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#### Abstract

We show that every probability-measure-preserving action of a countable amenable group $G$ can be tiled, modulo a null set, using finitely many finite subsets of $G$ ("shapes") with prescribed approximate invariance so that the collection of tiling centers for each shape is Borel. This is a dynamical version of the Downarowicz-HuczekZhang tiling theorem for countable amenable groups and strengthens the Ornstein-Weiss Rokhlin lemma. As an application we prove that, for every countably infinite amenable group $G$, the crossed product of a generic free minimal action of $G$ on the Cantor set is Z-stable.


## 1. Introduction

A discrete group $G$ is said to be amenable if it admits a finitely additive probability measure which is invariant under the action of $G$ on itself by left translation, or equivalently if there exists a unital positive linear functional $\ell^{\infty}(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ which is invariant under the action of $G$ on $\ell^{\infty}(G)$ induced by left translation (such a functional is called a left invariant mean). This definition was introduced by von Neumann in connection with the Banach-Tarski paradox and shown by Tarski to be equivalent to the absence of paradoxical decompositions of the group. Amenability has come to be most usefully leveraged through its combinatorial expression as the Følner property, which asks that for every finite set $K \subseteq G$ and $\delta>0$ there exists a nonempty finite set $F \subseteq G$ which is ( $K, \delta$ )-invariant in the sense that $|K F \Delta F|<\delta|F|$.

The concept of amenability appears as a common thread throughout much of ergodic theory as well as the related subject of operator algebras, where it is known via a number of avatars like injectivity, hyperfiniteness, and nuclearity. It forms the cornerstone of the theory of orbit equivalence, and also underpins both Kolmogorov-Sinai entropy and the classical ergodic theorems, whether explicitly in their most general formulations or implicitly in the original setting of single transformations (see Chapters 4 and 9 of [11]). A key tool in applying amenability to dynamics is the Rokhlin lemma of Ornstein and Weiss, which in one of its simpler forms says that for every free probability-measurepreserving action $G \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ of a countably infinite amenable group and every finite set $K \subseteq G$ and $\delta>0$ there exist ( $K, \delta$ )-invariant finite sets $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n} \subseteq G$ and measurable sets $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n} \subseteq X$ such that the sets $s A_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$ and $s \in T_{i}$ are pairwise disjoint and have union of measure at least $1-\delta$ [17].

The proportionality in terms of which approximate invariance is expressed in the Følner condition makes it clear that amenability is a measure-theoretic property, and it is not
surprising that the most influential and definitive applications of these ideas in dynamics (e.g., the Connes-Feldman-Weiss theorem) occur in the presence of an invariant or quasi-invariant measure. Nevertheless, amenability also has significant ramifications for topological dynamics, for instance in guaranteeing the existence of invariant probability measures when the space is compact and in providing the basis for the theory of topological entropy. In the realm of operator algebras, similar comments can be made concerning the relative significance of amenability for von Neumann algebras (measure) and $\mathrm{C}^{*}$-algebras (topology).

While the subjects of von Neumann algebras and C*-algebras have long enjoyed a symbiotic relationship sustained in large part through the lens of analogy, and a similar relationship has historically bound together ergodic theory and topological dynamics, the last few years have witnessed the emergence of a new and structurally more direct kind of rapport between topology and measure in these domains, beginning on the operator algebra side with the groundbreaking work of Matui and Sato on strict comparison, 2-stability, and decomposition rank [14, 15]. On the side of groups and dynamics, Downarowicz, Huczek, and Zhang recently showed that if $G$ is a countable amenable group then for every finite set $K \subseteq G$ and $\delta>0$ one can partition (or "tile") $G$ by left translates of finitely many $(K, \delta)$-invariant finite sets [3]. The consequences that they derive from this tileability are topological and include the existence, for every such $G$, of a free minimal action with zero entropy. One of the aims of the present paper is to provide some insight into how these advances in operator algebras and dynamics, while seemingly unrelated at first glance, actually fit together as part of a common circle of ideas that we expect, among other things, to lead to further progress in the structure and classification theory of crossed product $\mathrm{C}^{*}$-algebras.

Our main theorem is a version of the Downarowicz-Huczek-Zhang tiling result for free p.m.p. (probability-measure-preserving) actions of countable amenable groups which strengthens the Ornstein-Weiss Rokhlin lemma in the form recalled above by shrinking the leftover piece down to a null set (Theorem 3.6). As in the case of groups, one does not expect the utility of this dynamical tileability to be found in the measure setting, where the Ornstein-Weiss machinery generally suffices, but rather in the derivation of topological consequences. Indeed we will apply our tiling result to show that, for every countably infinite amenable group $G$, the crossed product $C(X) \rtimes G$ of a generic free minimal action $G \curvearrowright X$ on the Cantor set possesses the regularity property of z-stability (Theorem 5.4). The strategy is to first prove that such an action admits clopen tower decompositions with arbitrarily good Følner shapes (Theorem 4.2), and then to demonstrate that the existence of such tower decompositions implies that the crossed product is Z-stable (Theorem 5.3). The significance of Z-stability within the classification program for simple separable nuclear $\mathrm{C}^{*}$-algebras is explained at the beginning of Section 5.

It is a curious irony in the theory of amenability that the Hall-Rado matching theorem can be used not only to show that the failure of the Følner property for a discrete group implies the formally stronger Tarski characterization of nonamenability in terms of the existence of paradoxical decompositions [2] but also to show, in the opposite direction, that the Følner property itself implies the formally stronger Downarowicz-Huczek-Zhang characterization of amenability which guarantees the existence of tilings of the group by translates of finitely many Følner sets [3]. This Janus-like scenario will be reprised
here in the dynamical context through the use of a measurable matching argument of Lyons and Nazarov that was originally developed to prove that for every simple bipartite nonamenable Cayley graph of a discrete group $G$ there is a factor of a Bernoulli action of $G$ which is an a.e. perfect matching of the graph [13]. Accordingly the basic scheme for proving Theorem 3.6 will be the same as that of Downarowicz, Huczek, and Zhang and divides into two parts:
(i) using an Ornstein-Weiss-type argument to show that a subset of the space of lower Banach density close to one can be tiled by dynamical translates of Følner sets, and
(ii) using a Lyons-Nazarov-type measurable matching to distribute almost all remaining points to existing tiles with only a small proportional increase in the size of the Følner sets, so that the approximate invariance is preserved.

We begin in Section 2 with the measurable matching result (Lemma 2.4), which is a variation on the Lyons-Nazarov theorem from [13] and is established along similar lines. In Section 3 we establish the appropriate variant of the Ornstein-Weiss Rokhlin lemma (Lemma 3.4) and put everything together in Theorem 3.6. Section 4 contains the genericity result for free minimal actions on the Cantor set, while Section 5 is devoted to the material on $z$-stability.
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## 2. Measurable matchings

Given sets $X$ and $Y$ and a subset $\mathcal{R} \subseteq X \times Y$, with each $x \in X$ we associate its vertical section $\mathcal{R}_{x}=\{y \in Y:(x, y) \in \mathcal{R}\}$ and with each $y \in Y$ we associate its horizontal section $\mathcal{R}^{y}=\{x \in X:(x, y) \in \mathcal{R}\}$. Analogously, for $A \subseteq X$ we put $\mathcal{R}_{A}=\bigcup_{x \in A} \mathcal{R}_{x}=\{y \in Y:$ $\exists x \in A(x, y) \in \mathcal{R}\}$. We say that $\mathcal{R}$ is locally finite if for all $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$ the sets $\mathcal{R}_{x}$ and $\mathcal{R}^{y}$ are finite.

If now $X$ and $Y$ are standard Borel spaces equipped with respective Borel measures $\mu$ and $\nu$, we say that $\mathcal{R} \subseteq X \times Y$ is $(\mu, \nu)$-preserving if whenever $f: A \rightarrow B$ is a Borel bijection between subsets $A \subseteq X$ and $B \subseteq Y$ with graph $(f) \subseteq \mathcal{R}$ we have $\mu(A)=\nu(B)$. We say that $\mathcal{R}$ is expansive if there is some $c>1$ such that for all Borel $A \subseteq X$ we have $\nu\left(\mathcal{R}_{A}\right) \geq c \mu(A)$.

We use the notation $f: X \rightharpoonup Y$ to denote a partial function from $X$ to $Y$. We say that such a partial function $f$ is compatible with $\mathcal{R} \subseteq X \times Y$ if $\operatorname{graph}(f) \subseteq \mathcal{R}$.

Proposition 2.1 (ess. Lyons-Nazarov [13, Theorem 1.1]). Suppose that $X$ and $Y$ are standard Borel spaces, that $\mu$ is a Borel probability measure on $X$, and that $\nu$ is a Borel measure on $Y$. Suppose that $\mathcal{R} \subseteq X \times Y$ is Borel, locally finite, $(\mu, \nu)$-preserving, and
expansive. Then there is a $\mu$-conull $X^{\prime} \subseteq X$ and a Borel injection $f: X^{\prime} \rightarrow Y$ compatible with $\mathcal{R}$.

Proof. Fix a constant of expansivity $c>1$ for $\mathcal{R}$.
We construct a sequence $\left(f_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of Borel partial injections from $X$ to $Y$ which are compatible with $R$. Moreover, we will guarantee that the set $X^{\prime}=\{x \in X: \exists m \in \mathbb{N} \forall n \geq$ $m x \in \operatorname{dom}\left(f_{n}\right)$ and $\left.f_{n}(x)=f_{m}(x)\right\}$ is $\mu$-conull, establishing that the limiting function satisfies the conclusion of the lemma.

Given a Borel partial injection $g: X \rightharpoonup Y$ we say that a sequence $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}, y_{n}\right) \in$ $X \times Y \times \cdots \times X \times Y$ is a $g$-augmenting path if

- $x_{0} \in X$ is not in the domain of $g$,
- for all distinct $i, j<n, y_{i} \neq y_{j}$.
- for all $i<n, y_{i}=g\left(x_{i+1}\right)$,
- $y_{n} \in Y$ is not in the image of $g$.

We call $n$ the length of such a $g$-augmenting path and $x_{0}$ the origin of the path. Note that the sequence $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$ in fact determines the entire $g$-augmenting path, and moreover that $x_{i} \neq x_{j}$ for distinct $i, j<n$.

In order to proceed we require the following two lemmas.
Lemma 2.2. Suppose that $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $g: X \rightharpoonup Y$ is a Borel partial injection compatible with $\mathcal{R}$ admitting no augmenting paths of length less than $n$. Then $\mu(X \backslash \operatorname{dom}(g)) \leq c^{-n}$.

Proof. Put $A_{0}=X \backslash \operatorname{dom}(g)$. Define recursively for $i<n$ sets $B_{i}=\mathcal{R}_{A_{i}}$ and $A_{i+1}=$ $A_{i} \cup g^{-1}\left(B_{i}\right)$. Note that the assumption that there are no augmenting paths of length less than $n$ implies that each $B_{i}$ is contained in the image of $g$. Expansivity of $\mathcal{R}$ yields $\nu\left(B_{i}\right) \geq c \mu\left(A_{i}\right)$ and $(\mu, \nu)$-preservation of $\mathcal{R}$ then implies that $\mu\left(A_{i+1}\right) \geq \nu\left(B_{i}\right) \geq c \mu\left(A_{i}\right)$. Consequently, $1 \geq \mu\left(A_{n}\right) \geq c^{n} \mu\left(A_{0}\right)$, and hence $\mu\left(A_{0}\right) \leq c^{-n}$.

Lemma 2.3 (ess. Elek-Lippner [5, Proposition 1.1]). Suppose that $g: X \rightharpoonup Y$ is a Borel partial injection compatible with $\mathcal{R}$, and let $n \geq 1$. Then there is a Borel partial injection $g^{\prime}: X \rightharpoonup Y$ compatible with $\mathcal{R}$ such that

- $\operatorname{dom}\left(g^{\prime}\right) \supseteq \operatorname{dom}(g)$,
- $g^{\prime}$ admits no augmenting paths of length less than $n$,
- $\mu\left(\left\{x \in X: g^{\prime}(x) \neq g(x)\right\} \leq n \mu(X \backslash \operatorname{dom}(g))\right.$.

Proof. Consider the set $Z$ of injective sequences $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}, x_{1}, y_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}, y_{m}\right)$, where $m<n$, such that for all $i \leq m$ we have $\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right) \in \mathcal{R}$ and for all $i<m$ we have $\left(x_{i+1}, y_{i}\right) \in \mathcal{R}$. Equip $Z$ with the standard Borel structure it inherits as a Borel subset of $(X \times Y)^{\leq n}$. Consider also the locally finite Borel graph $\mathcal{G}$ on $Z$ rendering adjacent two distinct sequences in $Z$ if they share any entries. By [12, Proposition 4.5] there is a partition $Z=\bigsqcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} Z_{k}$ of $Z$ into Borel sets such that for all $k$, no two elements of $Z_{k}$ are $\mathcal{G}$-adjacent. Fix a function $s: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that $s^{-1}(k)$ is infinite for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Given a $g$-augmenting path $z=\left(x_{0}, y_{0}, \ldots, x_{m}, y_{m}\right)$, define the flip along $z$ to be the Borel partial function $g_{z}: X \rightharpoonup Y$ given by

$$
g_{z}(x)= \begin{cases}y_{i} & \text { if } \exists i \leq m x=x_{i} \\ g(x) & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

The fact that $z$ is $g$-augmenting ensures that $g_{z}$ is injective. More generally, for any Borel $\mathcal{G}$-independent set $Z^{\text {aug }} \subseteq Z$ of $g$-augmenting paths, we may simultaneously flip $g$ along all paths in $Z^{\text {aug }}$ to obtain another Borel partial injection $(g)_{Z^{\text {aug }}}$.

We iterate this construction. Put $g_{0}=g$. Recursively assuming that $g_{k}: X \rightharpoonup Y$ has been defined, let $Z_{k}^{\text {aug }}$ be the set of $g_{k}$-augmenting paths in $Z_{s(k)}$, and let $g_{k+1}=\left(g_{k}\right)_{Z_{k}^{\text {aug }}}$ be the result of flipping $g_{k}$ along all paths in $Z_{k}^{\text {aug }}$. As each $x \in X$ is contained in only finitely many elements of $Z$, and since each path in $Z$ can be flipped at most once (after the first flip its origin is always in the domain of the subsequent partial injections), it follows that the sequence $\left(g_{k}(x)\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is eventually constant. Defining $g^{\prime}(x)$ to be the limiting value, it is routine to check that there are no $g^{\prime}$-augmenting paths of length less than $n$.

Finally, to verify the third item of the lemma, put $A=\left\{x \in X: g^{\prime}(x) \neq g(x)\right\}$. With each $x \in A$ associate the origin of the first augmenting path along which it was flipped. This is an at most $n$-to- 1 Borel function from $A$ to $X \backslash \operatorname{dom}(g)$, and since $\mathcal{R}$ is ( $\mu, \nu$ )-preserving the bound follows.

We are now in position to follow the strategy outlined at the beginning of the proof. Let $f_{0}: X \rightharpoonup Y$ be the empty function. Recursively assuming the Borel partial injection $f_{n}: X \rightharpoonup Y$ has been defined to have no augmenting paths of length less than $n$, let $f_{n+1}$ be the Borel partial injection $\left(f_{n}\right)^{\prime}$ granted by applying Lemma 2.3 to $f_{n}$. Thus $f_{n+1}$ has no augmenting paths of length less than $n+1$ and the recursive construction continues.

Lemma 2.2 ensures that $\mu\left(X \backslash \operatorname{dom}\left(f_{n}\right)\right) \leq c^{-n}$, and thus the third item of Lemma 2.3 ensures that $\mu\left(\left\{x \in X: f_{n+1}(x) \neq f_{n}(x)\right\}\right) \leq(n+1) c^{-n}$. As the sequence $(n+1) c^{-n}$ is summable, the Borel-Cantelli lemma implies that $X^{\prime}=\{x \in X: \exists m \in \mathbb{N} \forall n \geq m x \in$ $\operatorname{dom}\left(f_{n}\right)$ and $\left.f_{n}(x)=f_{m}(x)\right\}$ is $\mu$-conull. Finally, $f=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{n} \upharpoonright X^{\prime}$ is as desired.

Lemma 2.4. Suppose $X$ and $Y$ are standard Borel spaces, that $\mu$ is a Borel measure on $X$, and that $\nu$ is a Borel measure on $Y$. Suppose $\mathcal{R} \subseteq X \times Y$ is Borel, locally finite, $(\mu, \nu)$-preserving graph. Assume that there exist numbers $a, b>0$ such that $\left|\mathcal{R}_{x}\right| \geq a$ for $\mu$-a.e. $x \in X$ and $\left|\mathcal{R}^{y}\right| \leq b$ for $\nu$-a.e. $y \in Y$. Then $\nu\left(\mathcal{R}_{A}\right) \geq \frac{a}{b} \mu(A)$ for all Borel subsets $A \subseteq X$.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{R}$ is $(\mu, \nu)$-preserving we have $\int_{A}\left|\mathcal{R}_{x}\right| d \mu=\int_{\mathcal{R}_{A}}\left|\mathcal{R}^{y} \cap A\right| d \nu$. Hence

$$
a \mu(A)=\int_{A} a d \mu \leq \int_{A}\left|\mathcal{R}_{x}\right| d \mu=\int_{\mathcal{R}_{A}}\left|\mathcal{R}^{y} \cap A\right| d \nu \leq \int_{\mathcal{R}_{A}} b d \nu=b \nu\left(\mathcal{R}_{A}\right) .
$$

## 3. Følner tilings

Fix a countable group $G$. For finite sets $K, F \subseteq G$ and $\delta>0$, we say that $F$ is $(K, \delta)$ invariant if $|K F \triangle F|<\delta|F|$. Note this condition implies $|K F|<(1+\delta)|F|$. Recall that $G$ is amenable if for every finite $K \subseteq G$ and $\delta>0$ there exists a $(K, \delta)$-invariant set $F$. A Følner sequence is a sequence of finite sets $F_{n} \subseteq G$ with the property that for every finite $K \subseteq G$ and $\delta>0$ the set $F_{n}$ is $(K, \delta)$-invariant for all but finitely many $n$. Below, we always assume that $G$ is amenable.

Fix a free action $G \curvearrowright X$. For $A \subseteq X$ we define the lower and upper Banach densities of $A$ to be

$$
\underline{D}(A)=\sup _{\substack{F \subseteq G \\ F \text { finite }}} \inf _{x \in X} \frac{|A \cap F x|}{|F|} \quad \text { and } \quad \bar{D}(A)=\inf _{\substack{F \subseteq G \\ F \text { finite }}} \sup _{x \in X} \frac{|A \cap F x|}{|F|} .
$$

Equivalently [3, Lem. 2.9], if $\left(F_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Følner sequence then

$$
\underline{D}(A)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf _{x \in X} \frac{\left|A \cap F_{n} x\right|}{\left|F_{n}\right|} \quad \text { and } \quad \bar{D}(A)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{x \in X} \frac{\left|A \cap F_{n} x\right|}{\left|F_{n}\right|} .
$$

We now define an analogue of '( $K, \delta$ )-invariant' for infinite subsets of $X$. A set $A \subseteq X$ (possibly infinite) is $(K, \delta)^{*}$-invariant if there is a finite set $F \subseteq G$ such that $\mid(K A \triangle A) \cap$ $F x|<\delta| A \cap F x \mid$ for all $x \in X$. Equivalently, $A$ is $(K, \delta)^{*}$-invariant if and only if for every Følner sequence $\left(F_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ we have $\lim _{n} \sup _{x}\left|(K A \triangle A) \cap F_{n} x\right| /\left|A \cap F_{n} x\right|<\delta$.

A collection $\left\{F_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ of finite subsets of $X$ is called $\epsilon$-disjoint if for each $n$ there is $F_{n}^{\prime} \subseteq F_{n}$ such that $\left|F_{n}^{\prime}\right|>(1-\epsilon)\left|F_{n}\right|$ and such that the sets $\left\{F_{n}^{\prime}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ are pairwise disjoint.

Lemma 3.1. Let $K, W \subseteq G$ be finite, let $\epsilon, \delta>0$, let $C \subseteq X$, and for $c \in C$ let $F_{c} \subseteq W$ be $(K, \delta(1-\epsilon))$-invariant. If the collection $\left\{F_{c} c: c \in C\right\}$ is $\epsilon$-disjoint and $\bigcup_{c \in C} F_{c} c$ has positive lower Banach density, then $\bigcup_{c \in C} F_{c} c$ is $(K, \delta)^{*}$-invariant.
Proof. Set $A=\bigcup_{c \in C} F_{c} c$ and set $T=W W^{-1}\left(\left\{1_{G}\right\} \cup K\right)^{-1}$. Since $W$ is finite and each $F_{c} \subseteq W$, there is $0<\delta_{0}<\delta$ such that each $F_{c}$ is $\left(K, \delta_{0}(1-\epsilon)\right.$-invariant. Fix a finite set $U \subseteq G$ which is $\left(T, \frac{D(A)}{2|T|}\left(\delta-\delta_{0}\right)\right)$-invariant and satisfies $\inf _{x \in X}|A \cap U x|>\frac{D(A)}{2}|U|$. Now fix $x \in X$. Let $B$ be the set of $b \in U x$ such that $T b \nsubseteq U x$. Note that $B \subseteq T^{-1}(T U x \triangle U x)$ and thus

$$
|B| \leq|T| \cdot \frac{|T U \triangle U|}{|U|} \cdot \frac{|U|}{|A \cap U x|} \cdot|A \cap U x|<\left(\delta-\delta_{0}\right)|A \cap U x| .
$$

Set $C^{\prime}=\left\{c \in C: F_{c} c \subseteq U x\right\}$. Note that the $\epsilon$-disjoint assumption gives $(1-\epsilon) \sum_{c \in C^{\prime}}\left|F_{c}\right| \leq$ $|A \cap U x|$. Also, our definitions of $C^{\prime}, T$, and $B$ imply that if $c \in C \backslash C^{\prime}$ and $\left(\left\{1_{G}\right\} \cup\right.$ $K) F_{c} c \cap U x \neq \varnothing$ then $\left(\left(\left\{1_{G}\right\} \cup K\right) F_{c} c\right) \cap U x \subseteq B$. Therefore $(K A \triangle A) \cap U x \subseteq B \cup$ $\bigcup_{c \in C^{\prime}}\left(K F_{c} c \triangle F_{c} c\right)$. Combining this with the fact that each set $F_{c}$ is $\left(K, \delta_{0}(1-\epsilon)\right)$-invariant, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
|(K A \triangle A) \cap U x| & \leq|B|+\sum_{c \in C^{\prime}}\left|K F_{c} c \triangle F_{c} c\right| \\
& <\left(\delta-\delta_{0}\right)|A \cap U x|+\sum_{c \in C^{\prime}} \delta_{0}(1-\epsilon)\left|F_{c}\right| \\
& \leq\left(\delta-\delta_{0}\right)|A \cap U x|+\delta_{0}|A \cap U x| \\
& =\delta|A \cap U x| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $x$ was arbitrary, we conclude that $A$ is $(K, \delta)^{*}$-invariant.
Lemma 3.2. Let $T \subseteq G$ be finite and let $\epsilon, \delta>0$ with $\epsilon(1+\delta)<1$. Suppose that $A \subseteq X$ is $\left(T^{-1}, \delta\right)^{*}$-invariant. If $B \supseteq A$ and $|B \cap T x| \geq \epsilon|T|$ for all $x \in X$, then

$$
\underline{D}(B) \geq(1-\epsilon(1+\delta)) \cdot \underline{D}(A)+\epsilon .
$$

Proof. This is implicitly demonstrated in [3, Proof of Lem. 4.1]. As a convenience to the reader, we include a proof here. Fix $\theta>0$. Since $A$ is $\left(T^{-1}, \delta\right)^{*}$-invariant, we can pick a finite set $U \subseteq G$ which is $(T, \theta)$-invariant and satisfies

$$
\inf _{x \in X} \frac{|A \cap U x|}{|U|}>\underline{D}(A)-\theta \quad \text { and } \quad \sup _{x \in X} \frac{\left|T^{-1} A \cap U x\right|}{|A \cap U x|}<1+\delta .
$$

Fix $x \in X$, set $\alpha=\frac{|A \cap U x|}{|U|}>\underline{D}(A)-\theta$, and set $U^{\prime}=\{u \in U: A \cap T u x=\varnothing\}$. Notice that

$$
\frac{\left|U^{\prime}\right|}{|U|}=\frac{|U|-\left|T^{-1} A \cap U x\right|}{|U|}=1-\frac{\left|T^{-1} A \cap U x\right|}{|A \cap U x|} \cdot \frac{|A \cap U x|}{|U|}>1-(1+\delta) \alpha .
$$

Since $A \cap T U^{\prime} x=\varnothing$ and $|B \cap T y| \geq \epsilon|T|$ for all $y \in X$, it follows that $|(B \backslash A) \cap T u x| \geq \epsilon|T|$ for all $u \in U^{\prime}$. Thus there are $\epsilon|T|\left|U^{\prime}\right|$ many pairs $(t, u) \in T \times U^{\prime}$ with tux $\in B \backslash A$. It follows there is $t^{*} \in T$ with $\left|(B \backslash A) \cap t^{*} U^{\prime} x\right| \geq \epsilon \cdot\left|U^{\prime}\right|$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{|B \cap T U x|}{|T U|} & \geq\left(\frac{|A \cap U x|}{|U|}+\frac{\left|(B \backslash A) \cap t^{*} U^{\prime} x\right|}{\left|U^{\prime}\right|} \cdot \frac{\left|U^{\prime}\right|}{|U|}\right) \cdot \frac{|U|}{|T U|} \\
& >(\alpha+\epsilon(1-(1+\delta) \alpha)) \cdot(1+\theta)^{-1} \\
& =((1-\epsilon(1+\delta)) \alpha+\epsilon) \cdot(1+\theta)^{-1} \\
& >((1-\epsilon(1+\delta))(\underline{D}(A)-\theta)+\epsilon) \cdot(1+\theta)^{-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Letting $\theta$ tend to 0 completes the proof.
Lemma 3.3. Let $X$ be a standard Borel space and let $G \curvearrowright X$ be a free Borel action. Let $Y \subseteq X$ be Borel, let $T \subseteq G$ be finite, and let $\epsilon \in(0,1 / 2)$. Then there is a Borel set $C \subseteq X$ and a Borel function $c \in C \mapsto T_{c} \subseteq T$ such that $\left|T_{c}\right|>(1-\epsilon)|T|$, the sets $\left\{T_{c} c: c \in C\right\}$ are pairwise disjoint and disjoint with $Y, Y \cup \bigcup_{c \in C} T_{c} c=Y \cup T C$, and $|(Y \cup T C) \cap T x| \geq \epsilon|T|$ for all $x \in X$.
Proof. Fix a Borel partition $\mathcal{P}=\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{m}\right\}$ of $X$ such that $T x \cap T x^{\prime}=\varnothing$ for all $x \neq x^{\prime} \in P_{i}$ and all $1 \leq i \leq m$. We will pick Borel sets $C_{i} \subseteq P_{i}$ and set $C=\bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq m} C_{i}$. Set $Y_{0}=Y$. Let $1 \leq i \leq m$ and inductively assume that $Y_{i-1}$ has been defined. Define $C_{i}=\left\{c \in P_{i}:\left|Y_{i-1} \cap T c\right|<\epsilon|T|\right\}$, define $Y_{i}=Y_{i-1} \cup T C_{i}$, and for $c \in C_{i}$ set $T_{c}=\{t \in T$ : $\left.t c \notin Y_{i-1}\right\}$. It is easily seen that $C=\bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq m} C_{i}$ has the desired properties.

The following lemma is mainly due to Ornstein-Weiss [17], who proved this with an invariant probability measure taking the place of Banach density. It was adapted to the Banach density setting by Downarowicz-Huczek-Zhang [3]. The only difference between this lemma and prior versions is we work both in the Borel setting and use Banach density.

Lemma 3.4. [17, I.§2. Thm. 6] [3, Lem. 4.1] Let $X$ be a standard Borel space and let $G \curvearrowright X$ be a free Borel action. Let $K \subseteq G$ be finite, let $\epsilon \in(0,1 / 2)$, and let $n$ satisfy $(1-\epsilon)^{n}<\epsilon$. Then there exist $(K, \epsilon)$-invariant sets $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{n}$, a Borel set $C \subseteq X$, and a Borel function $c \in C \mapsto F_{c} \subseteq G$ such that:
(i) for every $c \in C$ there is $1 \leq i \leq n$ with $F_{c} \subseteq F_{i}$ and $\left|F_{c}\right|>(1-\epsilon)\left|F_{i}\right|$;
(ii) the sets $F_{c} c, c \in C$, are pairwise disjoint; and
(iii) $D\left(\bigcup_{c \in C} F_{c} c\right)>1-\epsilon$.

Proof. Fix $\delta>0$ satisfying $(1+\delta)^{-1}(1-(1+\delta) \epsilon)^{n}<\epsilon-1+(1+\delta)^{-1}$. Fix a sequence of $(K, \epsilon)$-invariant sets $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{n}$ such that $F_{i}$ is $\left(F_{j}^{-1}, \delta(1-\epsilon)\right.$ )-invariant for all $1 \leq j<$ $i \leq n$.

The set $C$ will be the disjoint union of sets $C_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq n$. The construction will be such that $F_{c} \subseteq F_{i}$ and $\left|F_{c}\right|>(1-\epsilon)\left|F_{i}\right|$ for $c \in C_{i}$. We will define $A_{i}=\bigcup_{i \leq k \leq n} \bigcup_{c \in C_{k}} F_{c} c$ and arrange that $A_{i+1} \cup F_{i} C_{i}=A_{i+1} \cup \bigcup_{c \in C_{i}} F_{c} c$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{D}\left(A_{i}\right) \geq(1+\delta)^{-1}-(1+\delta)^{-1}(1-\epsilon(1+\delta))^{n+1-i} . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, we will have $A_{i}=\bigcup_{i \leq k \leq n} F_{k} C_{k}$.
To begin, apply Lemma 3.3 with $Y=\varnothing$ and $T=F_{n}$ to get a Borel set $C_{n}$ and a Borel map $c \in C_{n} \mapsto F_{c} \subseteq F_{n}$ such that $\left|F_{c}\right|>(1-\epsilon)\left|F_{n}\right|$, the sets $\left\{F_{c} c: c \in C_{n}\right\}$ are pairwise disjoint, $\bigcup_{c \in C_{n}} F_{c} c=F_{n} C_{n}$, and $\left|F_{n} C_{n} \cap F_{n} x\right| \geq \epsilon\left|F_{n}\right|$ for all $x \in X$. Applying Lemma 3.2 with $A=\varnothing$ and $B=F_{n} C_{n}$ we find that the set $A_{n}=F_{n} C_{n}$ satisfies $\underline{D}\left(A_{n}\right) \geq \epsilon$.

Inductively assume that $C_{n}$ through $C_{i+1}$ have been defined and $A_{n}$ through $A_{i+1}$ are defined as above and satisfy (3.1). Using $Y=A_{i+1}$ and $T=F_{i}$, apply Lemma 3.3 to get a Borel set $C_{i}$ and a Borel map $c \in C_{i} \mapsto F_{c} \subseteq F_{i}$ such that $\left|F_{c}\right|>(1-\epsilon)\left|F_{i}\right|$, the sets $\left\{F_{c} c: c \in C_{i}\right\}$ are pairwise disjoint and disjoint with $A_{i+1}, A_{i+1} \cup \bigcup_{c \in C_{i}} F_{c} c=A_{i+1} \cup F_{i} C_{i}$, and $\left|\left(A_{i+1} \cup F_{i} C_{i}\right) \cap F_{i} x\right| \geq \epsilon\left|F_{i}\right|$ for all $x \in X$. The set $A_{i+1}$ is the union of an $\epsilon$-disjoint collection of $\left(F_{i}^{-1}, \delta(1-\epsilon)\right)$-invariant sets and has positive lower Banach density. So by Lemma 3.1 $A_{i+1}$ is $\left(F_{i}^{-1}, \delta\right)^{*}$-invariant. Applying Lemma 3.2 with $A=A_{i+1}$, we find that $A_{i}=A_{i+1} \cup F_{i} C_{i}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\underline{D}\left(A_{i}\right) & \geq(1-\epsilon(1+\delta)) \cdot \underline{D}\left(A_{i+1}\right)+\epsilon \\
& \geq \frac{(1-\epsilon(1+\delta))}{(1+\delta)}-(1+\delta)^{-1}(1-\epsilon(1+\delta))^{n+1-i}+\frac{\epsilon(1+\delta)}{1+\delta} \\
& =(1+\delta)^{-1}-(1+\delta)^{-1}(1-\epsilon(1+\delta))^{n+1-i} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the inductive step and completes the definition of $C$. It is immediate from the construction that (i) and (ii) are satisfied. Clause (iii) also follows by noting that (3.1) is greater than $1-\epsilon$ when $i=1$.

We recall the following simple fact.
Lemma 3.5. [3, Lem. 2.3] If $F \subseteq G$ is $(K, \delta)$-invariant and $F^{\prime}$ satisfies $\left|F^{\prime} \triangle F\right|<\epsilon|F|$ then $F^{\prime}$ is $\left(K, \frac{(|K|+1) \epsilon+\delta}{1-\epsilon}\right)$-invariant.

Now we present the main theorem.
Theorem 3.6. Let $G$ be a countable amenable group, let $(X, \mu)$ be a standard probability space, and let $G \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ be a free p.m.p. action. For every finite $K \subseteq G$ and every $\delta>0$ there exist a $\mu$-conull $G$-invariant Borel set $X^{\prime} \subseteq X$, a collection $\left\{C_{i}: 0 \leq i \leq m\right\}$ of Borel subsets of $X^{\prime}$, and a collection $\left\{F_{i}: 0 \leq i \leq m\right\}$ of $(K, \delta)$-invariant sets such that $\left\{F_{i} c: 0 \leq i \leq m, c \in C_{i}\right\}$ partitions $X^{\prime}$.
Proof. Fix $\epsilon \in(0,1 / 2)$ satisfying $\frac{(|K|+1) 6 \epsilon+\epsilon}{1-6 \epsilon}<\delta$. Apply Lemma 3.4 to get $(K, \epsilon)$-invariant sets $F_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, F_{n}^{\prime}$, a Borel set $C \subseteq X$, and a Borel function $c \in C \mapsto F_{c} \subseteq G$ satisfying
(i) for every $c \in C$ there is $1 \leq i \leq n$ with $F_{c} \subseteq F_{i}^{\prime}$ and $\left|F_{c}\right|>(1-\epsilon)\left|F_{i}^{\prime}\right|$;
(ii) the sets $F_{c} c, c \in C$, are pairwise disjoint; and
(iii) $D\left(\bigcup_{c \in C} F_{c} c\right)>1-\epsilon$.

Set $Y=X \backslash \bigcup_{c \in C} F_{c} c$. If $\mu(Y)=0$ then we are done. So we assume $\mu(Y)>0$ and we let $\nu$ denote the restriction of $\mu$ to $Y$. Fix a Borel map $c \in C \mapsto Z_{c} \subseteq F_{c}$ satisfying $4 \epsilon\left|F_{c}\right|<\left|Z_{c}\right|<5 \epsilon\left|F_{c}\right|$ for all $c \in C$ (it's clear from the proof of Lemma 3.4 that we may choose the sets $F_{i}^{\prime}$ so that $\left.\epsilon\left|F_{c}\right|>\min _{i} \epsilon(1-\epsilon)\left|F_{i}^{\prime}\right|>1\right)$. Set $Z=\bigcup_{c \in C} Z_{c} c$ and let $\zeta$ denote the restriction of $\mu$ to $Z$ (note that $\mu(Z)>0$ ).

Set $W=\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} F_{i}^{\prime}$ and $W^{\prime}=W W^{-1}$. Fix a finite set $U \subseteq G$ which is $\left(W^{\prime},(1 / 2-\epsilon) /\left|W^{\prime}\right|\right)$ invariant and satisfies $\inf _{x \in X}|(X \backslash Y) \cap U x|>(1-\epsilon)|U|$. Since every amenable group admits a Følner sequence consisting of symmetric sets, we may assume that $U=U^{-1}[16$, Cor. 5.3]. Define $\mathcal{R} \subseteq Y \times Z$ by declaring $(y, z) \in \mathcal{R}$ if and only if $y \in U z$ (equivalently $z \in U y$ ). Then $\mathcal{R}$ is Borel, locally finite, and $(\nu, \zeta)$-preserving. We now check that $\mathcal{R}$ is expansive. We automatically have $\left|\mathcal{R}^{z}\right|=|Y \cap U z|<\epsilon|U|$ for all $z \in Z$. By Lemma 2.4 it suffices to show that $\left|\mathcal{R}_{y}\right|=|Z \cap U y| \geq 2 \epsilon|U|$ for all $y \in Y$. Fix $y \in Y$. Let $B$ be the set of $b \in U y$ such that $W^{\prime} b \nsubseteq U y$. Then $B \subseteq W^{\prime}\left(W^{\prime} U y \triangle U y\right)$ and thus

$$
\frac{|B|}{|U|} \leq\left|W^{\prime}\right| \cdot \frac{\left|W^{\prime} U \triangle U\right|}{|U|}<1 / 2-\epsilon \text {. }
$$

Let $A$ be the union of those sets $F_{c} c, c \in C$, which are contained in $U y$. Notice that $(X \backslash Y) \cap U y \subseteq B \cup A$. Therefore

$$
\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon+\frac{|A|}{|U|}>\frac{|(B \cup A) \cap U y|}{|U|} \geq \frac{|(X \backslash Y) \cap U y|}{|U|}>1-\epsilon,
$$

hence $|A|>|U| / 2$. By construction $|Z \cap A|>4 \epsilon|A|$. So $|Z \cap U y| \geq|Z \cap A|>2 \epsilon|U|$. We conclude that $\mathcal{R}$ is expansive.

Apply Proposition 2.1 to obtain a $G$-invariant $\mu$-conull set $X^{\prime} \subseteq X$ and a Borel injection $\rho: Y \cap X^{\prime} \rightarrow Z$ with graph $(\rho) \subseteq \mathcal{R}$. Consider the sets $F_{c} \cup\left\{g \in U: g c \in Y\right.$ and $\left.\rho(g c) \in F_{c} c\right\}$ as $c \in C$ varies. These are subsets of $W \cup U$ and thus there are only finitely many such sets which we can enumerate as $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{m}$. We partition $C \cap X^{\prime}$ into Borel sets $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{m}$ with $c \in C_{i}$ if and only if $c \in X^{\prime}$ and $F_{c} \cup\left\{g \in U: g c \in Y\right.$ and $\left.\rho(g c) \in F_{c} c\right\}=F_{i}$. Since $\rho$ is defined on all of $Y \cap X^{\prime}$, we see that the sets $\left\{F_{i} c: 1 \leq i \leq m, c \in C_{i}\right\}$ partition $X^{\prime}$. Finally, for $c \in C_{i} \cap X^{\prime}$, if we let $F_{j}^{\prime}$ be such that $\left|F_{c} \triangle F_{j}^{\prime}\right|<\epsilon\left|F_{j}^{\prime}\right|$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|F_{i} \triangle F_{j}^{\prime}\right| \leq\left|F_{i} \triangle F_{c}\right|+\left|F_{c} \triangle F_{j}^{\prime}\right| & \leq\left|\rho^{-1}\left(F_{c} c\right)\right|+\epsilon\left|F_{j}^{\prime}\right| \\
& \leq\left|Z_{c}\right|+\epsilon\left|F_{j}^{\prime}\right|<5 \epsilon\left|F_{c}\right|+\epsilon\left|F_{j}^{\prime}\right| \leq 6 \epsilon\left|F_{j}^{\prime}\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Lemma 3.5 and our choice of $\epsilon$, this implies that each set $F_{i}$ is $(K, \delta)$-invariant.

## 4. Clopen tower decompositions with Følner shapes

Let $G \curvearrowright X$ be an action of a group on a compact space. By a clopen tower we mean a pair $(B, S)$ where $B$ is a clopen subset of $X$ (the base of the tower) and $S$ is a finite subset of $G$ (the shape of the tower) such that the sets $s B$ for $s \in S$ are pairwise disjoint. By a clopen tower decomposition of $X$ we mean a finite collection $\left\{\left(B_{i}, S_{i}\right)\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ of clopen towers such that the sets $S_{1} B_{1}, \ldots, S_{n} B_{n}$ form a partition of $X$. We also similarly speak of measurable towers and measurable tower decompositions for an action $G \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ on a
measure space, with the bases now being measurable sets instead of clopen sets. In this terminology, Theorem 3.6 says that if $G \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ is a free p.m.p. action of a countable amenable group on a standard probabilty space then for every finite set $K \subseteq G$ and $\delta>0$ there exists, modulo a null set, a measurable tower decomposition of $X$ with $(K, \delta)$ invariant shapes.
Lemma 4.1. Let $G$ be a countably infinite amenable group and $G \curvearrowright X$ a free minimal action on the Cantor set. Then this action has a free minimal extension $G \curvearrowright Y$ on the Cantor set such that for every finite set $F \subseteq G$ and $\delta>0$ there is a clopen tower decomposition of $Y$ with $(F, \delta)$-invariant shapes.
Proof. Let $F_{1} \subseteq F_{2} \subseteq \ldots$ be an increasing sequence of finite subsets of $G$ whose union is equal to $G$. Fix a $G$-invariant Borel probability measure $\mu$ on $X$ (such a measure exists by amenability). The freeness of the action $G \curvearrowright X$ means that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we can apply Theorem 3.6 to produce, modulo a null set, a measurable tower decomposition $\mathcal{U}_{n}$ for the p.m.p. action $G \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ such that each shape is $\left(F_{n}, 1 / n\right)$-invariant. Let $A$ be the unital $G$-invariant $\mathrm{C}^{*}$-algebra of $L^{\infty}(X, \mu)$ generated by $C(X)$ and the indicator functions of the levels of each of the tower decompositions $\mathcal{U}_{n}$. Since there are countably many such indicator functions and the group $G$ is countable, the $\mathrm{C}^{*}$-algebra $A$ is separable. Therefore by the Gelfand-Naimark theorem we have $A=C(Z)$ for some zero-dimensional metrizable space $Z$ and a $G$-factor map $\varphi: Z \rightarrow X$. By a standard fact which can be established using Zorn's lemma, there exists a nonempty closed $G$-invariant set $Y \subseteq Z$ such that the restriction action $G \curvearrowright Y$ is minimal. Note that $Y$ is necessarily a Cantor set, since $G$ is infinite. Also, the action $G \curvearrowright Y$ is free, since it is an extension of a free action. Since the action on $Y$ is minimal, the restriction $\left.\varphi\right|_{Y}: Y \rightarrow X$ is surjective and hence a $G$-factor map. For each $n$ we get from $\mathcal{U}_{n}$ a clopen tower decomposition $\mathcal{V}_{n}$ of $Y$ with $\left(F_{n}, 1 / n\right)$-invariant shapes, and by intersecting the levels of the towers in $\mathcal{V}_{n}$ with $Y$ we obtain a clopen tower decomposition of $Y$ with $\left(F_{n}, 1 / n\right)$-invariant shapes, showing that the extension $G \curvearrowright Y$ has the desired property.

Let $X$ be the Cantor set and let $G$ be a countable infinite amenable group. The set $\operatorname{Act}(G, X)$ is a Polish space under the topology which has as a basis the sets

$$
U_{\alpha, \mathcal{P}, F}=\left\{\beta \in \operatorname{Act}(G, X): \alpha_{s} A=\beta_{s} A \text { for all } A \in \mathcal{P} \text { and } s \in F\right\}
$$

where $\alpha \in \operatorname{Act}(G, X), \mathcal{P}$ is a clopen partition of $X$, and $F$ is a finite subset of $G$. Write $\operatorname{FrMin}(G, X)$ for the set of actions in $\operatorname{Act}(G, X)$ which are free and minimal. Then $\operatorname{FrMin}(G, X)$ is a $G_{\delta}$ set. To see this, fix an enumeration $s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}, \ldots$ of $G$ and for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and nonempty clopen set $A \subseteq X$ define the set $\mathcal{W}_{n, A}$ of all $\alpha \in \operatorname{Act}(G, X)$ such that (i) $\bigcup_{s \in F} \alpha_{s} A=X$ for some finite set $F \subseteq G$, and (ii) there exists a clopen partition $\left\{A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k}\right\}$ of $A$ such that $\alpha_{s_{n}} A_{i} \cap A_{i}=\emptyset$ for all $i=1, \ldots, k$. Then each $\mathcal{W}_{n, A}$ is open, which means, with $A$ ranging over the countable collection of nonempty clopen subsets of $X$, that the intersection $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcap_{A} \mathcal{W}_{n, A}$, which is equal to $\operatorname{FrMin}(G, X)$, is a $G_{\delta}$ set. It follows that $\operatorname{FrMin}(G, X)$ is a Polish space.
Theorem 4.2. Let $G$ be a countably infinite amenable group. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the collection of actions in $\operatorname{FrMin}(G, X)$ with the property that for every finite set $F \subseteq G$ and $\delta>0$ there is a clopen tower decomposition of $X$ with $(F, \delta)$-invariant shapes. Then $\mathcal{C}$ is a dense $G_{\delta}$ subset of $\operatorname{FrMin}(G, X)$.

Proof. That $\mathcal{C}$ is a $G_{\delta}$ set is a simple exercise. Let $G \stackrel{\alpha}{\curvearrowright} X$ be any action in $\operatorname{FrMin}(G, X)$. By Lemma 4.1 this action has a free minimal extension $G \stackrel{\beta}{\curvearrowright} Y$ with the property in the theorem statement, where $Y$ is the Cantor set. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a clopen partition of $X$ and $F$ a nonempty finite subset of $G$. Write $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n}$ for the members of the clopen partition $\bigvee_{s \in F} s^{-1} \mathcal{P}$. Then for each $i=1, \ldots, n$ the set $A_{i}$ and its inverse image $\varphi^{-1}(A)$ under the extension map $\varphi: Y \rightarrow X$ are Cantor sets, and so we can find a homeomorphism $\psi_{i}: A_{i} \rightarrow \varphi^{-1}\left(A_{i}\right)$. Let $\psi: X \rightarrow Y$ be the homeomorphism which is equal to $\psi_{i}$ on $A_{i}$ for each $i$. Then the action $G \stackrel{\gamma}{\curvearrowright} X$ defined by $\gamma_{s}=\psi^{-1} \circ \beta_{s} \circ \psi$ for $s \in G$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}$ as well as to the basic open neighborhood $U_{\alpha, \mathcal{P}, F}$ of $\alpha$, establishing the density of $\mathcal{C}$.

## 5. Applications to Z-stability

A C*-algebra $A$ is said to be $Z$-stable if $A \otimes z \cong A$ where $Z$ is the Jiang-Su algebra [10], with the $\mathrm{C}^{*}$-tensor product being unique in this case because $\mathcal{Z}$ is nuclear. $\mathbb{z}$-stability has become an important regularity property in the classification program for simple separable nuclear C*-algebras, which has recently witnessed some spectacular advances. Thanks to recent work of Elliott-Gong-Lin-Niu [6, 4] and Tikuisis-White-Winter [22], it is now known that simple separable unital $\mathrm{C}^{*}$-algebras satisfying the universal coefficient theorem and having finite nuclear dimension are classified by ordered $K$-theory paired with tracial states. Although Z-stability does not appear in the hypotheses of this classification theorem, it does play an important technical role in the proof. Moreover, it is a conjecture of Toms and Winter that for simple separable infinite-dimensional unital nuclear C*-algebras the following properties are equivalent:
(i) Z-stability,
(ii) finite nuclear dimension,
(iii) strict comparison.

Implications between (i), (ii), and (iii) are known to hold in various degrees of generality. In particular, the implication $(\mathrm{ii}) \Rightarrow$ (i) was established in [23] while the converse is known to hold when the extreme boundary of the convex set of tracial states is compact [1]. It remains a problem to determine whether any of the crossed products of the actions in Theorem 5.4 falls within the purview of these positive results on the Toms-Winter conjecture, and in particular whether any of them has finite nuclear dimension (see Question 5.5).

By now there exist highly effectively methods for establishing finite nuclear dimension for crossed products of free actions on compact metrizable spaces of finite covering dimension $[20,21,7]$, but their utility is structurally restricted to groups with finite asymptotic dimension and hence excludes many amenable examples like the Grigorchuk group. One can show using the technology from [7] that, for a countably infinite amenable group with finite asymptotic dimension, the crossed product of a generic free minimal action on the Cantor set has finite nuclear dimension. Our intention here has been to remove the restriction of finite asymptotic dimension by means of a different approach that establishes instead the conjecturally equivalent property of $\mathbb{Z}$-stability but for arbitrary countably infinite amenable groups.

To simplify the verification of Z-stability in the proof of Theorem 5.3 we will use the following result from [8]. Here $\precsim$ denotes the relation of Cuntz subequivalence, so that
$a \precsim b$ for positive elements $a, b$ in a $\mathrm{C}^{*}$-algebra $A$ means that there is a sequence $\left\{v_{n}\right\}$ in $A$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|a-v_{n} b v_{n}^{*}\right\|=0$.
Theorem 5.1. Let $A$ be a simple separable unital nuclear $C^{*}$-algebra not isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}$. Suppose that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, finite set $\Omega \subseteq A, \varepsilon>0$, and nonzero positive element $a \in A$ there exists an order-zero complete positive contractive linear map $\varphi: M_{n} \rightarrow A$ such that
(i) $1-\varphi(1) \precsim a$,
(ii) $\|[b, \varphi(z)]\|<\varepsilon$ for all $b \in \Omega$ and norm-one $z \in M_{n}$.

Then $A$ is $z$-stable.
The following is the Ornstein-Weiss quasitiling theorem [17] as formulated in Theorem 3.36 of [11].
Theorem 5.2. Let $0<\beta<\frac{1}{2}$ and let $n$ be a positive integer such that $(1-\beta / 2)^{n}<$ $\beta$. Then whenever $e \in T_{1} \subseteq T_{2} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq T_{n}$ are finite subsets of a group $G$ such that $\left|\partial_{T_{i-1}} T_{i}\right| \leq(\eta / 8)\left|T_{i}\right|$ for $i=2, \ldots, n$, for every $\left(T_{n}, \beta / 4\right)$-invariant nonempty finite set $E \subseteq G$ there exist $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{n} \subseteq G$ such that
(i) $\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} T_{i} C_{i} \subseteq E$, and
(ii) the collection of right translates $\bigcup_{i=1}^{n}\left\{T_{i} c: c \in C_{i}\right\}$ is $\beta$-disjoint and $(1-\beta)$-covers $E$.

Theorem 5.3. Let $G$ be a countably infinite amenable group and let $G \curvearrowright X$ be a free minimal action on the Cantor set such that for every finite set $F \subseteq G$ and $\delta>0$ there is a clopen tower decomposition of $X$ with $(F, \delta)$-invariant shapes. Then $C(X) \rtimes G$ is z-stable.
Proof. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\Upsilon$ be a finite subset of the unit ball of $C(X), F$ a symmetric finite subset of $G$ containing $e$, and $\varepsilon>0$. Let $a$ be a nonzero positive element of $C(X) \rtimes G$. We will show the existence of an order-zero completely positive contractive linear map $\varphi: M_{n} \rightarrow C(X) \rtimes G$ satisfying (i) and (ii) in Theorem 5.1 where the finite set $\Omega$ there is taken to be $\Upsilon \cup\left\{u_{s}: s \in F\right\}$. Since $C(X) \rtimes G$ is generated as a C*-algebra by the unit ball of $C(X)$ and the unitaries $u_{s}$ for $s \in G$, we will thereafter be able to conclude by Theorem 5.1 that $C(X) \rtimes G$ is z-stable.

By Lemma 7.9 in [18] we may assume that $a$ is a function in $C(X)$. Taking a clopen set $A \subseteq X$ on which $a$ is nonzero, we may furthermore assume that $a$ is equal to the indicator function $\mathbf{1}_{A}$. Minimality implies that the clopen sets $s A$ for $s \in G$ cover $X$, and so by compactness there is a finite set $D \subseteq G$ such that $D^{-1} A=X$.

Equip $X$ with a compatible metric $d$. Choose an integer $Q>n^{2} / \varepsilon$.
Let $\gamma>0$, to be determined. Take a $0<\beta<1 / n$ which is small enough so that if $T$ is a nonempty finite subset of $G$ which is sufficiently invariant under left translation by $F^{Q+1}$ and $T^{\prime}$ is a subset of $T$ with $\left|T^{\prime}\right| \geq(1-n \beta)|T|$ then $\left|\bigcap_{s \in F^{Q+1}} s^{-1} T^{\prime}\right| \geq(1-\gamma)|T|$.

Choose an $L \in \mathbb{N}$ large enough so that $(1-\beta / 2)^{L}<\beta$. By amenability there exist finite subsets $e \in T_{1} \subseteq T_{2} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq T_{L}$ of $G$ such that $\left|\partial_{T_{l-1}} T_{l}\right| \leq(\beta / 8)\left|T_{l}\right|$ for $l=2, \ldots, L$. By the previous paragraph, we may also assume that for each $l$ the set $T_{l}$ is sufficiently invariant under left translation by $F^{Q+1}$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\bigcap_{s \in F^{Q+1}} s^{-1} T\right| \geq(1-\gamma)\left|T_{l}\right| . \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $T \subseteq T_{l}$ satisfying $|T| \geq(1-n \beta)\left|T_{l}\right|$.
By uniform continuity there is a $\eta>0$ such that $|f(x)-f(y)|<\varepsilon /\left(3 n^{2}\right)$ for all $f \in \Upsilon \cup \Upsilon^{2}$ and all $x, y \in X$ satisfying $d(x, y)<\eta$. Again by uniform continuity there is an $\eta^{\prime}>0$ such that $d(t x, t y)<\eta$ for all $x, y \in X$ satisfying $d(x, y)<\eta^{\prime}$ and all $t \in \bigcup_{l=1}^{L} T_{l}$. Fix a clopen partition $\left\{A_{1}, \ldots, A_{M}\right\}$ of $X$ whose members all have diameter less that $\eta^{\prime}$.

Let $E$ be a finite subset of $G$ containing $T_{L}$ and let $\delta>0$ be such that $\delta \leq \beta / 4$. We will further specify $E$ and $\delta$ below. By hypothesis there is a collection $\left\{\left(V_{k}, S_{k}\right)\right\}_{k=1}^{K}$ of clopen towers such that the shapes $S_{1}, \ldots, S_{K}$ are $(E, \delta)$-invariant and the sets $S_{1} V_{1}, \ldots, S_{J} V_{J}$ partition $X$. By a simple procedure we can construct, for each $k$, a clopen partition $\mathscr{P}_{k}$ of $V_{k}$ such that each level of every one of the towers ( $V, S_{k}$ ) for $V \in \mathscr{P}_{k}$ is contained in one of the sets $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{M}$ as well as in one of the sets $A$ and $X \backslash A$. By replacing ( $V_{k}, S_{k}$ ) with these thinner towers for each $k$, we may therefore assume that each level in every one of the towers $\left(V_{1}, S_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(V_{K}, S_{K}\right)$ is contained in one of the sets $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{M}$ and in one of the sets $A$ and $X \backslash A$.

Let $1 \leq k \leq K$. Since $S_{k}$ is $\left(T_{L}, \beta / 4\right)$-invariant, by Theorem 5.2 and our choice of the sets $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{L}$ we can find $C_{k, 1}, \ldots, C_{k, L} \subseteq S_{k}$ such that the collection $\left\{T_{l} c\right.$ : $\left.l=1, \ldots, L, c \in C_{k, l}\right\}$ is $\beta$-disjoint and $(1-\beta)$-covers $S_{k}$. By $\beta$-disjointness, for every $l=1, \ldots, L$ and $c \in C_{k, l}$ we can find a $T_{k, l, c} \subseteq T_{l}$ satisfying $\left|T_{k, l, c}\right| \geq(1-\beta)\left|T_{l}\right|$ so that the collection of sets $T_{k, l, c} c$ for $l=1, \ldots, L$ and $c \in C_{k, l}$ is disjoint and has the same union as the sets $T_{l} c$ for $l=1, \ldots, L$ and $c \in C_{k, l}$, so that it $(1-\beta)$-covers $S_{k}$.

For each $l=1, \ldots, L$ and $m=1, \ldots, M$ write $C_{k, l, m}$ for the set of all $c \in C_{k, l}$ such that $c V_{k} \subseteq A_{m}$, and choose pairwise disjoint subsets $C_{k, l, m}^{(1)}, \ldots, C_{k, l, m}^{(n)}$ of $C_{k, l, m}$ such that each has cardinality $\left\lfloor\left|C_{k, l, m}\right| / n\right\rfloor$. For each $i=2, \ldots, n$ choose a bijection

$$
\Lambda_{k, i}: \bigsqcup_{l, m} C_{k, l, m}^{(1)} \rightarrow \bigsqcup_{l, m} C_{k, l, m}^{(i)}
$$

which sends $C_{k, l, m}^{(1)}$ to $C_{k, l, m}^{(i)}$ for all $l, m$. Also, define $\Lambda_{k, 1}$ to be the identity map from $\bigsqcup_{l, m} C_{k, l, m}^{(1)}$ to itself.

Let $1 \leq l \leq L$ and $c \in \bigsqcup_{m} C_{k, l, m}^{(1)}$. Define the set $T_{k, l, c}^{\prime}=\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} T_{k, l, \Lambda_{k, i}(c)}$, which satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|T_{k, l, c}^{\prime}\right| \geq(1-n \beta)\left|T_{l}\right| \geq(1-n \beta)\left|T_{k, l, c}\right| \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

since each $T_{k, l, \Lambda_{k, i}(c)}$ is a subset of $T_{l}$ of cardinality at least $(1-\beta)\left|T_{l}\right|$. Set

$$
B_{k, l, c, 0}=T_{k, l, c}^{\prime} \backslash F^{Q-1} B_{k, l, c, Q}, \quad B_{k, l, c, Q}=\bigcap_{s \in F^{Q+1}} s T_{k, l, c}^{\prime},
$$

and, for $q=1, \ldots, Q-1$,

$$
B_{k, l, c, q}=F^{Q-q} B_{k, l, c, Q} \backslash F^{Q-q-1} B_{k, l, c, Q} .
$$

Then the sets $B_{k, l, c, 0}, \ldots, B_{k, l, c, Q}$ partition $T_{k, l, c}^{\prime}$. For $s \in F$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
s B_{k, l, c, Q} \subseteq B_{k, l, c, Q-1} \cup B_{k, l, c, Q}, \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

while for $q=1, \ldots, Q-1$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
s B_{k, l, c, q} \subseteq B_{k, l, c, q-1} \cup B_{k, l, c, q} \cup B_{k, l, c, q+1}, \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for if we are given a $t \in B_{k, l, c, q}$ then $s t \in F^{Q-q+1} B_{k, l, c, Q}$, while if $s t \in F^{Q-q-2} B_{k, l, c, Q}$ then $t \in F^{Q-q-1} B_{k, l, c, Q}$ since $F$ is symmetric, contradicting the membership of $t$ in $B_{k, l, c, q}$. Also, from (5.1) and (5.2) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|B_{k, l, c, Q}\right| \geq(1-\gamma)\left|T_{l}\right| \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $i=1, \ldots, n, c \in \bigsqcup_{m} C_{k, l, m}^{(i)}$, and $q=0, \ldots, Q$ we set $B_{k, l, c, q}=B_{k, l, \lambda_{k, i}^{-1}(c), q}$.
Write $\Lambda_{k, i, j}$ for the composition $\Lambda_{k, i} \circ \Lambda_{k, j}^{-1}$. Define a linear map $\psi: M_{n} \rightarrow C(X) \rtimes G$ by declaring it on the standard matrix units $\left\{e_{i j}\right\}_{i, j=1}^{n}$ of $M_{n}$ to be given by

$$
\psi\left(e_{i j}\right)=\sum_{c \in C_{k, l, m}^{(j)}} \sum_{t \in T_{k, l, c}^{\prime}} u_{t \Lambda_{k, i, j}(c) c^{-1} t^{-1}} \mathbf{1}_{t c V_{k}}
$$

and extending linearly. Then $\varphi\left(e_{i j}\right)^{*}=\varphi\left(e_{j i}\right)$ for all $i, j$ and the product $\psi\left(e_{i j}\right) \psi\left(e_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime}}\right)$ is 1 or 0 depending on whether $i=i^{\prime}$, so that $\psi$ is a ${ }^{*}$-homomorphism.

For all $k$ and $l$, all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, and all $c \in \bigsqcup_{m} C_{k, l, m}^{(i)}$ we set

$$
h_{k, l, c, i, j}=\sum_{q=1}^{Q} \sum_{t \in B_{k, l, c, q}} \frac{q}{Q} u_{t \Lambda_{k, i, j}(c) c^{-1} t^{-1}} \mathbf{1}_{t c V_{k}}
$$

and put

$$
h=\sum_{k, l, m} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{c \in C_{k, l, m}^{(i)}} h_{k, l, c, i, i}
$$

Then $h$ is a norm-one function which commutes with the image of $\psi$, and so we can define an order-zero completely positive contractive linear map $\varphi: M_{n} \rightarrow C(X) \rtimes G$ by setting

$$
\varphi(z)=h \psi(z)
$$

Note that $\varphi\left(e_{i j}\right)=\sum_{k, l, m} \sum_{c \in C_{k, l, m}^{(j)}} h_{k, l, c, i, j}$.
We now verify condition (ii) in Theorem 5.1 for the elements of the set $\left\{u_{s}: s \in F\right\}$. Let $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. For all $k$ and $l$, all $c \in \bigsqcup_{m} C_{k, l, m}^{(i)}$, and all $s \in F$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{s} h_{k, l, c, i, j} u_{s}^{-1}-h_{k, l, c, i, j}=\sum_{q=1}^{Q} \sum_{t \in B_{k, l, c, q}} & \frac{q}{Q} u_{s t \Lambda_{k, i, j}(c) c^{-1}(s t)^{-1}} \mathbf{1}_{s t c V_{k}} \\
& -\sum_{q=1}^{Q} \sum_{t \in B_{k, l, c, q}} \frac{q}{Q} u_{t \Lambda_{k, i, j}(c) c^{-1} t^{-1}} \mathbf{1}_{t c},
\end{aligned}
$$

and so in view of (5.3) and (5.4) we obtain

$$
\left\|u_{s} h_{k, l, c, i, j} u_{s}^{-1}-h_{k, l, c, i, j}\right\| \leq \frac{1}{Q}<\frac{\varepsilon}{n^{2}}
$$

Since each of the elements $b=u_{s} h_{k, l, c, i, j} u_{s}^{-1}-h_{k, l, c, i, j}$ is such that $b^{*} b$ and $b b^{*}$ are dominated by twice the indicator functions of $T_{k, l, c}^{\prime} c V_{k}$ and $T_{k, l, \Lambda_{k, i, j}(c)}^{\prime} \Lambda_{k, i, j}(c) V_{k}$, respectively, and
the sets $T_{k, l, c}^{\prime} c V_{k}$ over all $k, l$ and all $c \in \bigsqcup_{m} \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{n} C_{k, l, m}^{(i)}$ are pairwise disjoint, this yields

$$
\left\|u_{s} \varphi\left(e_{i j}\right) u_{s}^{-1}-\varphi\left(e_{i j}\right)\right\|=\max _{k, l, m} \max _{c \in C_{k, l, m}^{(i)}}\left\|u_{s} h_{k, l, c, i, j} u_{s}^{-1}-h_{k, l, c, i, j}\right\|<\frac{\varepsilon}{n^{2}}
$$

and hence, for every norm-one element $z=\left(z_{i j}\right) \in M_{n}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\left[u_{s}, \varphi(z)\right]\right\|=\left\|u_{s} \varphi(z) u_{s}^{-1}-\varphi(z)\right\| & \leq \sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left|z_{i j}\right|\left\|u_{s} \varphi\left(e_{i j}\right) u_{s}^{-1}-\varphi\left(e_{i j}\right)\right\| \\
& <n^{2} \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{n^{2}}=\varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

Next we verify condition (ii) in Theorem 5.1 for the functions in $\Upsilon$. Let $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. Let $g \in \Upsilon \cup \Upsilon^{2}$. Let $1 \leq k \leq K$ and $1 \leq l \leq L$, and let $c \in C_{k, l, m}^{(j)}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{k, l, c, c, i, j}^{*} g h_{k, l, c, i, j}=\sum_{q=1}^{Q} \sum_{t \in B_{k, l, c, q}} \frac{q^{2}}{Q^{2}}\left(t c \Lambda_{k, i, j}(c)^{-1} t^{-1} g\right) \mathbf{1}_{t c V_{k}} \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
g h_{k, c, i, j}^{*} h_{k, c, i, j}=\sum_{q=1}^{Q} \sum_{t \in B_{k, l, c, q}} \frac{q^{2}}{Q^{2}} g \mathbf{1}_{t c V_{k}} \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let $x \in V_{k}$. Since $\Lambda_{k, i, j}(c) x$ and $c x$ both belong to $A_{m}$, we have $d\left(\Lambda_{k, i, j}(c) x, c x\right)<\eta^{\prime}$. It follows that for every $t \in T_{l}$ we have $d\left(t \Lambda_{k, i, j}(c) x, t c x\right)<\eta$ by our choice of $\eta^{\prime}$, so that $\left|g\left(t \Lambda_{k, i, j}(c) x\right)-g(t c x)\right|<\varepsilon /\left(3 n^{2}\right)$ by our choice of $\eta$, in which case

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\left(t c \Lambda_{k, i, j}(c)^{-1} t^{-1} g-g\right) \mathbf{1}_{t c V_{k}}\right\| & =\left\|c^{-1} t^{-1}\left(\left(t c \Lambda_{k, i, j}(c)^{-1} t^{-1} g-g\right) \mathbf{1}_{t c V_{k}}\right)\right\| \\
& =\left\|\left(\Lambda_{k, i, j}(c)^{-1} t^{-1} g-c^{-1} t^{-1} g\right) \mathbf{1}_{V_{k}}\right\| \\
& =\sup _{x \in V_{k}}\left|g\left(t \Lambda_{k, i, j}(c) x\right)-g(t c x)\right| \\
& <\frac{\varepsilon}{3 n^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (5.6) and (5.7) this gives us

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|h_{k, l, c, i, j}^{*} g h_{k, l, c, i, j}-g h_{k, l, c, i, j}^{*} h_{k, l, c, i, j}\right\|  \tag{5.8}\\
& \quad=\max _{q=1, \ldots, Q} \max _{t \in B_{k, l, c, q}} \frac{q^{2}}{Q^{2}}\left\|\left(t c \Lambda_{k, i, j}(c)^{-1} t^{-1} g-g\right) \mathbf{1}_{t c V_{k}}\right\|<\frac{\varepsilon}{3 n^{2}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Set $w=\varphi\left(e_{i j}\right)$ for brevity. Let $f \in \Upsilon$. Since the functions $h_{k, l, c, i, j}$ over all $k, l$, and $c \in C_{k, l, m}^{(i)}$ have pairwise disjoint supports, we infer from (5.8) that

$$
\left\|w^{*} g w-g w^{*} w\right\|<\frac{\varepsilon}{3 n^{2}}
$$

for $g$ equal to either $f$ or $f^{2}$. It follows that

$$
\left\|w^{*} f^{2} w-f w^{*} f w\right\| \leq\left\|w^{*} f^{2} w-f^{2} w^{*} w\right\|+\left\|f\left(f w^{*} w-w^{*} f w\right)\right\|<\frac{2 \varepsilon}{3 n^{2}}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|f w-w f\|^{2} & =\left\|(f w-w f)^{*}(f w-w f)\right\| \\
& =\left\|w^{*} f^{2} w-f w^{*} f w+f w^{*} w f-w^{*} f w f\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|w^{*} f^{2} w-f w^{*} f w\right\|+\left\|\left(f w^{*} w-w^{*} f w\right) f\right\| \\
& <\frac{2 \varepsilon}{3 n^{2}}+\frac{\varepsilon}{3 n^{2}}=\frac{\varepsilon}{n^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore for every norm-one element $z=\left(z_{i j}\right) \in M_{n}$ we have

$$
\|[f, \varphi(z)]\| \leq \sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left|z_{i j}\right|\left\|\left[f, \varphi\left(e_{i j}\right)\right]\right\|<n^{2} \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{n^{2}}=\varepsilon
$$

Finally, we verify that the parameters in the construction of $\varphi$ can be chosen so that $1-\varphi(1) \precsim \mathbf{1}_{A}$. By taking the sets $S_{1}, \ldots, S_{K}$ to be sufficiently left invariant (by enlarging $E$ and shrinking $\delta$ if necessary) we may assume that for every $k=1, \ldots, K$ there is an $S_{k}^{\prime} \subseteq S_{k}$ such that the set $\left\{s \in S_{k}^{\prime}: D s \subseteq S_{k}\right\}$ has cardinality at least $\left|S_{k}\right| / 2$. Let $1 \leq k \leq K$. Take a maximal set $S_{k}^{\prime \prime} \subseteq S_{k}^{\prime}$ such that the sets $D s$ for $s \in S_{k}^{\prime \prime}$ are pairwise disjoint, and note that $\left|S_{k}^{\prime \prime}\right| \geq\left|S_{k}^{\prime}\right| /\left|D^{-1} D\right| \geq\left|S_{k}\right| /\left(2|D|^{2}\right)$. Since $D^{-1} A=X$, each of the sets $D s V_{k}$ for $s \in S_{k}^{\prime \prime}$ intersects $A$, and so the set $S_{k}^{\sharp}$ of all $s \in S_{k}$ such that $s V_{k} \subseteq A$ has cardinality at least $\left|S_{k}\right| /\left(2|D|^{2}\right)$. Define $S_{k, 1}=\bigsqcup_{l, m} \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{n} \bigsqcup_{c \in C_{k, l, m}^{(i)}} B_{k, l, c, Q} c$, which is the set of all $s \in S_{k}$ such that the function $\varphi(1)$ takes the value 1 on $s V_{k}$. Set $S_{k, 0}=S_{k} \backslash S_{k, 1}$. Since $\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|C_{k, l, m}^{(i)}\right| \geq\left|C_{k, l, m}\right|-n$ for every $l$ and $m$, by choosing the sets $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n}$ to be large enough (which we may do) we can ensure that for every $i=1, \ldots, n$ we have, using (5.2) for the second inequality and the ( $1-\beta$ )-coverage of $S_{k}$ by the sets $T_{k, l, c} c$ for $c \in C_{k, l}$ for the third inequality,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\bigsqcup_{l, m} \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{n} \bigsqcup_{c \in C_{k, l, m}^{(i)}} T_{k, l, c}^{\prime} c\right| & \geq(1-\gamma)\left|\bigsqcup_{l, m} \bigsqcup_{c \in C_{k, l, m}} T_{k, l, c}^{\prime} c\right| \\
& \geq(1-\gamma)(1-n \beta) \sum_{l}\left|T_{k, l, c}\right|\left|C_{k, l}\right| \\
& \geq(1-\gamma)(1-n \beta)(1-\beta)\left|S_{k}\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since for all $l$ and $i$ and all $c \in C_{k, l, m}^{(i)}$ the inequality (5.5) yields

$$
\left|B_{k, l, c, Q}\right| \geq(1-\gamma)\left|T_{k, l, c}^{\prime}\right|,
$$

it follows, putting $\lambda=(1-\gamma)^{2}(1-n \beta)(1-\beta)$, that

$$
\left|S_{k, 1}\right| \geq(1-\gamma)\left|\bigsqcup_{l, m} \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{n} \bigsqcup_{c \in C_{k, l, m}^{(i)}} T_{k, l, c}^{\prime} c\right| \geq \lambda\left|S_{k}\right| .
$$

By taking $\gamma$ and $\beta$ small enough we can guarantee that $1-\lambda \leq 1 /\left(2|D|^{2}\right)$ and hence

$$
\left|S_{k, 0}\right| \leq\left|S_{k}\right|-\left|S_{k, 1}\right| \leq(1-\lambda)\left|S_{k}\right| \leq\left|S_{k}^{\sharp}\right|,
$$

so that there exists an injection $\theta_{k}: S_{k, 0} \rightarrow S_{k}^{\sharp}$. Define

$$
z=\sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{s \in S_{k, 0}} u_{\theta_{k}(s) s^{-1}} \mathbf{1}_{s V_{k}} .
$$

A simple computation shows that $z^{*} \mathbf{1}_{A} z$ is the indicator function of $\bigsqcup_{k=1}^{K} S_{k, 0} V_{k}$, which is the support of $1-\varphi(1)$, and so putting $v=(1-\varphi(1))^{1 / 2} z^{*}$ we get

$$
v \mathbf{1}_{A} v^{*}=(1-\varphi(1))^{1 / 2} z^{*} \mathbf{1}_{A} z(1-\varphi(1))^{1 / 2}=1-\varphi(1) .
$$

This demonstrates that $1-\varphi(1) \precsim \mathbf{1}_{A}$, as desired.
Combining Theorems 5.1 and 4.2 yields the following.
Theorem 5.4. Let $G$ be a countably infinite amenable group and $X$ the Cantor set. Then the set of all actions in $\operatorname{Fr} \operatorname{Min}(G, X)$ whose crossed product is $Z$-stable is comeager, and in particular nonempty.
Question 5.5. Do any of the crossed products in Theorem 5.4 have tracial state space with compact extreme boundary (from which we would be able to conclude finite nuclear dimension by [1] and hence classifiability)? For $G=\mathbb{Z}$ there is a comeager orbit in $\operatorname{FrMin}(G, X)$ under the conjugation action of the homeomorphism group of $X$, and this generic action is the universal odometer, which is uniquely ergodic (so that the crossed product has a unique tracial state) [9]. However, already for $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ nothing of this nature seems to be known. On the other hand, it is known that the crossed products of free minimal actions of finitely generated nilpotent groups on compact metrizable spaces of finite covering dimension have finite nuclear dimension, and in particular are z-stable [21].
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