

The last bit of light before the long tunnel of generalized stability

Montag, 18. März 2024 18:15

Last week (2 weeks ago), Franzi:

ingredients for Embedding Lemma II:

- Embedding Lemma I
 - Knaf-Kuhlmann^(Franzi) requires Generalized Stability
 - Lemma 2.2.
- TODAY!
YAY!

Aim for today: Lemma 5.6 (Embedding Lemma I)

Let $(F|K, v)$ be a **SIG** function field and let

(K^*, v^*) be a henselian extension of (K, v)

Let vF/vK be torsion-free and Fv/vKv separable

Assume there are embeddings

$$\rho: vF \hookrightarrow_{vK} v^*K^*$$

$$\sigma: Fv \hookrightarrow_{Kv} K^*v^*$$

then there exists an embedding

$$\iota: (F, v) \hookrightarrow_K (K^*, v^*)$$

inducing ρ and σ , i.e.

$$v^*(\iota(a)) = \rho(v(a)) \quad \& \quad \text{res}_{v^*}(\iota(a)) = \sigma(\text{res}_v(a))$$

DEFINITION of SIG (strongly inertially generated)

$(F|K, v)$ valued function field is called SIG if

there is a transcendence basis

$$\mathcal{T} = \{x_1, \dots, x_r, y_1, \dots, y_s\}$$

such that:

- $vF = vK \oplus v(x_1)\mathbb{Z} \oplus \dots \oplus v(x_r)\mathbb{Z}$ AND
- $\{\text{res}_v(y_1), \dots, \text{res}_v(y_s)\}$ is a separating transcendence basis of $Fv|Kv$, i.e. a transcendence basis such that $Fv|Kv(\text{res}_v(y_1), \dots, \text{res}_v(y_s))$ is separable

and there is $a \in F^h$ such that

- $F^h = K(\mathcal{T})^h(a)$
- $v(a) = 0$
- $\text{res}_v(a)$ is separable over $K(\mathcal{T})v$ and $\deg(\text{res}_v(a) | K(\mathcal{T})v) = \deg(a | K(\mathcal{T}))$ ($\neq -n$)

DETOUR - LEMMA 2.2. - "Gauß extension on fire"

Recall some facts -

- $(F|K, v)$ algebraic extension $\Rightarrow vF/vK$ torsion-group (ALG-val)
 - $Fv|Kv$ alg. extension (ALG-res)
 - (Gauß extension) (K, v) valued field, t transcendental / K
- There is exactly one extension of v to $K(t)$ with

$v(t)=0$ & $\text{res}_v(t)$ transc. over Kv .

We have $vK(t) = vK$ and $K(t)v = Kv(\text{res}_v(t))$. (TR-ns)

• (K, v) valued field, t transcendental / K ,

t rat. indep. over vK .

(TR-val)

There is exactly one extension of v to $K(t)$ with $v(t)=\gamma$. We have $vK(t) = vK \oplus \gamma\mathbb{Z}$, $K(t)v = Kv$.

Lemma 2.2.

$(F|K, v)$ extension of valued fields

$x_i \in F, i \in I$ s.th. $v(x_i), i \in I$ are rat. indep. over vK

$y_j \in F, j \in J$ s.th. $\text{res}_v(y_j), j \in J$ are alg. indep. over Kv

$\Rightarrow T = \{x_i : i \in I\} \cup \{y_j : j \in J\}$ are alg. independent over K

We have: $vK(T) = vK \oplus \bigoplus_{i \in I} v(x_i)\mathbb{Z}$
 $K(T)v = Kv(\text{res}_v(y_j) : j \in J)$

valuation v on $K(T)$ is uniquely determined by:

- restriction to K
 - $v(x_i), i \in I$
 - the fact that $\text{res}_v(y_j), j \in J$ are alg. indep. over Kv
- residue map res_v on $K(T)$ is uniquely determined by
- restriction to K
 - $\text{res}_v(y_j), j \in J$
 - the fact that $v(x_i), i \in I$ are rat. indep. over vK

Moreover, if

$$f = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_I^+} c_\alpha \prod_{i \in I} x_i^{\alpha_i} \prod_{j \in J} y_j^{\beta_j}$$

$\swarrow \text{--- } \prod_{i \in I} x_i^{\alpha_i}$ $\searrow \text{--- } \prod_{j \in J} y_j^{\beta_j}$

then

$$v(f) = \min_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_I^+} v(c_\alpha) + \sum_{i \in I} \alpha_i v(x_i)$$

WHY?

Proof:

① $v(x_i), i \in I$ rat. indep. over vK & $\text{res}_v(y_j), j \in J$ alg. indep. over Kv } $\Rightarrow T$ alg. indep. over K

Take $(x_1, \dots, x_r, y_1, \dots, y_s)$ finite subtuple of T .

We prove it is alg. indep. over K by induction on $r+s$

- if $r+s=0$: nothing to do, assume $r+s > 0$
- We show that $(x_1, \dots, x_r, y_1, \dots, y_s)$ is alg. indep. over K and $K(x_1, \dots, x_r, y_1, \dots, y_s)$ has value group

$$vK \oplus v(x_1)\mathbb{Z} \oplus \dots \oplus v(y_1)\mathbb{Z}$$

and residue field $Kv(\text{res}_v(y_1), \dots, \text{res}_v(y_s))$

We assume this is true for subtuples of T of length $r+s-1$. } (IH)

(a) if $s > 0$, we know by (IH) that

$(x_1, \dots, x_r, y_1, \dots, y_{s-1})$ is alg. indep. over K

such that:

- $vF = vK \oplus v(x_1)\mathbb{Z} \oplus \dots \oplus v(x_r)\mathbb{Z}$ AND
- $\{\text{res}_v(y_1), \dots, \text{res}_v(y_s)\}$ is a separating transcendence basis of $Fv|Kv$, i.e. a transcendence basis such that $Fv|Kv(\text{res}_v(y_1), \dots, \text{res}_v(y_s))$ is separable

and there is $a \in F^h$ such that

- $F^h = K(\mathcal{J})^h(a)$
- $v(a) = 0$
- $\text{res}_v(a)$ is separable over $K(\mathcal{J})v$ and $\deg(\text{res}_v(a) | K(\mathcal{J})v) = \deg(a | K(\mathcal{J}))$

STRATEGY: ① First construct embedding for $K(\mathcal{J})$

② Then extend to F

① embedding for $K(\mathcal{J})$

Find $x'_1, \dots, x'_r \in K^*$ s.t. $v^*(x'_i) = \rho(v(x_i))$ for $i=1, \dots, r$

$\Rightarrow v^*(x'_i)$ are rat. indep. over vK

Find $y'_1, \dots, y'_s \in K^*$ s.t. $\text{res}_{v^*}(y'_j) = \sigma(\text{res}_v(y_j))$ for $j=1, \dots, s$

$\Rightarrow \text{res}_{v^*}(y'_j)$ are alg. indep. over Kv

\Leftrightarrow Lemma 2.2 $\mathcal{J}' := \{x'_1, \dots, x'_r, y'_1, \dots, y'_s\}$ is alg. indep. over K

Get Isomorphism

$$K(\mathcal{J}) \xrightarrow{\cong} K(\mathcal{J}') \subseteq K^*$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} x_i & \longmapsto & x'_i \\ y_j & \longmapsto & y'_j \end{array}$$

To show: ι respects ρ and σ

$$\text{Let } f = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^r} \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^s} c_{\alpha, \beta} x^\alpha y^\beta$$

For ρ : use suspicious formula from Lemma 2.2.

$$v^*(\iota(f)) = v^*\left(\sum_{\alpha} \sum_{\beta} c_{\alpha, \beta} x'^{\alpha} y'^{\beta}\right)$$

$$\stackrel{\text{Lemma 2.2}}{=} \min_{(\alpha, \beta)} \left(v^*(c_{\alpha, \beta}) + \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i \cdot v^*(x'_i) \right)$$

$$= \min_{(\alpha, \beta)} \left(v(c_{\alpha, \beta}) + \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i \cdot \rho(v(x_i)) \right)$$

$$= \rho\left(\min_{(\alpha, \beta)} \left(v(c_{\alpha, \beta}) + \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i \cdot v(x_i) \right)\right)$$

$$\stackrel{\text{Lemma 2.2}}{=} \rho(v(f))$$

For σ : use that $\text{res}_{v^*}, \text{res}_v$ are homomorphisms

② Extend to F

Write $F_0 = K(\mathcal{J})$

Goal: Embedding $(F^h, v^h) \hookrightarrow_K (K^*, v^*)$

\Rightarrow can then take restriction to F

By the universal property of the Henselization,

we can extend $\iota: F_0 \hookrightarrow K^*$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & K^* \\ & & \uparrow \\ & & F_0^h \dashrightarrow \\ & & \uparrow \\ & & F_0 \end{array}$$

to an embedding

$$F_0^h \hookrightarrow K^*$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 K \cup F_0 & \hookrightarrow & K \\
 & \searrow & \swarrow \\
 & & K
 \end{array}$$

Henselization is immediate $\Rightarrow \iota$ induces \mathfrak{p} & σ

From now on: identify F_0^h with $\iota(F_0^h)$

By SIG we know $F^h \cong F_0^h(a)$

Let \bar{f} be the minimal polynomial of $\text{res}_v(a) \in F^h \setminus v$ over $F_0^h \setminus v$, note \bar{f} is separable by SIG

Let $f \in \mathcal{O}_{F_0^h}[X]$ be a monic lift of \bar{f} .

$\xrightarrow{\text{Hensel's Lemma}}$ there is unique $a' \in \mathcal{O}_{K^*}$ s.th.

$$f(a') = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{res}_{v^*}(a') = \sigma(\text{res}_v(a))$$

\rightsquigarrow Isomorphism

$$\iota: F_0^h(a) \xrightarrow{\cong} F_0^h(a')$$

compatible with the valuation (F_0^h henselian!)

$$F^h = F_0^h(a)$$

$$\downarrow n$$

$$F_0^h$$

$r=1$ (henselian)
 $f=n$ (SIG)
 $e=1$ (fundamental inequality)

$$\Rightarrow v F^h = v F_0^h$$

$\rightarrow \iota$ respects \mathfrak{p}

To show: ι respects σ

write $\text{res}_v(a) = \alpha$

$\rightsquigarrow 1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \dots, \alpha^{n-1}$ are lin. indep. over $F_0^h \setminus v$

$\Rightarrow 1, a, a^2, \dots, a^{n-1}$ form a standard valuation basis of $F^h | F_0^h$, that is,

$$v\left(\sum c_i a^i\right) = \min_i v(c_i)$$

Every $b \in F_0^h(a)$ can be expressed as

$$b = g(a) \quad \text{for some } g \in F_0^h[X] \\ \deg(g) < n$$

If $v(b) = 0$, then $g \in \mathcal{O}_{F_0^h}[X] \rightsquigarrow \bar{g} \in F_0^h \setminus v[X]$

$$\text{res}_{v^*}(\iota(b)) = \text{res}_{v^*}(\iota(g(a))) = \text{res}_{v^*}(g(a')) = \bar{g}(\text{res}_{v^*}(a'))$$

$$= \bar{g}(\sigma(\text{res}_v(a))) = \sigma(\bar{g}(\text{res}_v(a))) = \sigma(\text{res}_{v^*}(g(a))) = \sigma(\text{res}_v(b)).$$

