost Siberia can be due to Yupik influence. Finally, some patterns exhibit distributions which cannot be attributed to well-attested contact situations. Thus, presence of dedicated Prolative case and lexically-heavy denominal verbalizers in Northernmost languages can be either attributed to hypothetical Yupik-like substrate (see Gusev, 2021) or to complexification-due-to-isolation (see e.g. Trudgill, 2011: 30-42).

References

- Anikin, A. E., & Helimsky, E. A. (2007). Samodijsko-tunguso-man'chzhurskie leksicheskie svyazi [Samoyedic-Tungusic lexical links]. Moscow: Languages of Slavic cultures.
- de Reuse, W. J. (1994). Siberian Yupik Eskimo: The language and its contacts with Chukchi. Salt Lake City: University of Utah press.
- Epps, P. (2009). Language classification, language contact, and Amazonian prehistory. *Language* and linguistics compass(3), 581-606.

- Gusev, V. Y. (2021). Towards a typological profile of the North Siberian substrate. *Voprosy Jazykoznanija*(5), 26-58.
- Nikolaeva, I. (1988). *Problema uralo-yukagirskix geneticheskix svyazej* [The question of Uralic-Yukaghir genetic links] (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). Institute of linguistics of the RAS, Moscow.
- Pakendorf, B. (2007). Contact in the prehistory of the Sakha (Yakuts): Linguistic and genetic perspectives. Utrecht: LOT.
- Pakendorf, B. (2020). Contact and Siberian languages. The handbook of language contact, 669-688.
- Pakendorf, B., & Aralova, N. (2020). Even and the Northern Tungusic languages. *The Oxford guide to the Transeurasian languages*, 288-304.
- Pupynina, M., & Aralova, N. (2021). Lower Kolyma multilingualism: Historical setting and sociolinguistic trends. *International journal of bilingualism*, 25(4), 1081-1101.
- Ranacher, P., Neureiter, N., van Gijn, R., Sonnenhauser, B., Escher, A., Weibel, R., ... Bickel, B. (2021). Contact-tracing in cultural evolution: A bayesian mixture model to detect geographic areas of language contact. *J R Soc Interface*.
- Robbeets, M., & Savelyev, A. (Eds.). (2020). The Oxford guide to the Transeurasian languages. Oxford: Oxford University press.
- Trudgill, P. (2011). Sociolinguistic typology: Social determinants of linguistic complexity. Oxford: Oxford University press.
- Whaley, L. J., & Oskolskaya, S. (2020). The classification of the Tungusic languages. The Oxford guide to the Transeurasian languages, 81-91.