K-theory of noncommutative Bernoulli shifts NYC-NCG Seminar

Julian Kranz (University of Münster)

joint work in progress with S. Chakraborty, S. Echterhoff, and S. Nishikawa

September 28, 2022

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

a) What are noncommutative Bernoulli shifts?

a) What are noncommutative Bernoulli shifts?

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(()

b) Main results

- a) What are noncommutative Bernoulli shifts?
- b) Main results
- c) What is KK-theory and the Baum-Connes conjecture?

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

- a) What are noncommutative Bernoulli shifts?
- b) Main results
- c) What is KK-theory and the Baum-Connes conjecture?

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ □ のへぐ

d) Some techniques appearing in the proofs

Definition

Let G be a discrete group, A a unital C*-algebra and $\alpha: G \rightarrow Aut(A)$ an action.



Definition

Let G be a discrete group, A a unital C*-algebra and $\alpha: G \rightarrow Aut(A)$ an action. The *reduced crossed product*

 $A \rtimes_r G \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\ell^2(G,A))$

is the C*-algebra generated by $\tilde{\alpha}(A), \lambda(G) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\ell^2(G, A))$ where

Definition

Let G be a discrete group, A a unital C*-algebra and $\alpha: G \rightarrow Aut(A)$ an action. The *reduced crossed product*

$$A \rtimes_r G \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\ell^2(G,A))$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

is the C*-algebra generated by $\tilde{\alpha}(A), \lambda(G) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\ell^2(G, A))$ where

$$ilde{lpha}(a)\xi(g)\coloneqqlpha_{g^{-1}}(a)\xi(g)$$
 $\lambda_g\xi(h)\coloneqq\xi(g^{-1}h)$
for $a\in A,\ \xi\in\ell^2(G,A),\ g,h\in G.$

a)
$$\mathbb{C} \rtimes_r G = C^*_r(G)$$
.



a) $\mathbb{C} \rtimes_r G = C_r^*(G)$.

b) Let $H \rtimes G$ be a semi-direct product of groups. Then

 $C_r^*(H \rtimes G) \cong C_r^*(H) \rtimes_r G.$

<□ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ < つ < ○</p>

a) C ⋊_r G = C^{*}_r(G).
b) Let H ⋊ G be a semi-direct product of groups. Then

 $C_r^*(H \rtimes G) \cong C_r^*(H) \rtimes_r G.$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

c) Let $u: G \to \mathcal{U}(A)$ be a unitary representation.

a) $\mathbb{C} \rtimes_r G = C_r^*(G)$.

b) Let $H \rtimes G$ be a semi-direct product of groups. Then

$$C_r^*(H \rtimes G) \cong C_r^*(H) \rtimes_r G.$$

c) Let $u: G \to U(A)$ be a unitary representation. Denote by Ad $(u): G \to Aut(A)$, Ad $(u_g)(a) \coloneqq u_g a u_g^*$ the induced action.

a) $\mathbb{C} \rtimes_r G = C^*_r(G)$.

b) Let $H \rtimes G$ be a semi-direct product of groups. Then

$$C_r^*(H \rtimes G) \cong C_r^*(H) \rtimes_r G.$$

c) Let $u: G \to U(A)$ be a unitary representation. Denote by $\operatorname{Ad}(u): G \to \operatorname{Aut}(A), \ \operatorname{Ad}(u_g)(a) \coloneqq u_g a u_g^*$ the induced action. Then

$$A \rtimes_r G \cong A \otimes C^*_r(G)$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

a) $\mathbb{C} \rtimes_r G = C^*_r(G)$.

b) Let $H \rtimes G$ be a semi-direct product of groups. Then

$$C_r^*(H \rtimes G) \cong C_r^*(H) \rtimes_r G.$$

c) Let $u: G \to U(A)$ be a unitary representation. Denote by $\operatorname{Ad}(u): G \to \operatorname{Aut}(A), \ \operatorname{Ad}(u_g)(a) \coloneqq u_g a u_g^*$ the induced action. Then

$$\begin{array}{rcl} A\rtimes_r G&\cong&A\otimes C_r^*(G)\\ \lambda_g&\mapsto&u_g\otimes\lambda_g\\ \tilde{\alpha}(a)&\mapsto&a\otimes 1. \end{array}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

Bernoulli shifts

Definition

Let G be a discrete group and X a compact Hausdorff space. The Bernoulli shift of G on X is the shift action

$$G \curvearrowright X^G \coloneqq \prod_{g \in G} X.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ □臣 ○のへ⊙

Noncommutative Bernoulli shifts

Definition

Let G be a discrete group and A a unital C^* -algebra. The Bernoulli shift of G on A is the shift action

$$G \curvearrowright A^{\otimes G} \coloneqq \bigotimes_{g \in G} A.$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

Noncommutative Bernoulli shifts

Definition

Let G be a discrete group and A a unital C^* -algebra. The *Bernoulli shift* of G on A is the shift action

$$G \curvearrowright A^{\otimes G} \coloneqq \bigotimes_{g \in G} A.$$

Example (Wreath Products) Let G, H be groups, $H \wr G := (\bigoplus_{g \in G} H) \rtimes G$. Then $C_r^*(H \wr G) = C_r^*(H)^{\otimes G} \rtimes_r G$.

K-theory of the crossed product

Problem

Let *G* be a discrete group and *A* a unital *C*^{*}-algebra. Can we compute the *K*-theory $K_*(A^{\otimes G} \rtimes_r G)$?

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

K-theory of the crossed product

Problem

Let *G* be a discrete group and *A* a unital *C*^{*}-algebra. Can we compute the *K*-theory $K_*(A^{\otimes G} \rtimes_r G)$?

Theorem (Xin Li)

Let H be a finite group and G a discrete group satisfying the Baum–Connes conjecture with coefficients (e.g. an amenable group). Then

$$\mathcal{K}_*(\mathcal{C}^*_r(H \wr G)) \cong \bigoplus_{[F] \in G \setminus \text{FIN}} \bigoplus_{[S] \in G_F \setminus \{1, \dots, N\}^F} \mathcal{K}_*(\mathcal{C}^*_r(G_S)),$$

where FIN denotes the set of finite subsets of G, N is the number of non-trivial conjugacy classes in H, and G_F is the stabilizer of F in G (note that $G_{\emptyset} = G$).

$\mathcal{K}_*(C_r^*(H \wr G)) \cong \bigoplus_{[F] \in G \setminus \text{FIN}} \bigoplus_{[S] \in G_F \setminus \{1, \dots, N\}^F} \mathcal{K}_*(C_r^*(G_S)),$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへで

$$\mathcal{K}_*(C_r^*(H \wr G)) \cong \bigoplus_{[F] \in G \setminus \text{FIN}} \bigoplus_{[S] \in G_F \setminus \{1, \dots, N\}^F} \mathcal{K}_*(C_r^*(G_S)),$$

a) Write

$$C_r^*(H \wr G) \cong C_r^*(H)^{\otimes G} \rtimes_r G \cong (\mathbb{C} \oplus M_{k_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus M_{k_N})^{\otimes G} \rtimes_r G.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ 臣▶ ◆ 臣▶ ○ 臣 ○ の Q @

$$K_*(C_r^*(H \wr G)) \cong \bigoplus_{[F] \in G \setminus \text{FIN}} \bigoplus_{[S] \in G_F \setminus \{1,...,N\}^F} K_*(C_r^*(G_S)),$$

a) Write $C_r^*(H \wr G) \cong C_r^*(H)^{\otimes G} \rtimes_r G \cong (\mathbb{C} \oplus M_{k_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus M_{k_N})^{\otimes G} \rtimes_r G.$

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

b) Construct a unital *KK*-equivalence $\mathbb{C} \oplus M_{k_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus M_{k_N} \sim_{KK} \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}^N$.

$$\mathcal{K}_*(C^*_r(H \wr G)) \cong \bigoplus_{[F] \in G \setminus \text{FIN}} \bigoplus_{[S] \in G_F \setminus \{1, \dots, N\}^F} \mathcal{K}_*(C^*_r(G_S)),$$

- a) Write $C_r^*(H \wr G) \cong C_r^*(H)^{\otimes G} \rtimes_r G \cong (\mathbb{C} \oplus M_{k_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus M_{k_N})^{\otimes G} \rtimes_r G.$
- b) Construct a unital *KK*-equivalence $\mathbb{C} \oplus M_{k_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus M_{k_N} \sim_{KK} \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}^N$.
- c) Use Baum–Connes to conclude $\mathcal{K}_*((\mathbb{C} \oplus M_{k_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus M_{k_N})^{\otimes G} \rtimes_r G) \cong \mathcal{K}_*((\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}^N)^{\otimes G} \rtimes_r G).$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ ○ ○ ○

$$K_*(C_r^*(H \wr G)) \cong \bigoplus_{[F] \in G \setminus \text{FIN}} \bigoplus_{[S] \in G_F \setminus \{1,...,N\}^F} K_*(C_r^*(G_S)),$$

- a) Write $C_r^*(H \wr G) \cong C_r^*(H)^{\otimes G} \rtimes_r G \cong (\mathbb{C} \oplus M_{k_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus M_{k_N})^{\otimes G} \rtimes_r G.$
- b) Construct a unital *KK*-equivalence $\mathbb{C} \oplus M_{k_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus M_{k_N} \sim_{KK} \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}^N$.
- c) Use Baum–Connes to conclude $K_*((\mathbb{C} \oplus M_{k_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus M_{k_N})^{\otimes G} \rtimes_r G) \cong K_*((\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}^N)^{\otimes G} \rtimes_r G).$
- d) Construct an isomorphism

$$(\mathbb{C}\oplus\mathbb{C}^N)^{\otimes G}\cong\bigoplus_{F\in\mathrm{FIN}}(\mathbb{C}^N)^{\otimes F}\cong\bigoplus_{F\in\mathrm{FIN}}C(\{1,\ldots,N\}^F).$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

$$K_*(C_r^*(H \wr G)) \cong \bigoplus_{[F] \in G \setminus \text{FIN}} \bigoplus_{[S] \in G_F \setminus \{1,...,N\}^F} K_*(C_r^*(G_S)),$$

- a) Write $C_r^*(H \wr G) \cong C_r^*(H)^{\otimes G} \rtimes_r G \cong (\mathbb{C} \oplus M_{k_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus M_{k_N})^{\otimes G} \rtimes_r G.$
- b) Construct a unital *KK*-equivalence $\mathbb{C} \oplus M_{k_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus M_{k_N} \sim_{KK} \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}^N$.
- c) Use Baum–Connes to conclude $\mathcal{K}_*((\mathbb{C} \oplus M_{k_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus M_{k_N})^{\otimes G} \rtimes_r G) \cong \mathcal{K}_*((\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}^N)^{\otimes G} \rtimes_r G).$
- d) Construct an isomorphism

$$(\mathbb{C}\oplus\mathbb{C}^N)^{\otimes G}\cong\bigoplus_{F\in\mathrm{FIN}}(\mathbb{C}^N)^{\otimes F}\cong\bigoplus_{F\in\mathrm{FIN}}C(\{1,\ldots,N\}^F).$$

e) Compute $K_*((\bigoplus_{F \in \text{FIN}} C(\{1, \dots, N\}^F)) \rtimes_r G)$.

These properties can be abstracted!

 $K_*(C_r^*(H \wr G)) \cong \bigoplus_{[F] \in G \setminus \text{FIN} [S] \in G_F \setminus \{1, \dots, N\}^F} K_*(C_r^*(G_S)),$

a) Write

 $C^*_r(H \wr G) \cong C^*_r(H)^{\otimes G} \rtimes_r G \cong (\mathbb{C} \oplus M_{k_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus M_{k_N})^{\otimes G} \rtimes_r G.$

- b) Construct a unital *KK*-equivalence $\mathbb{C} \oplus M_{k_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus M_{k_N} \sim_{KK} \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}^N$.
- c) Use Baum–Connes to conclude $K_*((\mathbb{C} \oplus M_{k_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus M_{k_N})^{\otimes G} \rtimes_r G) \cong K_*((\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}^N)^{\otimes G} \rtimes_r G).$
- d) Construct an isomorphism

$$(\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}^N)^{\otimes G} \cong \bigoplus_{F \in \mathrm{FIN}} (\mathbb{C}^N)^{\otimes F} \cong \bigoplus_{F \in \mathrm{FIN}} C(\{1, \ldots, N\}^F).$$

e) Compute $K_*((\bigoplus_{F \in \text{FIN}} C(\{1, \ldots, N\}^F)) \rtimes_F G)$.

Main results

Theorem (Chakraborty-Echterhoff-K-Nishikawa)

Let $A \cong M_{k_0} \oplus \cdots \oplus M_{k_N}$ be a finite-dimensional C^* -algebra and G a discrete group satisfying the Baum–Connes conjecture with coefficients. Write $n := \gcd(k_0, \ldots, k_N)$. Then we have

$$\mathcal{K}_*(A^{\otimes G} \rtimes_r G) \cong \bigoplus_{[F] \in G \setminus \text{FIN}} \bigoplus_{[S] \in G_F \setminus \{1, \dots, N\}^F} \mathcal{K}_*(C_r^*(G_S))[1/n].$$

Main results

Theorem (Chakraborty-Echterhoff-K-Nishikawa)

Let $A \cong M_{k_0} \oplus \cdots \oplus M_{k_N}$ be a finite-dimensional C^* -algebra and G a discrete group satisfying the Baum–Connes conjecture with coefficients. Write $n := \gcd(k_0, \ldots, k_N)$. Then we have

$$\mathcal{K}_*(A^{\otimes G} \rtimes_r G) \cong \bigoplus_{[F] \in G \setminus \mathrm{FIN}} \bigoplus_{[S] \in G_F \setminus \{1, \dots, N\}^F} \mathcal{K}_*(C_r^*(G_S))[1/n].$$

Theorem (Chakraborty–Echterhoff–K–Nishikawa) Let H be an amenable group and G as above. Write $B = \ker(1_H: C_r^*(H) \to \mathbb{C})$. Then

$$\mathcal{K}_*(C^*_r(H \wr G)) \cong \bigoplus_{[F] \in G \setminus \mathrm{FIN}} \mathcal{K}_*(B^{\otimes F} \rtimes_r G_F),$$

where $B^{\otimes \emptyset} \coloneqq \mathbb{C}$.

Reminder on Kasparov's KK^G -theory

Let *G* be a countable discrete group. There is an additive category KK^G with separable G- C^* -algebras as objects, and a functor

$$j: \{ \text{sep. } G\text{-}C^*\text{-}\text{alg.} \} \to KK^G$$

with the following properties:



Reminder on Kasparov's KK^G -theory

Let *G* be a countable discrete group. There is an additive category KK^G with separable *G*-*C*^{*}-algebras as objects, and a functor

$$j: \{ \text{sep. } G\text{-}C^*\text{-}\text{alg.} \} \to KK^G$$

with the following properties:

a) If we write $KK = KK^{\{e\}}$, then $K_0(A) \cong KK(\mathbb{C}, A)$ and $K_1(A) \cong KK(C_0(\mathbb{R}), A)$.

Reminder on Kasparov's *KK^G*-theory

Let *G* be a countable discrete group. There is an additive category KK^G with separable G- C^* -algebras as objects, and a functor

$$j: \{ \text{sep. } G\text{-}C^*\text{-}\text{alg.} \} \to KK^G$$

with the following properties:

- a) If we write $KK = KK^{\{e\}}$, then $K_0(A) \cong KK(\mathbb{C}, A)$ and $K_1(A) \cong KK(C_0(\mathbb{R}), A)$.
- b) The reduced crossed product $A \mapsto A \rtimes_r G$ descends to a functor

$$-\rtimes_r G\colon KK^G\to KK.$$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Reminder on Kasparov's *KK^G*-theory

Let *G* be a countable discrete group. There is an additive category KK^G with separable G- C^* -algebras as objects, and a functor

$$j: \{ \text{sep. } G\text{-}C^*\text{-}\text{alg.} \} \to KK^G$$

with the following properties:

- a) If we write $KK = KK^{\{e\}}$, then $K_0(A) \cong KK(\mathbb{C}, A)$ and $K_1(A) \cong KK(C_0(\mathbb{R}), A)$.
- b) The reduced crossed product $A \mapsto A \rtimes_r G$ descends to a functor

$$-\rtimes_r G\colon KK^G\to KK.$$

c) For a subgroup $H \subseteq G$, there are induction and restriction functors

$$\mathsf{Ind}_{H}^{G} \colon KK^{H} \xleftarrow{} KK^{G} \colon \mathsf{Res}_{G}^{H}$$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Reminder on Kasparov's KK^G -theory

Let *G* be a countable discrete group. There is an additive category KK^G with separable *G*-*C*^{*}-algebras as objects, and a functor

$$j: \{ \text{sep. } G\text{-}C^*\text{-}\text{alg.} \} \to KK^G$$

with the following properties:

d) j sends G-Morita equivalences to isomorphisms (KK^G -equivalences).

Reminder on Kasparov's *KK^G*-theory

Let *G* be a countable discrete group. There is an additive category KK^G with separable G- C^* -algebras as objects, and a functor

$$j: \{ \text{sep. } G\text{-}C^*\text{-}\text{alg.} \} \to KK^G$$

with the following properties:

- d) j sends G-Morita equivalences to isomorphisms (KK^G -equivalences).
- e) Suppose A and B are KK-equivalent and that H is a finite group. Then $A^{\otimes H}$ and $B^{\otimes H}$ are KK^{H} -equivalent (Izumi).

Reminder on Kasparov's KK^G -theory

Let *G* be a countable discrete group. There is an additive category KK^G with separable G- C^* -algebras as objects, and a functor

 $j: \{ \text{sep. } G\text{-}C^*\text{-}\text{alg.} \} \rightarrow KK^G$

with the following properties:

- d) *j* sends *G*-Morita equivalences to isomorphisms (*KK*^{*G*}-equivalences).
- e) Suppose A and B are KK-equivalent and that H is a finite group. Then $A^{\otimes H}$ and $B^{\otimes H}$ are KK^{H} -equivalent (Izumi).

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

f) If *H* is finite, then $KK^H(\mathbb{C},\mathbb{C})$ is isomorphic to the representation ring $R_{\mathbb{C}}(H)$.

The Baum–Connes conjecture with coefficients

Conjecture (BCC)

Let G be a discrete group, let A, B be G-C^{*}-algebras and $\varphi \in KK^{G}(A, B)$ such that the induced map

$$K_*(A \rtimes_r H) \to K_*(B \rtimes_r H)$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

is an isomorphism for every finite subgroup $H \subseteq G$.

The Baum–Connes conjecture with coefficients

Conjecture (BCC)

Let G be a discrete group, let A, B be G-C*-algebras and $\varphi \in KK^{G}(A, B)$ such that the induced map

$$K_*(A \rtimes_r H) \to K_*(B \rtimes_r H)$$

is an isomorphism for every finite subgroup $H \subseteq G$. Then the induced map

$$K_*(A \rtimes_r G) \to K_*(B \rtimes_r G)$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

is an isomorphism.

The Baum–Connes conjecture with coefficients

Conjecture (BCC)

Let G be a discrete group, let A, B be G-C*-algebras and $\varphi \in KK^{G}(A, B)$ such that the induced map

$$K_*(A \rtimes_r H) \to K_*(B \rtimes_r H)$$

is an isomorphism for every finite subgroup $H \subseteq G$. Then the induced map

$$K_*(A \rtimes_r G) \to K_*(B \rtimes_r G)$$

is an isomorphism.

Remark

This formulation is due to *Meyer–Nest*, based on results of *Chabert–Echterhoff–Oyono-Oyono*.

The Baum–Connes conjecture with coefficients

Conjecture (BCC)

Let G be a discrete group, let A, B be G-C*-algebras and $\varphi \in KK^{G}(A, B)$ such that the induced map

$$K_*(A \rtimes_r H) \to K_*(B \rtimes_r H)$$

is an isomorphism for every finite subgroup $H \subseteq G$. Then the induced map

$$K_*(A \rtimes_r G) \to K_*(B \rtimes_r G)$$

is an isomorphism.

Remark

This formulation is due to *Meyer–Nest*, based on results of *Chabert–Echterhoff–Oyono-Oyono*. BCC holds for all amenable groups (Higson–Kasparov) but not for all groups (Higson–Lafforgue–Skandalis).

Theorem (Chakraborty–Echterhoff–K–Nishikawa)

Let G be a discrete group satisfying BCC and let A be a unital C^{*}-algebra. Denote by $\iota : \mathbb{C} \hookrightarrow A$ the unital inclusion. Assume there is a C^{*}-algebra B and $\phi \in KK(B, A)$ such that $\phi \oplus \iota \in KK(B \oplus \mathbb{C}, A)$ is a KK-equivalence. Then we have

$$\mathcal{K}_*(A^{\otimes G} \rtimes_r G) \cong \bigoplus_{[F] \in G \setminus \mathrm{FIN}} \mathcal{K}_*(B^{\otimes F} \rtimes_r G_F).$$

Theorem (Chakraborty–Echterhoff–K–Nishikawa)

Let G be a discrete group satisfying BCC and let A be a unital C*-algebra. Denote by $\iota: \mathbb{C} \hookrightarrow A$ the unital inclusion. Assume there is a C*-algebra B and $\phi \in KK(B, A)$ such that $\phi \oplus \iota \in KK(B \oplus \mathbb{C}, A)$ is a KK-equivalence. Then we have

$$\mathcal{K}_*(A^{\otimes G} \rtimes_r G) \cong \bigoplus_{[F] \in G \setminus \mathrm{FIN}} \mathcal{K}_*(B^{\otimes F} \rtimes_r G_F).$$

Sketch of proof.

Using BCC and a \varinjlim -argument, we can assume that G is finite.

Theorem (Chakraborty–Echterhoff–K–Nishikawa)

Let G be a discrete group satisfying BCC and let A be a unital C*-algebra. Denote by $\iota: \mathbb{C} \hookrightarrow A$ the unital inclusion. Assume there is a C*-algebra B and $\phi \in KK(B, A)$ such that $\phi \oplus \iota \in KK(B \oplus \mathbb{C}, A)$ is a KK-equivalence. Then we have

$$\mathcal{K}_*(A^{\otimes G} \rtimes_r G) \cong \bigoplus_{[F] \in G \setminus \mathrm{FIN}} \mathcal{K}_*(B^{\otimes F} \rtimes_r G_F).$$

Sketch of proof.

Using BCC and a lim-argument, we can assume that G is finite. Izumi's Lemma shows that $A^{\otimes G} \sim_{KK^G} (B \oplus \mathbb{C})^{\otimes G}$.

Theorem (Chakraborty–Echterhoff–K–Nishikawa)

Let G be a discrete group satisfying BCC and let A be a unital C*-algebra. Denote by $\iota: \mathbb{C} \hookrightarrow A$ the unital inclusion. Assume there is a C*-algebra B and $\phi \in KK(B, A)$ such that $\phi \oplus \iota \in KK(B \oplus \mathbb{C}, A)$ is a KK-equivalence. Then we have

$$\mathcal{K}_*(A^{\otimes G} \rtimes_r G) \cong \bigoplus_{[F] \in G \setminus \mathrm{FIN}} \mathcal{K}_*(B^{\otimes F} \rtimes_r G_F).$$

Sketch of proof.

Using BCC and a \varinjlim -argument, we can assume that G is finite. Izumi's Lemma shows that $A^{\otimes G} \sim_{KK^G} (B \oplus \mathbb{C})^{\otimes G}$. Now note that

$$(B\oplus\mathbb{C})^{\otimes G}\cong\bigoplus_{F\in\mathrm{FIN}}B^{\otimes F}.$$

The ring $KK(\mathbb{C}^N, \mathbb{C}^N)$ is isomorphic to $M(N \times N, \mathbb{Z})$, the KK-equivalences are given by elements in $GL(N, \mathbb{Z})$.

The ring $KK(\mathbb{C}^N, \mathbb{C}^N)$ is isomorphic to $M(N \times N, \mathbb{Z})$, the KK-equivalences are given by elements in $GL(N, \mathbb{Z})$. Denote by $\phi \colon \mathbb{C}^N \to \mathbb{C}^{N+1}$ the map given by $x \mapsto (x, 0)$ and by $\iota \colon \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}^{N+1}$ the unital inclusion.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

The ring $KK(\mathbb{C}^N, \mathbb{C}^N)$ is isomorphic to $M(N \times N, \mathbb{Z})$, the KK-equivalences are given by elements in $GL(N, \mathbb{Z})$. Denote by $\phi \colon \mathbb{C}^N \to \mathbb{C}^{N+1}$ the map given by $x \mapsto (x, 0)$ and by $\iota \colon \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}^{N+1}$ the unital inclusion. Then $\phi \oplus \iota \in KK(\mathbb{C}^{N+1}, \mathbb{C}^{N+1})$ is represented by the matrix

$$\phi \oplus \iota = egin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \ & \ddots & dots \ & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathit{GL}(N+1,\mathbb{Z})$$

and therefore a KK-equivalence.

The ring $KK(\mathbb{C}^N, \mathbb{C}^N)$ is isomorphic to $M(N \times N, \mathbb{Z})$, the KK-equivalences are given by elements in $GL(N, \mathbb{Z})$. Denote by $\phi \colon \mathbb{C}^N \to \mathbb{C}^{N+1}$ the map given by $x \mapsto (x, 0)$ and by $\iota \colon \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}^{N+1}$ the unital inclusion. Then $\phi \oplus \iota \in KK(\mathbb{C}^{N+1}, \mathbb{C}^{N+1})$ is represented by the matrix

$$\phi \oplus \iota = egin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \ & \ddots & dots \ & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in GL(N+1,\mathbb{Z})$$

and therefore a KK-equivalence.

Corollary

Assume that G satisfies BCC. Then we have

$$\mathcal{K}_*((\mathbb{C}^{N+1})^{\otimes G} \rtimes_r G) \cong \bigoplus_{[F] \in G \setminus \mathrm{FIN}} \mathcal{K}_*((\mathbb{C}^N)^{\otimes F} \rtimes_r G_F)$$

The ring $KK(\mathbb{C}^N, \mathbb{C}^N)$ is isomorphic to $M(N \times N, \mathbb{Z})$, the KK-equivalences are given by elements in $GL(N, \mathbb{Z})$. Denote by $\phi \colon \mathbb{C}^N \to \mathbb{C}^{N+1}$ the map given by $x \mapsto (x, 0)$ and by $\iota \colon \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}^{N+1}$ the unital inclusion. Then $\phi \oplus \iota \in KK(\mathbb{C}^{N+1}, \mathbb{C}^{N+1})$ is represented by the matrix

$$\phi \oplus \iota = egin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \ & \ddots & dots \ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathit{GL}(\mathit{N}+1,\mathbb{Z})$$

and therefore a KK-equivalence.

Corollary

Assume that G satisfies BCC. Then we have

$$\mathcal{K}_*((\mathbb{C}^{N+1})^{\otimes G} \rtimes_r G) \cong \bigoplus_{[F] \in G \setminus \mathrm{FIN}} \bigoplus_{[S] \in G_F \setminus \{1, ..., N\}^F} \mathcal{K}_*(C^*_r(G_S))$$

Question

Let A be a unital C*-algebra, denote by $\iota \colon \mathbb{C} \to A$ the unital inclusion. When can you find a C*-algebra B and an element $\phi \in KK(B, A)$ such that $\phi \oplus \iota \in KK(B \oplus \mathbb{C}, A)$ is a KK-equivalence?

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Question

Let A be a unital C*-algebra, denote by $\iota \colon \mathbb{C} \to A$ the unital inclusion. When can you find a C*-algebra B and an element $\phi \in KK(B, A)$ such that $\phi \oplus \iota \in KK(B \oplus \mathbb{C}, A)$ is a KK-equivalence?

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Answer

Assume the UCT!

Definition (Rosenberg-Schochet)

A separable C^* -algebra A satisfies the Universal Coefficient Theorem (UCT), if for every separable C^* -algebra C, there is a short exact sequence

 $0 \to \operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathbb{Z}}(K_*(A), K_*(C)) \to KK(A, C) \to \operatorname{Hom}(K_*(A), K_*(C)) \to 0.$

Definition (Rosenberg-Schochet)

A separable C^* -algebra A satisfies the Universal Coefficient Theorem (UCT), if for every separable C^* -algebra C, there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \to \operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathbb{Z}}(K_*(A), K_*(C)) \to KK(A, C) \to \operatorname{Hom}(K_*(A), K_*(C)) \to 0.$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Some facts:

a) If H is amenable, then $C_r^*(H)$ satisfies the UCT (Tu).

Definition (Rosenberg-Schochet)

A separable C^* -algebra A satisfies the Universal Coefficient Theorem (UCT), if for every separable C^* -algebra C, there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \to \operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathbb{Z}}(K_*(A), K_*(C)) \to KK(A, C) \to \operatorname{Hom}(K_*(A), K_*(C)) \to 0.$$

Some facts:

- a) If H is amenable, then $C_r^*(H)$ satisfies the UCT (Tu).
- b) Conjecturally, all separable, nuclear C^* -algebras satisfy the UCT.

Definition (Rosenberg-Schochet)

A separable C^* -algebra A satisfies the Universal Coefficient Theorem (UCT), if for every separable C^* -algebra C, there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \to \operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathbb{Z}}(K_*(A), K_*(C)) \to KK(A, C) \to \operatorname{Hom}(K_*(A), K_*(C)) \to 0.$$

Some facts:

- a) If H is amenable, then $C_r^*(H)$ satisfies the UCT (Tu).
- b) Conjecturally, all separable, nuclear C^* -algebras satisfy the UCT.
- c) Suppose that A and C satisfy the UCT. Then any isomorphism φ ∈ Hom(K_{*}(A), K_{*}(C)) is induced by a KK-equivalence φ ∈ KK(A, C).

Let A be a unital C^{*}-algebra satisfying the UCT such that the unital inclusion $\iota : \mathbb{C} \to A$ induces a split injection $K_*(\mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow K_*(A)$ (for example $A = C_r^*(H)$ with H amenable).

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Let A be a unital C*-algebra satisfying the UCT such that the unital inclusion $\iota: \mathbb{C} \to A$ induces a split injection $K_*(\mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow K_*(A)$ (for example $A = C_r^*(H)$ with H amenable). Let B be a C*-algebra satisfying the UCT such hat

$$K_*(B) \cong \operatorname{coker}(K_*(\mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow K_*(A)).$$

 $(\rightsquigarrow K_*(B) \oplus K_*(\mathbb{C}) \cong K_*(A))$

Let A be a unital C^{*}-algebra satisfying the UCT such that the unital inclusion $\iota: \mathbb{C} \to A$ induces a split injection $K_*(\mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow K_*(A)$ (for example $A = C_r^*(H)$ with H amenable). Let B be a C^{*}-algebra satisfying the UCT such hat

$$K_*(B) \cong \operatorname{coker}(K_*(\mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow K_*(A)).$$

 $(\rightsquigarrow K_*(B) \oplus K_*(\mathbb{C}) \cong K_*(A))$ Let $\phi \in KK(B, A)$ be an element inducing $K_*(B) \to K_*(A)$.

Let A be a unital C^{*}-algebra satisfying the UCT such that the unital inclusion $\iota: \mathbb{C} \to A$ induces a split injection $K_*(\mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow K_*(A)$ (for example $A = C_r^*(H)$ with H amenable). Let B be a C^{*}-algebra satisfying the UCT such hat

$$K_*(B) \cong \operatorname{coker}(K_*(\mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow K_*(A)).$$

 $(\rightsquigarrow K_*(B) \oplus K_*(\mathbb{C}) \cong K_*(A))$ Let $\phi \in KK(B, A)$ be an element inducing $K_*(B) \to K_*(A)$. Then $\phi \oplus \iota \in KK(B \oplus \mathbb{C}, A)$ induces an isomorphism in K-theory.

Let A be a unital C^{*}-algebra satisfying the UCT such that the unital inclusion $\iota: \mathbb{C} \to A$ induces a split injection $K_*(\mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow K_*(A)$ (for example $A = C_r^*(H)$ with H amenable). Let B be a C^{*}-algebra satisfying the UCT such hat

$$K_*(B) \cong \operatorname{coker}(K_*(\mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow K_*(A)).$$

 $(\rightsquigarrow K_*(B) \oplus K_*(\mathbb{C}) \cong K_*(A))$ Let $\phi \in KK(B, A)$ be an element inducing $K_*(B) \to K_*(A)$. Then $\phi \oplus \iota \in KK(B \oplus \mathbb{C}, A)$ induces an isomorphism in K-theory. Thus $\phi \oplus \iota$ is a KK-equivalence.

Let A be a unital C*-algebra satisfying the UCT such that the unital inclusion $\iota: \mathbb{C} \to A$ induces a split injection $K_*(\mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow K_*(A)$ (for example $A = C_r^*(H)$ with H amenable). Let B be a C*-algebra satisfying the UCT such hat

$$K_*(B) \cong \operatorname{coker}(K_*(\mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow K_*(A)).$$

 $(\rightsquigarrow K_*(B) \oplus K_*(\mathbb{C}) \cong K_*(A))$ Let $\phi \in KK(B, A)$ be an element inducing $K_*(B) \to K_*(A)$. Then $\phi \oplus \iota \in KK(B \oplus \mathbb{C}, A)$ induces an isomorphism in K-theory. Thus $\phi \oplus \iota$ is a KK-equivalence.

Corollary

$$\mathcal{K}_*(A^{\otimes G} \rtimes_r G) \cong \bigoplus_{[F] \in G \setminus \mathrm{FIN}} \mathcal{K}_*(B^{\otimes F} \rtimes_r G_F),$$

where $B^{\otimes \emptyset} := \mathbb{C}$.

There are many unital, separable, C^* -algebras A satisfying the UCT such that the inclusion $\iota \colon \mathbb{C} \to A$ induces a split injection $\mathcal{K}_*(\mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{K}_*(A)$:

There are many unital, separable, C^* -algebras A satisfying the UCT such that the inclusion $\iota : \mathbb{C} \to A$ induces a split injection $\mathcal{K}_*(\mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{K}_*(A)$:

a) $A = C^*_r(H)$ when H amenable, $B = \ker(1_H \colon C^*_r(H) \to \mathbb{C})$

There are many unital, separable, C^* -algebras A satisfying the UCT such that the inclusion $\iota : \mathbb{C} \to A$ induces a split injection $\mathcal{K}_*(\mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{K}_*(A)$:

a) $A = C_r^*(H)$ when H amenable, $B = \ker(1_H \colon C_r^*(H) \to \mathbb{C})$

b)
$$A = C(S^1), \quad B = C_0(\mathbb{R})$$

There are many unital, separable, C^* -algebras A satisfying the UCT such that the inclusion $\iota : \mathbb{C} \to A$ induces a split injection $\mathcal{K}_*(\mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{K}_*(A)$:

a) $A = C_r^*(H)$ when H amenable, $B = \ker(1_H \colon C_r^*(H) \to \mathbb{C})$ b) $A = C(S^1), \quad B = C_0(\mathbb{R})$

c)
$$A = A_{\theta}$$
, $B = \mathbb{C} \oplus C_0(\mathbb{R}) \oplus C_0(\mathbb{R})$

There are many unital, separable, C^* -algebras A satisfying the UCT such that the inclusion $\iota : \mathbb{C} \to A$ induces a split injection $K_*(\mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow K_*(A)$:

a) $A = C_r^*(H)$ when H amenable, $B = \ker(1_H : C_r^*(H) \to \mathbb{C})$ b) $A = C(S^1)$, $B = C_0(\mathbb{R})$ c) $A = A_\theta$, $B = \mathbb{C} \oplus C_0(\mathbb{R}) \oplus C_0(\mathbb{R})$ d) $A = \mathcal{T}$, B = 0

There are many unital, separable, C^* -algebras A satisfying the UCT such that the inclusion $\iota : \mathbb{C} \to A$ induces a split injection $K_*(\mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow K_*(A)$:

a) $A = C_r^*(H)$ when H amenable, $B = \ker(1_H : C_r^*(H) \to \mathbb{C})$ b) $A = C(S^1)$, $B = C_0(\mathbb{R})$ c) $A = A_{\theta}$, $B = \mathbb{C} \oplus C_0(\mathbb{R}) \oplus C_0(\mathbb{R})$ d) $A = \mathcal{T}$, B = 0e) $A = \mathcal{O}_{\infty}$, B = 0.

There are many unital, separable, C^* -algebras A satisfying the UCT such that the inclusion $\iota : \mathbb{C} \to A$ induces a split injection $K_*(\mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow K_*(A)$:

a) $A = C_r^*(H)$ when H amenable, $B = \ker(1_H : C_r^*(H) \to \mathbb{C})$ b) $A = C(S^1)$, $B = C_0(\mathbb{R})$ c) $A = A_{\theta}$, $B = \mathbb{C} \oplus C_0(\mathbb{R}) \oplus C_0(\mathbb{R})$ d) $A = \mathcal{T}$, B = 0e) $A = \mathcal{O}_{\infty}$, B = 0.

Remark

The equivariant topological K-theory groups

$$K_*(C_0(\mathbb{R})^{\otimes F} \rtimes_r G_F) \cong K^*_{G_F}(\mathbb{R}^F)$$

can be computed explicitly (Karoubi, Echterhoff-Pfante).

Theorem (Chakraborty-Echterhoff-K-Nishikawa)

Let $A = M_{k_0} \oplus \cdots \oplus M_{k_N}$ with $gcd(k_0, \ldots, k_N) = 1$. Then there is a **unital** *-**homomorphism** $\phi \colon \mathbb{C}^{N+1} \to A$ which is a *KK*-equivalence.

Theorem (Chakraborty-Echterhoff-K-Nishikawa)

Let $A = M_{k_0} \oplus \cdots \oplus M_{k_N}$ with $gcd(k_0, \ldots, k_N) = 1$. Then there is a **unital** *-**homomorphism** $\phi : \mathbb{C}^{N+1} \to A$ which is a KK-equivalence. If furthermore G is a group satisfying BCC, then there is an isomorphism

$$K_*(A^{\otimes G} \rtimes_r G) \cong K_*((\mathbb{C}^{N+1})^{\otimes G} \rtimes_r G).$$

Theorem (Chakraborty-Echterhoff-K-Nishikawa)

Let $A = M_{k_0} \oplus \cdots \oplus M_{k_N}$ with $gcd(k_0, \ldots, k_N) = 1$. Then there is a **unital** *-**homomorphism** $\phi : \mathbb{C}^{N+1} \to A$ which is a KK-equivalence. If furthermore G is a group satisfying BCC, then there is an isomorphism

$$K_*(A^{\otimes G} \rtimes_r G) \cong K_*((\mathbb{C}^{N+1})^{\otimes G} \rtimes_r G).$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ● ●

Sketch of proof.

It suffices to find a matrix $X \in GL(N + 1, \mathbb{Z})$ such that $X(1, \ldots, 1) = (k_0, \ldots, k_N)$. This exists by the Euclidian algorithm.

Theorem (K-Nishikawa)

Let H be a finite group and Z a countably infinite H-set. Then the canonical inclusions

$$M_n^{\otimes Z} \hookrightarrow M_n^{\otimes Z} \otimes M_n^{\otimes \infty} \hookleftarrow M_n^{\otimes \infty}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

are KK^H-equivalences.

Theorem (K-Nishikawa)

Let H be a finite group and Z a countably infinite H-set. Then the canonical inclusions

$$M_n^{\otimes Z} \hookrightarrow M_n^{\otimes Z} \otimes M_n^{\otimes \infty} \hookleftarrow M_n^{\otimes \infty}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

are KK^H-equivalences.

Sketch of proof.

If $H \curvearrowright Z$ is trivial, this follows since $M_n^{\otimes \infty}$ is strongly self-absorbing.

Theorem (K-Nishikawa)

Let H be a finite group and Z a countably infinite H-set. Then the canonical inclusions

$$M_n^{\otimes Z} \hookrightarrow M_n^{\otimes Z} \otimes M_n^{\otimes \infty} \hookleftarrow M_n^{\otimes \infty}$$

are KK^H-equivalences.

Sketch of proof.

If $H \curvearrowright Z$ is trivial, this follows since $M_n^{\otimes \infty}$ is strongly self-absorbing.

If $Z = H \times \mathbb{N}$, we can write $M_n^{\otimes Z} \cong \varinjlim_k (M_n^{\otimes H})^{\otimes k}$.

Theorem (K-Nishikawa)

Let H be a finite group and Z a countably infinite H-set. Then the canonical inclusions

$$M_n^{\otimes Z} \hookrightarrow M_n^{\otimes Z} \otimes M_n^{\otimes \infty} \hookleftarrow M_n^{\otimes \infty}$$

are KK^H-equivalences.

Sketch of proof.

If $H \curvearrowright Z$ is trivial, this follows since $M_n^{\otimes \infty}$ is strongly self-absorbing.

If $Z = H \times \mathbb{N}$, we can write $M_n^{\otimes Z} \cong \underline{\lim}_k (M_n^{\otimes H})^{\otimes k}$. Use $(M_n^{\otimes H})^{\otimes k} \sim_{KK^H} M_{n^{|H|}}^{\otimes k}$ to "inductively trivialize" the action.

Theorem (K-Nishikawa)

Let H be a finite group and Z a countably infinite H-set. Then the canonical inclusions

$$M_n^{\otimes Z} \hookrightarrow M_n^{\otimes Z} \otimes M_n^{\otimes \infty} \hookleftarrow M_n^{\otimes \infty}$$

are KK^H-equivalences.

Sketch of proof.

If $H \curvearrowright Z$ is trivial, this follows since $M_n^{\otimes \infty}$ is strongly self-absorbing.

If $Z = H \times \mathbb{N}$, we can write $M_n^{\otimes Z} \cong \varinjlim_k (M_n^{\otimes H})^{\otimes k}$. Use $(M_n^{\otimes H})^{\otimes k} \sim_{KK^H} M_{n^{|H|}}^{\otimes k}$ to "inductively trivialize" the action. **Warning:** The connecting maps for $\varinjlim_k M_{n^{|H|}}^{\otimes k}$ involve non-trivial KK^H -elements (\rightsquigarrow representation theory).

Theorem (K-Nishikawa)

Let H be a finite group and Z a countably infinite H-set. Then the canonical inclusions

$$M_n^{\otimes Z} \hookrightarrow M_n^{\otimes Z} \otimes M_n^{\otimes \infty} \hookleftarrow M_n^{\otimes \infty}$$

are KK^H-equivalences.

Corollary

Let G be an infinite discrete group satisfying BCC and let A be a $G-C^*$ -algebra. Then we have

 $\mathcal{K}_*((A \otimes M_n^{\otimes G}) \rtimes_r G) \cong \mathcal{K}_*((A \rtimes_r G) \otimes M_n^{\otimes \infty}) \cong \mathcal{K}_*(A \rtimes_r G)[1/n].$

Corollary

Let G be an infinite discrete group satisfying BCC and let A be a $G-C^*$ -algebra. Then we have

 $\mathcal{K}_*((A \otimes M_n^{\otimes G}) \rtimes_r G) \cong \mathcal{K}_*((A \rtimes_r G) \otimes M_n^{\otimes \infty}) \cong \mathcal{K}_*(A \rtimes_r G)[1/n].$

Corollary

Let G be an infinite discrete group satisfying BCC and let A be a $G-C^*$ -algebra. Then we have

$$K_*((A \otimes M_n^{\otimes G}) \rtimes_r G) \cong K_*((A \rtimes_r G) \otimes M_n^{\otimes \infty}) \cong K_*(A \rtimes_r G)[1/n].$$

Corollary

Let G be an infinite discrete group satisfying BCC and let $B = M_{k_0} \oplus \cdots \oplus M_{k_N}$ with $gcd(k_0, \ldots, k_N) = n$. We have

$$\mathcal{K}_*(B^{\otimes G} \rtimes_r G) \cong \bigoplus_{[F] \in G \setminus \text{FIN}} \bigoplus_{[S] \in G_F \setminus \{1, \dots, N\}^F} \mathcal{K}_*(C_r^*(G_S))[1/n].$$

Corollary

Let G be an infinite discrete group satisfying BCC and let A be a G-C*-algebra. Then we have

$$K_*((A \otimes M_n^{\otimes G}) \rtimes_r G) \cong K_*((A \rtimes_r G) \otimes M_n^{\otimes \infty}) \cong K_*(A \rtimes_r G)[1/n].$$

Corollary

Let G be an infinite discrete group satisfying BCC and let $B = M_{k_0} \oplus \cdots \oplus M_{k_N}$ with $gcd(k_0, \ldots, k_N) = n$. We have

$$\mathcal{K}_*(B^{\otimes G} \rtimes_r G) \cong \bigoplus_{[F] \in G \setminus \mathrm{FIN}} \bigoplus_{[S] \in G_F \setminus \{1, \dots, N\}^F} \mathcal{K}_*(C_r^*(G_S))[1/n].$$

Proof.

Take
$$A = (M_{k_0/n} \oplus \cdots \oplus M_{k_N/n})^{\otimes G} \Rightarrow A \otimes M_n^{\otimes G} = B^{\otimes G}$$
.

More applications

Theorem (K-Nishikawa)

Let H be a finite group and Z an infinite H-set. Then the canonical inclusions

$$M_n^{\otimes Z} \hookrightarrow M_n^{\otimes Z} \otimes M_n^{\otimes \infty} \hookleftarrow M_n^{\otimes \infty}$$

are KK^H-equivalences.

Corollary

Let H be a finite group and \mathcal{D} a strongly self-absorbing C*-algebra satisfying the UCT (e.g. $M_n^{\otimes \infty}$). Then the Bernoulli shift on $\mathcal{D}^{\otimes H} \cong \mathcal{D}$ is KK^H-equivalent to the trivial action on \mathcal{D} .

More applications

Theorem (K-Nishikawa)

Let H be a finite group and Z an infinite H-set. Then the canonical inclusions

$$M_n^{\otimes Z} \hookrightarrow M_n^{\otimes Z} \otimes M_n^{\otimes \infty} \hookleftarrow M_n^{\otimes \infty}$$

are KK^H-equivalences.

Corollary

Let H be a finite group and \mathcal{D} a strongly self-absorbing C*-algebra satisfying the UCT (e.g. $M_n^{\otimes \infty}$). Then the Bernoulli shift on $\mathcal{D}^{\otimes H} \cong \mathcal{D}$ is KK^H-equivalent to the trivial action on \mathcal{D} .

Corollary

Let $\{e\} \neq H$ be a finite group. Then the Bernoulli shift $H \curvearrowright (M_n^{\otimes \infty})^{\otimes H}$ does not have the Rokhlin property.

Thank you very much!