

**Doctoral Regulations
of the Departments of History/Philosophy and Philology
at Münster University
of July 26, 2012
[including later amendments]**

In accordance with paragraph 67 section 3 sentence 2 of the law regarding the universities of the federal state of North Rhine-Westphalia (Higher Education Act - HG) in the version of the law on the liberty of universities (Hochschulfreiheitsgesetz -HFG) of January 1, 2007 (GV.NRW. S.474), Münster University has issued the following regulations:

Table of contents

Preamble

I. Basic Principles

§ 1 Objectives

§ 2 Implementation of the Doctoral Studies Program

§ 3 Structure of the Doctoral Studies Program

§ 4 Forms of the Doctoral Studies Program

§ 5 Doctoral Subjects

II. Doctoral Studies

§ 6 Admission to the Doctoral Studies Program

§ 7 Crediting of Requirements of Doctoral Program

§ 8 Supervision/Advisors

§ 9 Study Requirements of the Doctoral Program

III. Doctoral Examination

§ 10 Admission to the Doctoral Examination

§ 11 Review of the Dissertation

§ 12 Oral Defense

§ 13 Calculation of the Overall Grade

§ 14 Publication of the Dissertation

§ 15 Conferral of the Diploma

§ 16 Invalidity of Doctoral Requirements

§ 17 Honorary Doctorate

IV. Final Clauses

§ 19 Transition Phase

§ 21 Entry into Force

Appendix A

Appendix B

Appendix C

Appendix D

Preamble

The departments of History/Philosophy and Philology consider themselves a community of disciplines and departments with a high potential for interdisciplinary discourse. They pursue the goal of strengthening the humanities and social sciences at Münster University, making them visible both nationally and internationally. They recognize that the training of future academics is of particular importance.

The departments of History/Philosophy and Philology jointly award the degree of *Doctor philosophiae* (Dr. phil.) in the expectation that its bearer will continue to demonstrate in future life the objectivity and independence proven during his/her studies and with his/her dissertation.

I. Basic Principles

§ 1 Objectives

(1) With the doctorate, the departments of History/Philosophy and Philology offer particularly qualified future academics the opportunity to do independent academic work within an area of expertise of their discipline, in the framework of a structured and closely supervised study program of usually three years. The arguments elaborated in the dissertation and publicly defended in the oral defense claim to enhance the state of research in the area covered.

(2) In appreciation of this achievement, the degree of *Doctor philosophiae* (Dr. phil.) is awarded by the departments of History/Philosophy and Philology.

§ 2 Implementation of the Doctoral Program

(1) The departments of History/Philosophy and Philology form a doctoral examination committee with joint decision-making power (Joint Committee). Subject to the approval of the *Fachbereichsräte* (department councils) of the departments of History/Philosophy and Philology, disciplines from other departments can also join these doctoral regulations.

(2) The members of the committee are determined by the *Fachbereichsräte* (department councils) of the departments involved. The details are provided by the regulations for the Joint Committee of the departments of History/Philosophy, Philology, Educational and Social Science, Psychology and Sport Sciences.

(3) The committee should meet regularly at least once each semester and additionally whenever needed. The committee shall have a quorum if no less than three members are present (at least two of them from the status group of university professors). Minutes of the meeting shall be taken.

(4) The committee elects a chairperson and a deputy chairperson from the group of university professors for the duration of the electoral term. The chairperson convokes and leads the committee meetings, manages day-to-day business and represents the committee. He/she is obliged to keep the committee informed on a regular basis. The committee may assign tasks to the chairperson that were attributed to the committee by the doctoral degree regulations. This does not apply to decisions as stated under § 11 section 8.

(5) Unless these doctoral regulations stipulate otherwise, the Joint Committee takes all decisions in view of the implementation of the doctoral procedure. It ensures that the doctoral procedure is carried out correctly and in line with these regulations. Upon request of the departments involved, it

gives an account of the progress made in the examinations, study periods and assessments. Acting on its own initiative, it shall draw attention to possible undesirable developments and the need for changes to the doctoral regulations. Its members have the right to introduce modifications to the doctoral regulations as well as take all decisions as stipulated in these regulations.

§ 3 Structure of the Doctoral Program

(1) The doctoral degree is obtained in *one* subject, generally over the course of three years. The doctorate includes

a. a structured and individually supervised doctoral studies program of usually three years (see appendix B) during which time the student writes a dissertation in line with section 4. The extension of a Bachelor or Master thesis completed before the beginning of the doctoral studies as part of a university or state examination procedure into a dissertation is only allowed if the thesis in question has not been published yet, neither entirely nor in part.

b. an oral defense.

(2) The subject of the supervised academic doctoral studies program is also the subject of the dissertation. The details are provided in the supervision agreement (see § 8 section 4).

(3) The regular study period of three years can be shortened, usually by not more than one year. It can be extended if full-time doctoral studies are not possible because the student is working, takes care of children, etc., or else if the subject cannot be dealt with in three years for academic reasons. The details are provided in the supervision agreement (see § 8 section 4).

(4) The doctoral studies are completed by the following exam requirements:

- a dissertation written in German or, in justified cases, another language. On the reasoned request of particular departments, the Joint Committee can admit several written papers as a cumulative dissertation, provided that they equal a regular dissertation in length and quality (see appendix C).

- a final oral examination held in German or English language in which the arguments of the dissertation are justified and defended in the context of the whole academic field (oral defense, see § 12).

§ 4 Forms of the Doctoral Program

(1) The doctorate at the Departments of History/Philosophy and Philology includes a supervised, structured doctoral studies program, either

- as an individual doctorate or

- as part of a graduate school or graduate college affiliated with the departments or

- in the context of an agreement between the Joint Committee and institutions (such as departments, graduate schools, research facilities, etc.) which are not part of the Departments of History/Philosophy and Philology or Münster University (see appendix A).

(2) If the doctorate is conducted within the framework of a graduate school, in addition to these doctoral regulations of the Departments of History/Philosophy and Philology, regulations may be adopted by the Joint Committee containing supplementary policies for this graduate school.

§ 5 Doctoral Subjects

Doctoral subjects are:

Egyptology
Near Eastern Archaeology
Arabic and Islamic Studies
Christian Archaeology
Classical Archaeology
Byzantine Studies
Ethnology
Geography
Ancient History
Medieval History
Modern and Contemporary History
Eastern European History
Auxiliary Sciences of History
Coptology
Cultural Anthropology
Art History
Music Therapy
Musicology
Dutch Studies
Orthodox Theology
Ancient Near Eastern Studies
Baltic Studies
German Studies
English Studies
Greek Studies
Latin Studies
Medieval and Neo-Latin Studies
Dutch Studies
Orthodox Theology
Nordic Studies
East and West Slavic Studies
Romance Studies (focus on French, Italian, Spanish)
Semitic Studies
Philosophy
Religious Studies (Department 02)
Religious Studies (Department 01)
Sinology
Language Teaching Research
General Linguistics
Indo-Germanic Linguistics
Prehistory and Early History
Economic Policy
Jewish Studies

II. Doctoral Studies

§ 6 Admission to the Doctoral Program

Please note: This is an unofficial translation provided for your convenience! Only the German version is legally binding!

(1) The admission to the doctoral program requires an enrolment to the doctoral studies program. The doctoral subject is usually the discipline in which the university degree was obtained. In justified cases, a different subject can be chosen (see § 6 section 4).

(2) Enrolment requires proof of having obtained one of the following degrees:

a) a degree obtained after completing a program with a standard course of study of at least 8 semesters, for which a diploma is awarded that does not bear the title "Bachelor" (see § 67 section 4a HG)

b) a degree obtained from a relevant course of study with, as a rule, the grade of 1,50 or better and a study period of at least six semesters and a subsequent study program preparing adequately for the doctoral degree in the subject of the dissertation (see § 67 section 4b HG). This program may be concluded before enrolling in the doctoral program or else during. The details are provided by the president of the Joint Committee at the suggestion of the first advisor or the supervising group within the framework of the supervision agreement (see section 4).

c) a degree obtained after completing a Master's program with a standard study period of two to four semesters, preceded by a Bachelor's degree (see § 61 section 2 sentence 2 HG).

The grade point average of the degrees as per a) and c) must be at least 2,50. Exceptions from this rule require the decision of the president of the Joint Committee in consultation with the suggested first advisor.

(3) Relevant degrees from universities outside the scope of the German Basic Law (*Grundgesetz*) may be recognized upon request if they are equivalent to the degrees stated in section 2.

(4) A degree is considered relevant if the subject of the degree matches the chosen doctoral subject. In exceptional cases, the president of the Joint Committee may recognize another subject as relevant if the advisor or the supervising group confirms the professional and personal aptitude of the candidate for the doctoral subject. In consultation with the first advisor, the president may oblige the candidate to complete additional studies during the doctoral program in order to compensate for academic deficits.

(5) The candidate must provide certification of foreign language proficiency in accordance with appendix B. In exceptional cases, the president in consultation with the first advisor or the supervising group can allow that

a) the candidate catches up on the lacking language skills during the study program,

b) one of the languages stated in appendix B is replaced by another foreign language

c) the candidate does not need to provide certification of proficiency in one of the required languages.

(6) Acceptance to the doctoral program further requires signing a supervision agreement, in which the first advisor or the supervising group or else the educational institution (graduate school)

- names the members of the supervising group

- confirms the aptitude of the doctoral candidate

- sees to the candidate's supervision within the framework of a structured academic studies program and, if need be, additional qualification measures, all bindingly agreed upon between the doctoral candidate and the members of the supervising group.

(7) If the candidate meets the requirements, the Examination Office in charge of doctoral students issues a certificate to be submitted to the Student Admissions Office.

(8) A rejection of the candidate must be established in writing and provide instructions for legal remedies.

§ 7 Crediting of Requirements of Doctoral Program

(1) Academic credits in the doctoral subject earned at another German or foreign university as well as relevant requirements stemming from academic activity at a university or academic institution may be credited in part or to the full extent of the doctoral studies program.

(2) Crediting performances to the full extent of the doctoral studies program is only possible if the advisor is a faculty member of the Departments of History/Philosophy or Philology of Münster University.

(3) The crediting is granted on the grounds of a statement of the advisor.

(4) The doctoral candidate must be enrolled at Münster University during the oral defense (see § 10).

§ 8 Supervision/Advisors

(1) The doctoral candidate is supported by an individual supervising group of at least two and not more than three members. The advisors must belong to the group of university teachers or be qualified through a "habilitation", without prejudice to the application of sentences 3 and 4. Retired professors or those relieved of their position as well as, upon special request, honorary professors may also serve as advisors. Furthermore, fellows of the DFG Emmy-Noether program who lead a research team at Münster University are permitted to supervise members of their own group in a doctoral procedure. Fellows from other programs leading a research team at Münster University are only allowed to be an advisor to a member of their group if their academic position is found equal to the position of junior professor by the Joint Committee by a two-thirds majority. Heads of research teams may only serve as advisors to doctoral candidates outside of their group if they have been solicited to do so by the Joint Committee on reasoned request. In any case, supervision is carried out by a *group* of advisors. This group is at least made up of

- one first advisor who usually represents the doctoral subject at Münster University as a full-time professor. If appointed to another university after accepting the supervising position, he/she can continue the procedures within the framework of these doctoral regulations.

- one second advisor who may also represent a subject different from those stated under § 5 or may be a member of another department or another German or foreign university. The second advisor can be appointed after the enrolment, but not later than in the second year of study.

(2) The doctoral candidate may submit a proposal for the composition of the supervising group. However, there is no obligation to meet his/her request.

(3) The responsibilities of the supervising group are the development of a structured study plan geared towards the individual strengths and needs of the doctoral candidate as well as counselling and support with academic questions, always based on the continued revision and assessment of the candidate's progress with regard to the doctoral program and the dissertation.

(4) A written supervision agreement is agreed upon between the doctoral candidate and the advisors (see appendix D). The supervision agreement contains:

Please note: This is an unofficial translation provided for your convenience! Only the German version is legally binding!

- a. the plans and goals of the candidate,
- b. the qualifications to be gained by the candidate from the viewpoint of the advisors (see appendix B),
- c. the individual study program (see appendix B)
- d. the work and time schedule
- e. the responsibilities and obligations of the advisors

If, at some point, the process requires a change of the original planning, the supervision agreement must be adapted accordingly.

5) The agreement can be terminated unilaterally if the continuation does not seem to make sense any more. However, a mediator must be consulted beforehand (e.g. the president of the Joint Committee or the head of a graduate school).

(6) The Joint Committee can dissolve the supervision agreement when both parties are in serious breach of the same, and end the procedures.

(7) For the individual doctorate, details about structure and content of the doctoral studies program are stipulated on a case-by-case basis. Graduate schools or graduate colleges have their own regulations.

§ 9 Study Requirements of the Doctoral Program

The doctoral studies program (see § 3 section 1) usually takes six semesters and includes

- a dissertation (see § 3 section 4)
- subject-specific complementary studies according to appendix B or as provided by a graduate school
- an oral defense (see § 3 section 4)

III. Doctoral Examination

§ 10 Admission to the Doctoral Examination

(1) The doctoral candidate submits an application for admission to the doctoral examination in German or English to the chairperson of the Joint Committee. The application must state the topic of the dissertation, the advisor and the examination subject.

(2) The following documents are to be attached:

- a study or job-related curriculum vitae
- proof of the course work properly performed in the context of the doctoral studies program (see § 9 and appendix B) or full credits in accordance with § 7
- certification of the language skills that might have had to be made up (see § 6 section 5 and appendix B)
- the dissertation in three copies, or in the case of a cumulative dissertation:
 - all parts of the dissertation
 - a confirmation from the group of advisors that all parts of the dissertation are available in a published or publishable version in accordance with the supervision agreement (subject-specific regulations see appendix C)

- in the case of co-authorship, an exact definition of the individual contribution
- if available, a listing of other academic publications
- a written declaration that the doctoral candidate wrote the dissertation independently, indicated all sources and resources used, and has not already submitted the dissertation as part of an exam at a different institution.
- a common data carrier with the text of the dissertation stored in a common data format as well as a written declaration by the candidate in which he/she consents to
 - a comparison of the dissertation with other texts in order to find matches and
 - the storage of the dissertation in a data base for the same purpose

(3) If the application is rejected, the candidate may file an objection, on which the Joint Committee decides in consultation with the group of advisors. The notice of objection must be justified, provided with information on legal remedies and delivered by mail. Once the shortcomings have been remedied, the doctoral candidate may apply for admission to the doctoral examination again.

(4) The application for admission to the doctoral examination can be withdrawn as long as neither one of the dissertation reviews has been turned in. In case of withdrawal, the application is deemed not to have been submitted.

§ 11 Review of the Dissertation

(1) For the submitted dissertation, the chairperson of the Joint Committee appoints two reviewers whose qualifications must correspond to the qualifications specified in § 8 section 1. At least one of them must be a full-time representative of the doctoral subject at Münster University. As a rule, the first advisor prepares the first review. The second report is usually prepared by the second advisor or by a representative of one of the subjects listed under § 5. One of the reviewers can be a professor from another university. In case of an interdisciplinary dissertation, the second review may also be prepared by a representative of a subject not mentioned in § 5, provided that a separate explanatory statement is given.

(2) In special cases, a third expert can be called in who usually represents the doctoral subject and who may also be a member of another university.

(3) The reviewers report to the Joint Committee within three months in the form of a written review of the dissertation. Stating and justifying their reasons, they propose the acceptance or rejection of the dissertation. At the same time, they suggest a mark. The following rating system applies:

- summa cum laude (1 = with distinction)
- magna cum laude (2 = very good)
- cum laude (3 = good)
- rite (4 = passed)
- insufficenter (5 = insufficient)

(4) Based on the reviews, the chairperson of the Joint Committee determines the grade of the dissertation by forming the arithmetic mean of all the reviews' grades. For decimal values up to '5', the overall grade is rounded down; for decimal values of '6' or more, it is rounded up. The distinction "summa cum laude" can only be assigned if it is awarded unanimously by all reviewers. If one of the reviewers does not award "summa cum laude", the overall grade cannot be better than "magna cum laude". Should the grades be more than one whole grade apart, the Joint Committee can appoint a

third reviewer (see section 2). The third assessment is taken into account, again, by forming the arithmetic mean of all three grades.

(5) The reviewers may require the doctoral candidate to revise the dissertation in a certain way before publication.

(6) The dissertation together with the reports are made available to all authorized examiners of the departments 08 and 09 as well as of any co-opted subjects in accordance with § 8 section 1. All authorized examiners are notified and are entitled to submit a statement within the display period. Intended statements are to be announced within the display period and must be submitted two weeks after the end of the display period at the latest.

(7) The dissertation is considered rejected if both reviewers suggest rejection. It is considered accepted if both reviewers suggest their acceptance and no other authorized examiner has recommended rejection.

(8) If one of the reviewers or another authorized examiner in a reasoned statement suggests the rejection of the dissertation, the chairperson of the Joint Committee may solicit a third review (see section 2). If the majority of the reviewers recommend rejection, the dissertation is considered rejected. In cases of doubt, the Joint Committee decides upon the matter in consultation with the group of advisors or the board of the educational institution (graduate school, graduate college).

(9) If the dissertation is rejected, the doctoral candidate must be informed of this in a reasoned statement. § 10 section 3 shall apply accordingly. With the rejection of the dissertation the doctorate is finished.

(10) The doctoral candidate may submit one revised version of the dissertation within two years after receiving the notification of rejection of the dissertation. If the doctoral candidate misses the deadline or if the dissertation is rejected again within the retry period of two years, the doctoral examination is considered as irreversibly failed. Another repetition, even with a dissertation on a different topic, is not possible.

(11) The original copies of the dissertation are handed over to the graduates after completion of the doctoral program (see § 11 section 9 as well as § 15 section 3).

§ 12 Oral Defense

(1) The subject of the oral defense is the same as the subject of the dissertation. The exam consists of an academic discussion of 90 minutes. It usually takes place no later than six months after the end of the display period and is open to all staff and students of Münster University. Upon reasoned request, the chairperson of the Joint Committee can allow parties from outside the WWU to join the exam. Doctoral candidates and examiners must be notified separately.

(1a) Only after the oral defense is the candidate allowed, upon request, to read the reviews on the dissertation.

(2) In justified cases, the defense can be held as a video conference, provided that all requirements set out in the doctoral regulations for proper implementation are met and that the doctoral candidate and the participating examiners gave their consent in writing. The implementation of the defense as a video conference must be announced when setting the date for the oral defense and must be mentioned in the minutes.

Please note: This is an unofficial translation provided for your convenience! Only the German version is legally binding!

(3) The members of the group of advisors as well as the reviewers serve as examiners, i.e. the examination board. The first advisor is usually the chairperson of the board. Minutes of the meeting shall be taken.

(4) At least two examiners must be present. On request of the advisors and upon approval of the candidate, the Joint Committee may solicit additional examiners.

(5) In the defense, the doctoral candidate first presents the theses of his/her dissertation. In the subsequent discussion, the candidate must prove that he/she is capable of a) looking at the thesis of the dissertation from different perspectives within the scope of the subject area, and b) reflecting upon his/her own research on an interdisciplinary level. Academic follow-up projects and career prospects can also be topics of the defense. The candidate has no right to read the reviews on his/her dissertation before the defense.

(6) The questions of the examiners are to be given priority. In general, though, all members of the departments 08 und 09 of Münster University holding a doctorate have the right to ask questions.

(7) After the defense, the board determines the grade by calculating the arithmetic mean using the evaluation scale stipulated in § 11 section 3. For decimal values up to '5', the overall grade is rounded down; for decimal values of '6' or more, it is rounded up. The distinction "summa cum laude" may be assigned, in the case of two examiners, if the votes are unanimous, and, in the case of three or more examiners, if there is no more than one deviating vote which, however, must not be worse than "magna cum laude".

(8) The defense is graded as failed if the overall grade is worse than rite (3.0). The examination is also failed if the doctoral candidate negligently misses the date of the oral defense or withdraws from it after it has begun without conclusive reasons. The doctoral candidate must state the reasons for his/her absence or withdrawal immediately in writing. The decision on whether the defense has been failed is made by the chairperson of the Joint Committee.

(9) The chairperson of the Joint Committee communicates the results to the candidate.

(10) If the doctoral candidate passes the defense, he/she will obtain a certificate from the chairperson of the Joint Committee confirming that the dissertation has been accepted and the defense passed.

(11) A failed defense may be repeated once within a period of 18 months, but no earlier than 14 days after the first attempt.

(12) If the doctoral candidate fails the defense, the chairperson of the Joint Committee provides him/her with a written notification, informing also about the possibility of repeating the examination within the time frame mentioned above. The notification of the failed examination shall provide information on legal remedies. § 10 section 3 shall apply accordingly. If the doctoral candidate allows the opposition period to lapse, if he/she waives the repetition of the exam, or if the candidate does not pass the retry exam, the doctorate is considered as failed.

§ 13 Calculation of the Overall Grade

(1) In line with the evaluation scale specified in § 11 section 3, the Joint Committee calculates the overall grade based on the not rounded grade point average of the dissertation and the grade of the oral defense.

Please note: This is an unofficial translation provided for your convenience! Only the German version is legally binding!

(2) The not rounded grade point average of the dissertation is counted twice, the grade of the oral defense once. For decimal values up to '5', the overall grade is rounded down; for decimal values of '6' or more, it is rounded up.

(3) The distinction "summa cum laude" can be only assigned if both the dissertation (by all reviewers in line with § 11 section 4) and the oral defense (in line with § 12 section 7) have been awarded "summa cum laude".

§ 14 Publication of the Dissertation

(1) A monographic dissertation may be published as soon as the chairperson of the Joint Committee, in consultation with the advisors, has declared it ready for printing and all requirements as per § 11 section 5, if applicable, have been met. The publication of partial results of a dissertation project requires the written consent of the first advisor, which must also be submitted to the doctorate examination office. In such case, the publication must contain a reference to the fact that the results are part of an ongoing dissertation project at the Department of Philosophy and History or the Department of Philology at Münster University.

(2) In case of a cumulative dissertation (see § 3 section 4 and appendix C), parts of the thesis may already be published during the doctoral program. The publication is considered concluded if the group of advisors confirms that all parts have been published with the previous consent of the advisors.

(3) Upon request of the doctoral candidate, the chairperson of the Joint Committee may permit for the dissertation to be published in a language different from those specified in § 3 section 4.

(4) Publication of the dissertation should be completed in printed, reproduced or electronic form within two years of passing the examination. In exceptional cases, the period can be extended. The chairperson of the Joint Committee decides upon this on request of the doctoral candidate. If the doctoral candidate negligently misses the deadline, all rights acquired through credits gained during the doctoral studies shall expire.

(5) If a monographic dissertation is printed, it must show a minimum print run of 150 copies and be available in book retail. The dissertation must be designated as such on the back of the title page, indicating the place where the dissertation was completed and defended. Upon request, the dissertation may also be published by way of book-on-demand (BOD)-publishing. In any case, 6 deposit copies must be submitted. If the dissertation is reproduced in any other way, 100 copies must be submitted for deposit (or else 4 deposit copies and 96 microfiche printouts).

(6) If publication takes place in electronic form, the data format, data carrier, and rights of use must be agreed upon with the university library (*Universitäts- und Landesbibliothek Münster*). A written confirmation from the university library on the submission of the dissertation in any of the above-mentioned forms of publication must be handed in together with the deposit copies. In the case of publication in electronic form, 4 bound computer printouts must be submitted in addition.

(7) The published dissertation must be identical with the version approved by the Joint Committee, regardless of the way it was published.

(8) In the case of a cumulative dissertation (see § 3 section 4 and appendix C), six bound copies containing all parts of the dissertation including the corresponding title pages must be submitted for deposit.

§ 15 Conferral of the Diploma

(1) If the doctoral candidate has met all requirements as per § 14, he/she has completed the doctoral program. A doctoral diploma is issued in German and, upon request, in English. The document includes the topic and the grade of the dissertation as well as the overall grade of the doctorate. It bears the date of the last oral examination (defense), it is signed by the chairperson of the Joint Committee and afterwards presented or sent to the doctoral candidate.

(2) With the diploma being conferred, the doctoral program is completed and the doctoral candidate is granted the right to bear the title of Doctor.

§ 16 Invalidity of Doctoral Requirements

(1) All measures in connection with the derecognition of doctoral performances require a separate resolution of the Joint Committee.

(2) If it turns out, before the diploma has been awarded, that the doctoral candidate willingly attempted or committed fraud with regard to the admission requirements or the implementation of the doctoral program, the Joint Committee may declare the doctoral requirements invalid and discontinue the procedure.

(3) If such fraud or attempted fraud becomes known after the diploma has been awarded, the Joint Committee may declare the doctoral examination as failed retroactively. The diploma will be withdrawn.

(4) If the conditions for the admission to the doctoral examination were not fulfilled without the doctoral candidate being aware of it, this deficiency is remedied by the successful examination. This must be explicitly confirmed by the Joint Committee.

(5) The Joint Committee may withdraw the doctoral degree if the doctoral graduate

- a) has been sentenced to an imprisonment of one year or more because of a deliberate crime, or
- b) has been convicted of a deliberate crime and for the preparation or implementation of which he/she abused his/her academic qualification.

(6) Before the decision is made, the candidate must be given the opportunity to comment. § 10 section 3 shall apply accordingly.

§ 17 Honorary Doctorate

(1) The doctoral degree *honoris causa* (Dr. phil. h. c.) can be awarded in recognition of outstanding academic achievements or exceptional merits regarding the academic mission.

(2) The procedures for awarding an honorary doctorate are initiated on written request by at least two authorized examiners to the dean of the department these examiners belong to. The request needs to provide an in-depth acknowledgement of the possible candidate in line with section 1. The dean introduces the request in the department council (*Fachbereichsrat*) in charge for discussion and recommendation. Accepting the request to confer an honorary doctorate is subject to the approval of the majority of the fully tenured university professors.

(3) After the approval of the request in accordance with section 2, the other one of the two department councils (08 and 09) must accept it as well. As soon as both department councils have

Please note: This is an unofficial translation provided for your convenience! Only the German version is legally binding!

approved the motion, the Joint Committee decides upon the conferral. A majority of at least two thirds of the group of university professors is necessary to make a decision; the votes may be obtained in written form.

(4) The honorary doctorate procedures are concluded by the dean of the department in charge presenting a diploma to the candidate, thus honoring his/her achievements and contributions.

IV. Final Clauses

§ 19 Transition Phase

The doctoral regulations apply to all doctoral candidates who are enrolled in the doctoral program at Münster University. Doctoral candidates who, in consultation with an advisor working at Münster University, started preparing a dissertation before these doctoral regulations came into force conduct their doctorate in accordance with the doctoral regulations of the Department of Philosophy at WWU from December 5, 2001, unless they request the application of the doctoral regulations from July 26, 2012 at the latest when being admitted to the examination. The request is irrevocable.

§ 21 Entry into Force

(1) These doctoral regulations come into force on the day after their publication.

(2) These doctoral regulations are published in the official announcements of Münster University.