

# Tame valued fields reading group

Sylvy Anscombe

IMJ-PRG, UP Cité

[sylvy.anscombe@imj-prg.fr](mailto:sylvy.anscombe@imj-prg.fr)



# Introduction

We are following:

F.-V. Kuhlmann. The algebra and model theory of tame valued fields, *J. reine angew. Math.*, 719 (2016), 1–43.

## Setting

$(K, v)$  valued field of residue characteristic exponent  $p \geq 1$ , value group is  $vK$  and residue field is  $Kv$ .

# Introduction

We are following:

F.-V. Kuhlmann. The algebra and model theory of tame valued fields, *J. reine angew. Math.*, 719 (2016), 1–43.

## Setting

$(K, v)$  valued field of residue characteristic exponent  $p \geq 1$ , value group is  $vK$  and residue field is  $Kv$ .

## Inequalities

1. Fundamental inequality  $[L : K] \leq \sum_{i \leq r} e_i f_i p^{d_i}$
2. Abhyankar inequality  $\text{trdeg}(L/K) \leq \text{trdeg}(Lw/Kv) + \text{rrk}(wL/vK)$ .

Inequality in 2. is called ‘transcendence defect’

## Background

AKE for

1. separably closed valued fields (Robinson, ...)
2. Henselian of equal characteristic 0 (Ax–Kochen/Ershov)
3.  $p$ -adically closed fields (Ax–Kochen/Ershov, Prestel–Roquette)
4. finitely ramified henselian valued fields (Ershov, Ziegler, van den Dries, A.–Jahnke, A.–Dittmann–Jahnke)
5. separable algebraically maximal Kaplansky (Delon, Bélair)

## Background

AKE for

1. separably closed valued fields (Robinson, ...)
2. Henselian of equal characteristic 0 (Ax–Kochen/Ershov)
3.  $p$ -adically closed fields (Ax–Kochen/Ershov, Prestel–Roquette)
4. finitely ramified henselian valued fields (Ershov, Ziegler, van den Dries, A.–Jahnke, A.–Dittmann–Jahnke)
5. separable algebraically maximal Kaplansky (Delon, B elair)

## AKE principles

1.  $\text{AKE}^{\equiv}$ :  $(K, v) \equiv (L, w) \Leftrightarrow (vK \equiv wL) \& (Kv \equiv Lw)$
2.  $\text{AKE}^{\exists}$ :  $(K, v) \preceq_{\exists} (L, w) \Leftrightarrow (vK \preceq_{\exists} wL) \& (Kv \preceq_{\exists} Lw)$  for  $(K, v) \subseteq (L, w)$
3.  $\text{AKE}^{\preceq}$ :  $(K, v) \preceq (L, w) \Leftrightarrow (vK \preceq wL) \& (Kv \preceq Lw)$  for  $(K, v) \subseteq (L, w)$

# Tame valued fields

## Definition (Tame valued fields)

$(K, v)$  is *tame* if

1.  $(K, v)$  is algebraically maximal,
2.  $vK$  is  $p$ -divisible, and
3.  $Kv$  is perfect

In positive characteristic,  $(K, v)$  is tame if and only if it is henselian, defectless, and perfect.

## Examples and non-examples

1.  $(F(\langle \Delta \rangle), v_t)$  iff  $F$  perfect and  $\Delta$   $p$ -divisible
2.  $(F(\langle t \rangle), v_t)$  iff  $p = 1$
3.  $(F(t)^h, v_t)$  iff  $p = 1$
4.  $(F(t), v_t)$  not tame
5.  $(\mathbb{Q}, v_p)$  not tame.

# Tame valued fields

## Definition (Tame extensions)

Algebraic extension  $(L, w)/(K, v)$  is *tame* if

1.  $(wL : vK)$  coprime to  $p$ ,
2.  $Lw/Kv$  is separable, and
3. defectless.

## Definition (Purely wild)

$(L, w)/(K, v)$  is *purely wild* if it is linearly disjoint from every tame extension of  $(K, v)$ .

## Proposition (Thm 3.2)

TFAE

1.  $(K, v)$  is tame,
2.  $K^r$  is algebraically closed,
3. no proper purely wild extensions.

## Theorem (Theorem 1.4)

*Class of tame fields satisfies  $\text{AKE}^{\exists}$  and  $\text{AKE}^{\preceq}$ . Class of tame fields of equal characteristic satisfies  $\text{AKE}^{\equiv}$ . Class of tame fields satisfies a certain relative version of  $\text{AKE}^{\equiv}$ .*



## Theorem (Theorem 1.4)

*Class of tame fields satisfies  $\text{AKE}^{\exists}$  and  $\text{AKE}^{\preceq}$ . Class of tame fields of equal characteristic satisfies  $\text{AKE}^{\equiv}$ . Class of tame fields satisfies a certain relative version of  $\text{AKE}^{\equiv}$ .*

## Relative subcompleteness

Let  $(L, w), (K, v)$  be two tame extensions of defectless  $(F, u)$  with  $vK/uF$  torsion free and  $Kv/Fu$  separable. Then  $(K, v) \equiv_{(F, u)} (L, w) \Leftrightarrow (vK \equiv_{uF} wL) \& (Kv \equiv_{uF} Lw)$

## Tamification, KPR

There exists an algebraic extension  $(K^t, v^t)/(K, v)$  such that

1.  $(K^t, v^t)$  is tame,
2.  $v^t K^t$  is the  $p$ -divisible hull of  $vK$ , and
3.  $K^t v^t$  is the perfect hull of  $Kv$ .

The collection of such extensions is parameterized by the complements of the ramification subgroup of the absolute Galois group of  $K$ .

## Tamification, KPR

There exists an algebraic extension  $(K^t, v^t)/(K, v)$  such that

1.  $(K^t, v^t)$  is tame,
2.  $v^t K^t$  is the  $p$ -divisible hull of  $vK$ , and
3.  $K^t v^t$  is the perfect hull of  $Kv$ .

The collection of such extensions is parameterized by the complements of the ramification subgroup of the absolute Galois group of  $K$ .

## Lemma 3.7

Let  $(K, v)$  be tame, and suppose that  $(F, u)$  is a relatively algebraically closed valued subfield with  $Kv/Fu$  algebraic. Then  $(F, u)$  is tame,  $uF$  is pure in  $vK$ , and  $Fu = Kv$ .