

Teiresias Journal Online 1.2 (2022)

---



Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität  
Münster, Germany 2022



The contents of this work are protected under a Creative Commons 4.0 Attribution-NonCommercial-4.0 International License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0>).

Published by Seminar für Alte Geschichte, Universität Münster Domplatz 20-22, 48143 Münster. <https://www.uni-muenster.de/Geschichte/AlteGeschichte>

**ISSN: 2751-6946**

## Editorial Board

---

### **Journal Editors**

HANS BECK Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität Münster

ELENA FRANCHI, Università di Trento

ANGELA GANTER, Universität Regensburg

FABIENNE MARCHAND, University of Applied Sciences of Western

Switzerland

ROY VAN WIJK, Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität Münster

### **Editorial Assistant**

MARIAN HELM, Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität Münster

## Teiresias Journal Online 1.2 (2022)

---

### Section 1 Excavation Reports

John BINTLIFF (University of Leiden/University of Edinburgh)

### **The Leiden-Cambridge Boeotia Project 2022**

[FIGURE ONE] Between 2019 and 2020 we completed the last fieldwork at the city and countryside of Hyettos in North Boeotia, which will form the third final monograph of our regional project. [FIGURE TWO] The city is the elevated hill in the lower right of the air photo, adjacent to its fertile plain. [FIGURE THREE] Our surface ceramic survey and geophysical survey by Apostolos Sarris has revealed the Greco-Roman city plan. [FIGURE FOUR] Alongside these approaches we deployed soil geochemistry: here we see the various soil sampling locations within the city area. [FIGURE FIVE] Trace elements linked to intense human activity, such as copper and lead (the former shown here) are very enhanced across the city core in its centre and east (colours orange to red), whereas elements tied to the local geology [FIGURE SIX] such as nickel are very weak (green colours) in these areas and high in the extramural west but also intriguingly in the outer western city suburb. [FIGURE SEVEN] The explanation reflects the long-term occupation history of the city: in Greek times it reached its widest extent (ceramic plot on the right), then by Roman times the town contracted and abandoned its western suburbs (larger image on the left). Trace elements mirroring intense human activity are thus strongest in the east and centre and weaker in the west, allowing the bedrock influence in soil to increase there. This geochemical research has recently been published by myself and Patrick Degryse of Leuven University in two Open Access articles in the Journal of Archaeological Science for 2022.

In summer 2022 in preparation for Volume Five of the final Boeotia Project monographs, on the city and countryside of Ancient Haliartos, we revisited all the rural sites discovered in its inner Chora or hinterland during the 1980s. Lieve Donnellan (Melbourne University) took drone photos illustrating the topographic location of each site [FIGURES EIGHT AND NINE]. The first aerial image shows the Frankish feudal tower overlooking the entrance to modern and medieval Haliartos, the second a small Byzantine village beside a modern chapel in the hills behind Haliartos. Meanwhile the author analysed their location in terms of geology, soils and catchment analysis to evaluate potential land use.

Restudy of the Project's earlier finds continued. In Thespies Museum Dr. Kalliopi Sarri looked at the prehistoric finds from the Valley of the Muses, and Dr. Philip Bes the Roman ceramics, for volume Four of our final publications, while at Thebes Museum Prof. Vladimir Stissi and Anna Meens began the massive task of the first study of the urban survey of ancient Koroneia city, studied between 2006 and 2012.

Finally, Project Social Anthropologist Dr. Hamish Forbes continued his interviews and archival research into the recent history of the Modern town of Haliartos, as a complement to our archaeological long-term investigations of that town and its landscape.

A detailed report of the Boeotia Project's research from 2016 to 2021 will appear in a forthcoming volume of the journal *Pharos*, issued by the Dutch Archaeological Institute in Athens.

References:

Bintliff, J. and P. Degryse. 2022. "A review of soil geochemistry in archaeology." *Journal of Archaeological Science: Reports* 43: 103419.

Bintliff, J., P. Degryse and J. van Zwienen. 2022. "The long-term programme of trace metal analysis at the ancient city of Hyettos." *Journal of Archaeological Science: Reports* 43: 103432.




Figure 1.



Figure 2.

TJO 1.2 (2022) – Section 1: Excavation Reports




Figure 3.




Figure 4.




Figure 5.

TJO 1.2 (2022) – Section 1: Excavation Reports




Figure 6.




Figure 7.





Figure 8.



Figure 9.

## Teiresias Journal Online 1.2 (2022)

---

Section 2 Work in Progress

Robin VAN VLIET, (University of Groningen)

### **Anchoring Roman rule: Rome-oriented festivals in the Greek world (supervisors Prof. Dr. Onno van Nijf and Dr. Christina Williamson). Date range: April 2019 – March 2024.**

This research is financed by Anchoring Innovation, the Gravitation Grant research agenda of the Dutch National Research School in Classical Studies, OIKOS.

When Rome became the dominant hegemony in the Eastern Mediterranean, a complex and continuous process of cultural communication and status negotiation between the Greek communities and this new hegemonic power came about, as both parties had to adapt themselves to the novel and evolving situation of Roman rule.<sup>1</sup> Festival economy – in its broader cultural and ritual setting – played an important role in this process of connectivity: from the moment Rome set foot on Greek soil until well into the Imperial era, festivals were (re)dedicated to Rome or the Roman emperors, and events celebrating Rome were being added to their programs that now attracted participants from all over the Greek world.<sup>2</sup>

#### **‘Anchoring innovation’ – a new tool for thinking**

This doctoral thesis sets out to examine the dynamic role of festival culture in shaping the relationships between Greece and Rome and argues that this process may be considered from the perspective of ‘Anchoring Innovation’, a new analytical concept for investigating the ways by which new situations (‘innovations’) are connected (‘anchored’) to what people already

---

<sup>1</sup> On this wider trend see primarily Gruen 1984 and Ando 2001.

<sup>2</sup> Price 1984; Kantiréa 2007; Camia 2011.

expect and understand (the ‘anchors’).<sup>3</sup> The aim is to explore how such Rome-oriented festivals may be seen as an anchoring place for the negotiation, expression and dissemination of relationships between Greek communities and Rome. What specific cultural anchors did traditional festival culture provide to shape and maintain relations between Greece and Rome? The underlying hypothesis is that such anchoring processes were not only crucial for the legitimacy of Roman rule, but were likewise important for the Greek communities to understand and define their own position, and to adapt to the rise of Roman hegemony. It is expected that the perspective of anchoring helps not only to focus on the outcome of this process (i.e. the successful integration of the Greek world into the Roman empire), but especially on the building blocks (the anchors) that enabled this. Finally, this project also aims to contribute to a better understanding of how anchoring processes work, as well as a stronger concept of ‘Anchoring Innovation’ in itself.

### **Festival culture and Boiotia**

In order to investigate the role of festival culture in the integration of Greek communities into the Roman empire, as well as the many layers from which these processes could come to exist, this thesis focuses on the region of Boiotia. Boiotia provides a rich research area for this type of study as first of all it is well-known that the cities and sanctuaries in this region had a strong festival tradition, going back to at least the Classical period and sometimes even earlier.<sup>4</sup> This makes it possible to trace the historical meaning and significance of the anchors that helped shape the relationship between Greek communities and Rome. Moreover, it has been established that the coming of Rome had a major impact on the way the festivals in this area developed and interacted with each other: as time progressed the region’s dense festival calendar started to include more and more events in honour of Rome.<sup>5</sup> This gradual increase in Rome-oriented festivals allows me to illustrate and investigate processes of anchoring and change from different angles: not just synchronically (across different cultural and socio-political domains), but also

---

<sup>3</sup> The analytical concept of ‘Anchoring Innovation’ is currently being developed by OIKOS (the National Research School in Classical Studies in the Netherlands): <https://anchoringinnovation.nl/>. On this research agenda see: Sluiter 2017 and the developing bibliography via its own open access book series with Brill, *Euhormos*: <https://anchoringinnovation.nl/book-series>. In the last decades more research has focused on the complexity of festival culture, see e.g. van Nijf and Williamson 2016; van Nijf and van Dijk 2020; and the Connecting the Greeks project in Groningen: <https://connectingthegreeks.com/>.

<sup>4</sup> A complete chronological record of the evidence for Boiotian festivals from the seventh century BCE through to the end of the third century CE, as well as their role in the creation, development, and promotion of a unified Boiotian identity may now be found in Grigsby 2017. Also Schachter 1981-1994 and 2016; Manieri 2009 and many separate articles.

<sup>5</sup> Fossey 2014; van Nijf and Williamson 2016; Papazarkadas 2019; van Nijf and van Dijk 2020.

diachronically (over time). Moreover, the region of Boiotia has featured – and still features – prominently in research. This means that the information required to conduct this study is often relatively easy accessible and available. Finally, this thesis also hopes to contribute to the continuing research bibliography on this region, by adding to our understanding of the importance and function of festival culture within this region and between this region and Rome.

### **Thesis outline**

The first chapter of this thesis contains an introduction to the topic and highlights the historical importance of festival culture in the relations between Greece and Rome (e.g. starting with Titus Flamininus who famously used the Isthmian games to declare Greek ‘freedom’ in 196 BCE). Chapter 2 is primarily theoretical in nature and will explain the concept of ‘anchoring innovation’ in more detail. Although this thesis pays attention to the wider development of Rome-oriented festivals throughout Boiotia, three case-studies have been selected from which to start more in-depth inquiries from the perspective of ‘anchoring’. Each of the case-studies investigates at least three intertwined strands: 1) the festival institutions – in their wider cultural and ritual setting, 2) their (performative) space and material dimensions, and 3) the issue of agency. Chapter 3 focuses on the sanctuary of Amphiaraos near Oropos, where the role of festival culture as well as its material dimensions in the formation of relations with Rome can be traced from a relatively early period onwards. Chapter 4 is concerned with the festivals celebrated in connection to the Valley of the Muses and the city of Thespiiai and, among other things, will pay attention to the role of material culture (like theatres and statues, in particular the statue of Eros) in processes of anchoring and change, as well as to the many layers of meaning festivals and their related spaces could acquire as time progressed. Chapter 5 focuses on anchoring processes in the early imperial period by investigating the role of festival culture in the city of Akraiphia and the nearby sanctuary of Apollo Ptoios. This chapter centres around a close-reading of the well-known inscriptions IG VII 2711, 2712 and 2713 and aims to come to a better understanding of the ways in which festival culture functioned as an anchor in the intercultural communication between the city of Akraiphia and imperial Rome.

### **Selected bibliography**

Ando, C. 2000. *Imperial ideology and provincial loyalty in the Roman Empire*. Berkeley.

Camia, F. 2011. *Theoi Sebastoi: il culto degli imperatori romani in Grecia (provincia Achaia) nel secondo secolo D.C.* Athens.

Chwe, M. S.-Y. 2001. *Rational ritual: culture, coordination, and common knowledge*. Princeton.

Fossey, J. M. 2014. *Epigraphica Boeotica II*. Leiden.

Gruen, E.S. 1984. *The Hellenistic world and the coming of Rome*. Berkeley.

Kantiréa, M. 2007. *Les Dieux et les dieux augustes. Le Culte impérial en Grèce sous les Julio-claudiens et les Flaviens. Études épigraphiques et archéologiques*. Athens.

Manieri, A. 2009. *Agoni Poetico-Musicali Nella Grecia Antica. 1. Beozia*. Pisa and Rome.

Mellor, R. 1975. *Thea Rome: Worship of the Goddess Roma in the Greek World*. Göttingen.

Nijf, O.M. van and S. van Dijk. 2020. “Experiencing Roman power at Greek contests: Romaia in the Greek festival network.” In K. Berthelot (ed), *Reconsidering Roman power: Roman, Greek, Jewish and Christian perceptions and reactions*. Rome: 101-125.

Nijf, O.M. van & C.G. Williamson. 2016. “Connecting the Greeks: festival networks in the Hellenistic world.” In C. Mann, S. Remijsen, and S. Scharff (eds), *Athletics in the Hellenistic World*. Mannheim: 43-71.

Papazarkadas, N. 2019. “Festival Networks in Late Hellenistic Boeotia: from Kinship to Political Rejuvenation.” In M. Dana, I. Savalli-Lestrade (eds), *La Cité Interconnectée Dans Le Monde Gréco-Romain (Ive Siècle A.c.-Ive Siècle P.c.): Transferts Et Réseaux Institutionnels, Religieux Et Culturels Aux Époques Hellénistique Et Impériale*. Bordeaux: 205-221.

Price, S.R.F. 1984. *Rituals and power: the Roman imperial cult in Asia Minor*. Cambridge.

Schachter, A. 1981. *Cults of Boiotia:1. Acheloss to Hera*. London.

Schachter, A. 1986. *Cults of Boiotia:2. Herakles to Poseidon*. London.

Schachter, A. 1994. *Cults of Boiotia:3. Potnia to Zeus; Cults of Deities Unspecified by Name*. London.

Schachter, A. 2016. *Boiotia in Antiquity: Selected Papers*. Cambridge.

Sluiter, I. 2017. “Anchoring innovation: a Classical research agenda.” *European Review* 25 (1): 20-38.

Vliet, van. R. and O.M. van Nijf. Forthcoming. “Agents of change in the Valley of the Muses.” In S. Castelli and I. Sluiter (eds), *Agents of Change in the Greco-Roman and Early Modern periods. Ten case studies in agency in innovation*

Matthew HEWITT (Wadham College, University of Oxford)

## **Working title: The Epigraphic Culture of Manumission in the Greek World**

### Aims of the Project

My DPhil project offers a reappraisal of the epigraphic habits underlying the inscription of acts of manumission in the Greek world, focusing in particular on the central and northern Greek mainland from the middle-late Hellenistic period. From the late third century BCE, we observe the emergence, in various shapes, of inscriptions recording the release of enslaved persons in regions such as West Lokris, Aitolia, Phokis, Doris, Boiotia, Thessaly and Epirus, with some examples deriving from as far afield as Seleukid Iran. A great deal of attention has been paid to the legal content of such inscriptions, and in particular the various forms, sacral and secular, in which they appear. The precise conceptual and procedural connotations of manumission by sale to a deity (as exhibited at Delphi and its environs), or by consecration (the favoured Boiotian form), remain compelling issues in modern scholarship. Furthermore, manumission inscriptions provide precious evidence for various aspects of slavery and slaveholding in this period, facilitating tentative reconstructions of the demographics of the enslaved population (through gender ratios, ethnicities), the economics of slavery (prices, manumission rates), and aspects of master-slave relationships (affective ties, obligations, punishments). A relatively uninterrogated question, however, is why the inscription of a manumission act on stone became an appropriate (and desirable) object at certain times and in certain places.

Whether the category ‘manumission inscriptions’ denotes a single phenomenon or serves as an umbrella term for a multitude of epichoric practices, their appearance is far from ubiquitous. They are manifestly not reflective of the distribution of the practice of manumission itself, which undoubtedly occurred throughout Greek antiquity, and wherever slavery existed. Furthermore, Greek communities were selective about the documents they recorded on stone, and there was nothing inevitable about the development of an epigraphic habit. Where a culture of inscribing acts of manumission developed, therefore, the conditions which encouraged this must be critically examined.

The function of a manumission inscription is often assumed to be the display (and thereby protection) of the new status of the freed. From a purely practical point of view, this warrants scepticism: at Delphi, for example, where over 1,300 inscriptions documenting manumission were dispersed across the architecture of the sanctuary (primarily the wall of the Apolline

temple), many of which were performed by manumitters from outside of Delphi, it is hard to imagine how an inscription could be usefully consulted in the scenario of a status-dispute. Documents on more easily accessible, portable (and perishable) materials unquestionably will have served this function better. Of course, to read any inscription purely as a document for consultation largely misconstrues the value of this medium, and a more abstract notion of protection through publicity might be appealed to.

More importantly, however, the publication of these texts was not at the discretion of the manumitted, and the development of this epigraphic habit was contingent on the assent of several other parties, for whom the value of publicity is less obvious: the manumitters, the sanctuary and civic authorities who ratified and/or published these acts, as well as the community as a whole. The question of why these parties had an interest in publishing acts of manumission is open, and likely varied across the Greek world. Financial motivations, an interest in supervising population changes, as well as in publicising residual obligations, have all been advanced as factors motivating the production of these documents. But other more symbolic, performative functions, such as are often ascribed to other types of inscriptions, should not be discounted. At both a general and a local level, it is these functions that my project seeks to identify and explore.

In order to analyse a phenomenon, it must first be defined, and its boundaries delimited. My thesis must therefore inevitably engage in the fundamental issue of categorisation. Most studies of the topic operate according to a schema for categorising manumissions by type, along the major lines of public vs private and secular vs sacral, with a number of sub-divisions. That said, few scholars have failed to highlight the difficulties involved in distinguishing between these types. In terms of form and content, manumission inscriptions vary so widely between, and sometimes within communities, that these distinctions often break down on examination. However, atomised studies of individual regions, or specific corpora, have done much to advance our understanding of local procedures.

Boiotia, and specifically the large corpus of inscriptions from Chaironeia, recording the dedication of enslaved individuals as *hieroi* to a handful of gods (chiefly Serapis), provides a particularly interesting test case for the question of the nature of local manumission procedures. Indeed, the very categorisation of the Chaironeian texts as manumissions has recently been called into doubt, on the grounds that they are, formally and legally, real dedications, and do not represent a fictitious mode of release from enslavement. I argue that the terminological inconsistencies encountered in these inscriptions, as well as those at other sites such as Epirote Bouthrotos, do in fact betray a conceptual conflation of manumission with human dedication, and that we are therefore justified in categorising them as manumissions.

My thesis then turns to examining the relationship between the performance of manumission and its record; that is, the act and the fact. In many instances, it seems all that was required for the release of a slave in the Greek world was a slaveowner's word. Yet it is also clear that manumission procedures were often far more elaborate. While the extant inscriptions are low on

detail when it comes to the performance of the act of manumission, we should not underestimate the significance of this element to their legality, as well as their impact on individual and collective psychologies. This chapter will look at the evidence, some direct, some circumstantial, for the performance of manumission, considering physical location, participants, and those elements pointing towards a social and religious ritual.

It is generally assumed that inscriptions are abridged copies of ‘original’ or ‘archival’ documents, and this is also true of manumissions. It is therefore important to consider the relative status of an archival document and its corresponding inscription, and the extent to which each was deemed valid and authoritative and, crucially, consultable. The answers to these questions are significant for our understanding of the functioning and purpose of the inscriptions. The clearest, and hence the most intensively studied, evidence on this issue comes from Delphi, and those other communities in which manumission by sale to a deity was practiced: here, we encounter clauses which dictate (though often in rather oblique terms) the procedure for recording and storing copies of a manumission document. The inscriptions from Boiotia generally lack this kind of procedural detail, though we have some interesting self-referential texts, in which the authority of the *stèle* is explicitly affirmed. These provide valuable insight into the function of these objects as conceived by the communities which produced them.

Finally, my thesis will explore two further aspects of manumission inscriptions: their physicality, and their relationship to other types of inscriptions. In large part, manumissions are found in religious contexts, inscribed into walls, altars, or theatres at local and regional sanctuaries. This is a significant fact in itself, though it is worth emphasising these sites often also served as the political heart of their communities. In order to assess their value, practical and symbolic, we must ask how far they were accessible, legible documents (notably, this varies across sites), and how much effort was expended on their aesthetic qualities. Closely interrelated to this aspect is the question of how far this genre of text resembles, either formally or physically, other epigraphic genres. At Delphi, for example, it seems that manumissions coexisted, in terms of the space in which they are displayed and their aesthetic appearance, with honorific decrees. At other sites, by contrast, they represent almost the entirety of the local epigraphic output. I argue that the roles played by manumission inscriptions, whether as legal documents, commemorative markers of status, or of some other values (perhaps those of the manumitters), can be better discerned by analogy with the other objects displayed around them.

By taking into consideration the nature of the acts recorded by these inscriptions; the legal, religious, and administrative procedures underlying them; their functionality as documents; their physicality; and their place in a broader epigraphic culture, I hope to bring this puzzling body of evidence into clearer focus.



## Teiresias Journal Online 1.2 (2022)

---

### Section 3 History

#### **Books**

2022.2.3.01

Esposito, G. 2022. *The Macedonian Army under Philip II and Alexander the Great, 359-323 BC*. Barnsley. (esp. chapter 2)  
(ISBN 9781526787354)

2022.2.3.02

Gruman, T. 2022. *In the Hands of Noble Men: A History of Thessaly from the Archaic Period to the End of the Third Sacred War*. Ph.D. University of Missouri.  
<https://mospace.umsystem.edu/xmlui/handle/10355/91574>

2022.2.3.03

Leão, D., D. Ferreira, N. Simões Rodrigues and R. Morais (eds). 2022. *Our Beloved Polites*. Studies presented to P.J. Rhodes. Oxford.  
(ISBN 9781803271705)

#### **Articles**

2022.2.3.04

Bianco, E. 2022. “Lo spartano Antalcida, xenos e philos di Artaserse?” *Erga-Logoi* 10.1: 123–146.

2022.2.3.05

Blakely, S. and J. Mundy. 2022. “Strong ties and deep habits. The Samothracian diaspora in network perspective.” In A. Collar (ed), *Networks and the Spread of Ideas in the Past* Strong Ties, Innovation and Knowledge Exchange. London.

2022.2.3.05

Calderón Sánchez, M. 2022. “Los "oroí" de Beocia que delimitan tierra sagrada del dios Dioniso.” *Euphrosyne* 49: 65–76.

2022.2.3.06

Carr, Q. 2022. “Historiography: Early Fourth Century BCE Greece - The Age of Xenophon.” *The Saber and Scroll Journal*, October.

<https://saberandscroll.scholasticahq.com/article/39568-historiography-early-fourth-century-bce-greece-the-age-of-xenophon>

2022.2.3.07

Corvisier, J.-N. 2020. “Le Bataillon Sacré à l'épreuve des sources littéraires: institution civique ou nécessité militaire?” *Revue internationale d'Histoire Militaire Ancienne* 9: 85–92.

2022.2.3.08

Darcos, X. 2018. “Le bataillon sacré de Thèbes: ‘À la vie, à la mort’.” *Inflexions* 38: 81–86.

2022.2.3.09

Fornis Vaquero, C. A. 2022. “Xenofonte vs. Historiador de Oxirrinco: a eclosão da Guerra de Corinto.” *Calíope. Presença Clássica* 38: 1–29.

2022.2.3.10

Franchi, E. 2022. “L'antica amicizia tra Ateniesi e Focidesi e le nuove sfide della Grecia multipolare.” *Erga-Logoi* 10.1: 9–52.

2022.2.3.11

Goula, E.G. 2021. “The Cult of Athena Itonia and the Human Conscience.” *Open Journal for Studies in History* 3.2: 47–72.

2022.2.3.12

Heine Nielsen, T. 2014. “Foreign Entrants at Minor Athletic Festivals in Late-Archaic and Classical Greece.” *Nikephoros* 27: 91–158.

2022.2.3.13

Holler, M.J. 2022. “Antigone, the Demos, the Law, and the Money.” In E.M.L Economou, N.C. Kyriazis and A. Platias (eds), *Democracy and Salamis. 2500 Years After the Battle That Saved Greece and the Western World*. Cham: 269–283.

2022.2.3.14

Hurlet, F. and C. Müller. 2020. “L’Achaïe à l’époque républicaine (146-27 av. J.-C.): une province introuvable?” *Chiron* 50: 49–100.

2022.2.3.15

Husøy, T.A. 2022. “Thessaly and the Narratives of Ethnic Identities in Central Greece.” In Furlan, U., T. A. Husøy and H. Bohun (eds), *Narratives of Power in the Ancient World*. Newcastle: 154–184.

2022.2.3.16

Kalliontzis, Y. 2021. “Το έργο του Κ. Σ. Πιπτάκη στη Βοιωτία.” In A. Matthaiou and G. Pallis (eds), *Έπι πέτρας λευκῆς. ΠΡΑΚΤΙΚΑ ΣΥΜΠΟΣΙΟΥ ΕΙΣ ΜΝΗΜΗΝ ΚΥΡΙΑΚΟΥ Σ. ΠΙΠΤΑΚΗ (1798-1863)*. Athens: 133–144.

2022.2.3.17

Knoepfler, D. 2020. “Des *kryptoi* athéniens à la *krypteia* spartiate: un nouveau décret de Rhamnonte et un témoignage littéraire méconnu (Plutarque, *Genio Socratis*, 34; *Moralia*, 598 E).” *Revue internationale d’Histoire Militaire Ancienne* 9: 93–124.

2022.2.3.18

Loddo, L.R. 2022. “Le relazioni internazionali degli esuli politici durante l’egemonia spartana: l’esempio degli esuli rodii.” *Erga-Logoi* 10.1: 93–122.

2022.2.3.19

Lucas, T. 2022. “La Béotie et les Antigonides, entre alliance et clientélisme” *Revue internationale d’histoire militaire ancienne* 11: 141–157.

2022.2.3.20

Lucas, T. and García Sánchez, T. 2022. “Opération LiDAR à Akraiphia et au Val des Muses, Béotie (2021).” *Bulletin archéologique des écoles françaises de l’étranger*: 1–13.

<https://doi.org/10.4000/baefe.6404>

2022.2.3.21

Milán Quiñones de León, M.S. 2020. “Las posibles vías de penetración micénica en el Epiro.” In L. Conti Jiménez, M. Dolores Jiménez López, R. Fornieles, L.M. Macía Aparicio and J. de la Villa Polo (eds), *Δῶρα τά οἱ δίδομεν φιλέοντες. Homenaje al profesor Emilio Crespo*. Madrid: 601–608.

2022.2.3.22

Mitchell, L. 2022. “A Tale of Two Cities: Studies in Greek Border Politics.” In D. Leão, D.Ferreira, N. Simões Rodrigues and R. Morais, (eds), *Our Beloved Polites. Studies presented to P.J. Rhodes*. Oxford: 237–256.

2022.2.3.23

Müller, C. 2020. “Les citoyens romains en Béotie (et notamment à Thisbé), du règne de Vespasien à l’édit de Caracalla.” In G. Frija (ed), *Être citoyen romain dans le monde grec au IIe siècle de notre ère*. Bordeaux: 211–231.

2022.2.3.24

Müller, C. 2020/2021. “Le concours des Ptoia à l’époque hellénistique: une affaire civique, Pambéotienne, fédérale ou Panhellénique?” *Revue des études grecques* 133: 57–88.

2022.2.3.25

Müller, C. 2021. “Mort d’une confédération. Qu’est-il (vraiment) arrivé au koinon béotien en 172/171 av. J.-C.?” *Ktéma* 2021 46: 323–342.

2022.2.3.26

Müller, C. 2021. “What’s in a (Federal) name? The denominations of membership in the Boiotian Confederacy during the Classical and Hellenistic periods.” In C. Grandjean (ed), *The Koina of Southern Greece: Historical and Numismatic Studies in Ancient Greek Federalism*. Bordeaux: 17–26.

2022.2.3.27

Pàmias, J. 2022. “The River Oeroe on the Battlefield of Plataea (Hdt. 9.51 and Paus. 9.4.4).” *Classical Philology* 117.4: 720–724.

2022.2.3.28

Papakonstantinou, Z. 2014. “Family Traditions of Athletic Distinction in Archaic and Classical Athens.” *Nikephoros* 27: 159–180.

2022.2.3.29

Pascual, J. 2020. “Entre caones y tesprotes, la antigua Cestrina en el Epiro.” In L. Conti Jiménez, M. Dolores Jiménez López, R. Fornieles, L.M. Macía Aparicio and J. de la Villa Polo (eds), *Δώρα τά οι δίδομεν φιλέοντες. Homenaje al profesor Emilio Crespo*. Madrid: 635–645.

2022.2.3.30

Poddighe, E. 2020. “Like Men Driven from a Captured City” (Plut. *Phoc.* 28. 4): Reconsidering the Displacement of the Disenfranchised Athenians to Thrace in 322 BC.” *Pallas* 112: 247–263.

2022.2.3.31

Poddighe, E. 2022. “Il problema dell’autonomia dei confederati nel contesto di un’alleanza egemonica. Tracce di un dibattito nella demegoria Sul trattato con Alessandro ([Dem.] XVII).” *Erga-Logoi* 10.1: 183–236.

2022.2.3.32

Rassia, A-I. 2022. “The Semantic Overlap of Αἰδκία and Αἰσέβεια in the Amphiareion at Oropos.” In D. Leão, D.Ferreira, N. Simões Rodrigues and R. Morais (eds), *Our Beloved Polites. Studies presented to P.J. Rhodes.* Oxford: 171–183.

2022.2.3.33

Sabetai, V. 2022. “Bronze Rattles and Clay Shields for the Boeotian Child of the Elite: New Finds in Context.” In V. Dasen and Th. Daniaux (eds), *Locus Ludi: quoi de neuf sur la culture ludique antique?* *Pallas* 119: 43–74.

2022.2.3.34

Simões Rodrigues, N. 2022. “The Sacred Band of Thebes and Alcibiades’ Exemplum (Plutarch, *Pel.* 18-19 and *Alc.* 7.3-6).” In D. Leão, D.Ferreira, N. Simões Rodrigues and R. Morais (eds), *Our Beloved Polites. Studies presented to P.J. Rhodes.* Oxford: 51–65.

2022.2.3.35

Struffolino, S. 2022. “L’alterna politica di Caristo d’Eubea tra epoca arcaica e classica.” *Rationes Rerum* 19: 9–38.

2022.2.3.36

Tufano, S. 2022. “Show Trials and the Opposition to Pelopidas and Epameinondas.” *Studia Antiqua et Archaeologica* 28 (1): 245–263.

2022.2.3.37

Vădan, P. 2022. “Migration, Mobility, and the Hierarchy of Violence in the Classical and Early Hellenistic Polis.” *Transactions of the American Philological Association* 152.2: 381–425.

2022.2.3.38

Warin, I. 2020. “La bataille du Délion (424 av. J.-C.). Un exemple des changements dans les modalités de la guerre durant la guerre du Péloponnèse.” *Revue internationale d'Histoire Militaire Ancienne* 9: 229–246.

## Reviews

2022.2.3.39

Fachard, S. and E. Harris (ed). 2021. *The Destruction of Cities in the Ancient Greek World. Integrating the Archaeological and Literary Evidence*. Cambridge. Reviewed by: L. Nováková, 2022. *Classical Review* 72.2: 654–656.

2022.2.3.40

Noreña, F. and N. Papazarkadas. 2019. *From Document to History. Epigraphic Insights into the Greco-Roman World*. Reviewed by: Huttner, U. 2022. *Gnomon* 4.94: 317–322.

2022.2.3.41

Scheer, T.S. 2019. *Natur – Mythos – Religion im antiken Griechenland / Nature – Myth – Religion in Ancient Greece*. Reviewed by: Pakkanen, P. 2021. *Arctos* 55. 419–425.

## Teiresias Journal Online 1.2 (2022)

---

### Section 4 Material Culture and Epigraphy

#### **Books**

2022.2.4.01

Martinez, J-L. 2021. Un âge d'or du marbre. La sculpture en pierre à Delphes dans l'Antiquité. Volume I & Volume 2. Athens.  
(ISBN 9782869585614)

#### **Articles**

2022.2.4.02

Bintliff, J., Degryse, P. and van Zwiene, J. 2022. “The long-term programme of trace metal analysis at the ancient city of Hyettos.” *Journal of Archaeological Science: Reports* 43: 103432.

2022.2.4.03

Calderón Sánchez, N. 2021. “Una ofrenda arquitectónica al dios Dioniso en la ciudad de Orcómeno (Beocia, Grecia).” *Boletín del Archivo Epigráfico* 8: 64–67.

2022.2.4.04

Charalambidou, X. 2022. “Migrating from Greece to Italy: Features of Euboean and Naxian Settlements Before and During the Early Iron Age Greek Diaspora to Italy.” In R. Brancato, L. M. Calì, M. Figuera, G. M. Gerogiannis, E. Pappalardo and S. Todaro (eds), *Schemata. La città oltre la forma. Per una nuova definizione dei paesaggi urbani e delle loro funzioni: urbanizzazione e società nel Mediterraneo pre-classico, Età arcaica, Atti del Convegno Internazionale, organizzato dall'Università degli Studi di Catania, dall'Università degli Studi della Campania Luigi Vanvitelli e dal Consorzio Universitario Archimede Siracusa 26-28 febbraio 2020*. Rome: 43–82.

2022.2.4.05

Graf, F. 2022. “Ritual Dances and the Imperial Epoch. What Epigraphy can teach about dancing.” In K. Schlapbach (ed), *Aspects of Roman Dance Culture. Religious Cults, Theatrical Entertainments, Metaphorical Appropriations*. Stuttgart: 85–100.

2022.2.4.06

Jeammet, V. 2019. “Tanagra.” In L. Bodiou and V. Mehl (eds), *Dictionnaire du corps dans l'Antiquité*. Rennes: 603–607.

2022.2.4.07

Kanellopoulos, C. and Partida, E. 2021. ‘The Temple of Zeus at Lebadea. The architecture and the semantics of a colossus’, *Opuscula. Annual of the Swedish Institutes at Athens and Rome (OpAthRom)* 14: 363–400.

2022.2.4.08

Knodell, A. 2022. “Palatial and Non-Palatial Landscapes in the Mycenaean World. Territorial Models for Central Greece.” In J. Driessen and G-J. van Wijngaarden (eds), *Political Geographies of the Bronze Age Aegean. Proceedings of the Joint Workshop of the Belgian School at Athens (ESBA) and the Netherlands Institute at Athens (NIA) May 28 to 31, 2019*. Leuven: 113–124.

2022.2.4.09

Lorenz, K. 2022. “Bild und Schrift auf dem Weg zur Transmedialität. Gruppenbilder von der Spätklassik zum Hellenismus.” In N. Dietrich and J. Fouquet (eds), *Image, Text, Stone. Intermedial Perspectives on Graeco-Roman Sculpture*. Berlin/Boston: 179–199.

2022.2.4.10

Murray, S.C. 2022. “Paths to wealth in Central Greece, Ionia and the Peloponnese.” In S. Hodkinson and C. Gallou (eds), *Luxury and Wealth in Sparta and the Peloponnese*. Swansea: 207–224.

2022.2.4.11

Papazarkadas, N. 2021. “Boiotian inscriptions in epichoric script. A conspectus of recent discoveries.” In R. Parker and P.M. Steele (eds), *The early Greek alphabets: origin, diffusion, uses*. Oxford: 267–292.

2022.2.4.12

Papazarkadas, N. 2022. “Poetical laments for women: two unpublished epigrams from late Roman Boiotia.” *Journal of Epigraphic Studies* 5: 165–173.



2022.2.4.13

Ricca, M. et al 2022. “Archaeometric Study of Two Tanagra Type Statuettes of Unknown Provenance to Support Forensic Study.” *Heritage* 5.2: 849–859.

## Reviews

2022.2.4.14

Knodell, A. 2021. *Societies in Transition in Early Greece. An Archaeological History.* Oakland. Reviewed by: G. Middleton, 2022. *Classical Review* 72.2: 656–659.

2022.2.4.15

Parker, R. and Steele, P.M. (eds). 2021. *The Early Greek Alphabets: Origin, Diffusion, Uses.* Oxford. Reviewed by A.S. Anderson, 2022. *Bryn Mawr Classical Review* 2022.10.31

2022.2.4.16

Parker, R. and Steele, P.M. (eds). 2021. *The Early Greek Alphabets: Origin, Diffusion, Uses.* Oxford. Reviewed by V. Mignosa, 2022. *Classical Review* 72.2: 402-404.

## Teiresias Journal Online 1.2 (2022)

---

### Section 5 Language and Literature

#### **Ancient Authors**

2022.2.5.01

Athanassakis, A.N. 2022. Hesiod. Theogony, Works and days. Shield.  
(ISBN 9781421443942)

2022.2.5.02

Brodersen, K. 2022. Plutarch, De fluviis = Über die Benennung von Flüssen und Bergen und der in ihnen gefundenen Dinge = Peri potamōn kai orōn epōnymias kai tōn en autois heuriskomenōn: Zweisprachige Ausgabe. Speyer.  
(ISBN 9783939526506)

2022.2.5.03

Einhorn, E. and E. Shanower. 2022. Euripides. Iphigenia in Aulis. Portland.  
(ISBN 9781534322158)

2022.2.5.04

Inácio, A., M. de Fátima Silva and N. Simões Rodrigues. 2022. As 'Ifigénias' de Eurípides. Introdução e edição de texto de Ana Inácio, Maria de Fátima Silva & Nuno Simões Rodrigues. Coimbra.  
(ISBN 9789892622996)

2022.2.5.05

Xenis, G.A. 2021. Scholia vetera in Sophoclis Antigonam. Berlin/Boston.  
(ISBN 9783110616774)

## Books

2022.2.5.06

Ameis, K. 2022. Heimliche Nachtaktionen in der Thebais des Statius. *Orbis Antiquus* 57. Münster.  
(ISBN 9783402144695)

2022.2.5.07

Beneker, J., C. Cooper, N. Humble and F. Titchener (eds). 2022. *Plutarch's Unexpected Silences. Suppression and Selection in the Lives and Moralia*. Leiden/Boston.  
(ISBN 9789004514249)

2022.2.5.08

Bessone, F. 2022. Dalla 'Tebaide' alla 'Commedia' (e oltre). Nuovi studi su Stazio e la sua ricezione. *Rivista di Cultura Classica e Medioevale*, lxiv.1. Pisa/Rome.  
(ISSN 00356085)

2022.2.5.09

Chinn, C.M. 2022. Visualizing the poetry of Statius: an intertextual approach / by Christopher Chinn. *Mnemosyne. Supplements; volume 449. Monographs on Greek and Latin language and literature*. Leiden/Boston.  
(ISBN 9789004498853)

2022.2.5.10

Corvasce, S. 2022. Pur somigliamo in qualche cosa: Pindaro e la teoria antica sul paradigma. Ph.D. Thesis. University of Pisa.  
<https://etd.adm.unipi.it/t/etd-05052022-200317/>

2022.2.5.11

Eisenfeld, H. 2022. *Pindar and Greek Religion. Theologies of Mortality in the Victory Odes*. Cambridge.  
(ISBN 9781108923507)

2022.2.5.12

Fadda, A. 2022. Le occorrenze omometriche nella poesia strofica greca arcaica e classica. Uno studio. Ph.D. Thesis. University of Cagliari.  
<https://iris.unica.it/handle/11584/328747>

2022.2.5.13

Giroux, C. (ed). 2022. Plutarch: Cultural Practice in a Connected World. Teiresias Supplements Online, volume 3.

(ISBN 9783982117812)

<https://www.uni-muenster.de/Ejournals/index.php/tso/issue/view/387>

2022.2.5.14

Grau, D. and P. Pucci. 2022. La Parole au miroir: dans la poésie grecque archaïque et classique. Paris.

(ISBN 9782251453156)

2022.2.5.15

Iribarren, L. and H. Koning (eds). 2022. Hesiod and the Beginnings of Greek Philosophy. Leiden/Boston.

(ISBN 9789004513914)

2022.2.5.16

Recchia, M. 2022. Pindari et Bacchylidis hyporchematum fragmenta. Edizioni dell'Ateneo. Rome.

2022.2.5.17

Scharfenberger, A. 2022. Momente für die Ewigkeit. Zeit- und dichtungsbezogene Aspekte in den mythischen Erzählungen der Epinikien Pindars. Bochumer Altertumswissenschaftliches Colloquium, 111. Trier.

(ISBN 9783868219487)

2022.2.5.18

Spearman, R.L. 2022. Adoption and Alterity in Pindar. Ph.D. Thesis. University of Chicago.

2022.2.5.19

Zacks, J.A. 2022. Agonistic Intertextuality: Studies in Pindar and Bacchylides. Ph.D. Thesis. University of Washington.

## Articles

2022.2.5.20

Alley, D. R. 2020. “Pindar and the Poetics of Repatriation in the 4th Pythian Ode.” *Pallas* 112: 21–34.

2022.2.5.21

Almagor, E. 2022. “When Hermes Enters: Towards a Typology of the Silences of Plutarch’s Narrator and Their Uses in Characterization.” In J. Beneker, C. Cooper, N. Humble and F. Titchener (eds), *Plutarch’s Unexpected Silences Suppression and Selection in the Lives and Moralia*. Leiden/Boston: 11–35.

2022.2.5.22

Andolfi, I. 2022. “A Grammar of Self-Referential Statements: Claims for Authority from Hesiod to the Presocratics.” In L. Iribarren and H. Koning (eds), *Hesiod and the Beginnings of Greek Philosophy*. Leiden/Boston: 117–136.

2022.2.5.23

Athanassaki, L. 2022. “Singing and dancing Pindar’s authority.” In K. S. Kingsley, G. Monti and T. Rood (eds), *The Authoritative Historian. Tradition and Innovation in Ancient Historiography*. Cambridge: 179–205.

2022.2.5.24

Audano, S. 2022. “Padre ma non padrone. Una sentenza di Bruto e un equivoco di Plutarco (Cic. ad Brut., 1, 17, 6 = Plut. Brut., 22, 4).” *Aegyptus* 102.1: 355–368.

2022.2.5.25

Bailey, C. 2022. “The Repulsae of Aemilius Paullus in Plutarch’s Aemilius.” In J. Beneker, C. Cooper, N. Humble and F. Titchener (eds), *Plutarch’s Unexpected Silences Suppression and Selection in the Lives and Moralia*. Leiden/Boston: 117–137.

2022.2.5.26

Beneker, J. 2022. “The Last of the Greeks, and Good Riddance: Historical Commentary in Plutarch’s Philopoemen-Flaminius.” In C. Giroux (ed), *Plutarch: Cultural Practice in a Connected World*. Teiresias Supplements Online 3: 97–118.

2022.2.5.27

Biggs, T. 2022. “Sown Men and Rome’s Civil Wars Rethinking the End of Melinno’s Hymn to Rome.” *Mnemosyne*:1-19.

2022.2.5.28

Bonnet, C. 2019. “‘De l’inattendu, le dieu a découvert la voie’ (Euripide, Bacchantes, 1391). La polyonymie comme mode de connaissance des dieux.” *Comptes rendus de l’Académie des Inscriptions et Belles-Lettres*: 595–619.

2022.2.5.29

Boulet, B. 2022. “The Unspoken Bridge between Philosophy and Politics: Plutarch’s *de genio Socratis*.” In J. Beneker, C. Cooper, N. Humble and F. Titchener (eds), *Plutarch’s Unexpected Silences Suppression and Selection in the Lives and Moralia*. Leiden/Boston: 50–62.

2022.2.5.30

Bray, C. 2021. “Mountains of Memory: A Phenomenological Approach to Mountains in Fifth-Century BCE Greek Tragedy.” In D. Hollis and J. König (eds), *Mountain Dialogues from Antiquity to Modernity*. London: 185–196.

2022.2.5.31

Brenk, F.E. 2022. “Plutarch on the Christians: Why so Silent? Ignorance, Indifference, or Indignity?” In J. Beneker, C. Cooper, N. Humble and F. Titchener (eds), *Plutarch’s Unexpected Silences Suppression and Selection in the Lives and Moralia*. Leiden/Boston: 263–281.

2022.2.5.32

Casanova, A. 2022. “Timossena, la Moglie di Plutarco.” *Prometheus* 48: 206–216.

2022.2.5.33

Chlup, J.T. 2022. “A Life in Pieces: Plutarch, Crassus 12.1-16.8.” In J. Beneker, C. Cooper, N. Humble and F. Titchener (eds), *Plutarch’s Unexpected Silences Suppression and Selection in the Lives and Moralia*. Leiden/Boston: 138–150.

2022.2.5.34

Chrysanthou, C.S. 2022. “Plutarch on Cato the Younger and the Annexation of Cyprus.” *L’Antiquité classique* 91: 27–45.

2022.2.5.35

Cook, B.L. 2022. “Plutarch’s Avoidance of Philip V.” In J. Beneker, C. Cooper, N. Humble and F. Titchener (eds), *Plutarch’s Unexpected Silences Suppression and Selection in the Lives and Moralia*. Leiden/Boston: 173–187.

2022.2.5.36

Cooper, C. 2022. “The Peek-a-Boo Presence of Aeschines in Plutarch’s Demosthenes’.” In J. Beneker, C. Cooper, N. Humble and F. Titchener (eds), *Plutarch’s Unexpected Silences Suppression and Selection in the Lives and Moralia*. Leiden/Boston: 245–262.

2022.2.5.37

Crosby, D.J. 2022. “Croesus at Dodona: The Test of Oracles in the Oracular Context.” *Histos* 16: 65–108.

2022.2.5.38

Delgado, J.A. and F. Pordomingo. 2020. “Ekphrasis de batalla en las Vidas Paralelas de Plutarco: la batalla de Actium.” In L. Conti Jiménez, M. Dolores Jiménez López, R. Fornieles, L.M. Macía Aparicio and J. de la Villa Polo (eds), *Δῶρα τὰ οἱ δίδομεν φιλέοντες*. Homenaje al profesor Emilio Crespo. Madrid: 387–397.

2022.2.5.39

Duranti, M. 2022. “The Meaning of the Wave in the Final Scene of Euripides’ Iphigenia Taurica.” *Greece & Rome* 69.2: 179–202.

2022.2.5.40

García, C. V. 2020. “Observaciones sobre de la morfología de los antropónimos micénicos de Micenas y de Tebas.” In L. Conti Jiménez, M. Dolores Jiménez López, R. Fornieles, L.M. Macía Aparicio and J. de la Villa Polo (eds), *Δῶρα τὰ οἱ δίδομεν φιλέοντες*. Homenaje al profesor Emilio Crespo. Madrid: 313–320

2022.2.5.41

Geiger, J. 2022. “Plutarch’s (Unexpected?) Silence on Jewish Monotheism.” In J. Beneker, C. Cooper, N. Humble and F. Titchener (eds), *Plutarch’s Unexpected Silences Suppression and Selection in the Lives and Moralia*. Leiden/Boston: 282–293.

2022.2.5.42

Gheerbrant, X. 2022. “Addressees, Knowledge, and Action in Hesiod and Empedocles.” In L. Iribarren and H. Koning (eds), *Hesiod and the Beginnings of Greek Philosophy*. Leiden/Boston: 263–293.

2022.2.5.43

Gigliani Bodei, G. 2021. “Discere signis. L’anno agricolo nelle opere di Esiodo.” *Studi Classici e Orientali* 67.2: 425–434.

2022.2.5.44

Giroux, C. 2022. “Beyond Bacon: Plutarch and Boiotian Culture.” In C. Giroux (ed), *Plutarch: Cultural Practice in a Connected World*. Teiresias Supplements Online 3: 164–184.

2022.2.5.45

Giroux, C. 2022. “Silence of the Lions: Exploring Plutarch’s Omissions on Chaeronea.” In J. Beneker, C. Cooper, N. Humble and F. Titchener (eds), *Plutarch’s Unexpected Silences Suppression and Selection in the Lives and Moralia*. Leiden/Boston: 188–209.

2022.2.5.46

Goode, C. 2021. “Tydeus and the Cadmaeans.” *Classics@* 21.1.  
<https://classics-at.chs.harvard.edu/tydeus-and-the-cadmaeans/>

2022.2.5.47

Hauser, E. 2022. “Making Men: Gender and the Poet in Pindar.” In L. Cordes and T. Fuhrer (eds), *The Gendered ‘I’ in Ancient Literature. Modelling Gender in First-Person Discourse*. Berlin/New York: 127–150.

2022.2.5.48

Haywood, J., and D. Post. 2022. “The Downfall of Croesus and Oedipus: Tracing Affinities Between Herodotus’ Histories and Sophocles’ Oedipus Tyrannus.” *Classical World* 115.3: 225–259.

2022.2.5.49

Humble, N. 2022. “Plutarch’s Imaginary Sparta: Hybridity and Identity in a Paradoxical Community.” In C. Giroux (ed), *Plutarch: Cultural Practice in a Connected World*. Teiresias Supplements Online 3: 148–163.

2022.2.5.50

Humble, N. 2022. “Silencing Sparta.” In J. Beneker, C. Cooper, N. Humble and F. Titchener (eds), *Plutarch’s Unexpected Silences Suppression and Selection in the Lives and Moralia*. Leiden/Boston: 223–244.

2022.2.5.51

Hunter, R. 2022. “Hesiod and the Presocratics: A Hellenistic Perspective?” In L. Iribarren and H. Koning (eds), *Hesiod and the Beginnings of Greek Philosophy*. Leiden/Boston: 57–78.



2022.2.5.52

Jacobs, S.G. 2022. “Building Cultural Bridges to Statesmen of the Past: Plutarch’s Heroes as Guides to City Leaders.” In C. Giroux (ed), *Plutarch: Cultural Practice in a Connected World*. *Teiresias Supplements Online* 3: 119–147.

2022.2.5.53

Jacobs, S.G. 2022. “Fine-Tuning Portraits in the Lives: Omissions that Clarify the Lessons in Leadership.” In J. Beneker, C. Cooper, N. Humble and F. Titchener (eds), *Plutarch’s Unexpected Silences Suppression and Selection in the Lives and Moralia*. Leiden/Boston: 81–101.

2022.2.5.54

Judet de la Combe, P. 2022. “On Naming the Origins: Hesiod vs the Ionians.” In L. Iribarren and H. Koning (eds), *Hesiod and the Beginnings of Greek Philosophy*. Leiden/Boston: 19–38.

2022.2.5.55

Ka Chun Tang, H. 2022. “Pelops and Myrtilos: Reassessing the ekphrasis in Statius, *Thebaid* 6.283-5.” *Classical Quarterly First View*: 1–11.

[https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/classical-quarterly/article/abs/pelops-and-myrtilos-reassessing-the-ekphrasis-in-statius-thebaid-62835/15B61827075B0E0CDAE3475FDD534CE6?amp%3BWT.mc\\_id=New%20Cambridge%20Alert%20-%20Articles](https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/classical-quarterly/article/abs/pelops-and-myrtilos-reassessing-the-ekphrasis-in-statius-thebaid-62835/15B61827075B0E0CDAE3475FDD534CE6?amp%3BWT.mc_id=New%20Cambridge%20Alert%20-%20Articles)

2022.2.5.56

Karakantza, E.D. 2022. “‘To Be Buried or Not to Be Buried?’ Necropolitics in Athenian History and Sophocles’ *Antigone*.” In M. Christopoulos, A. Papachrysotmou and A.P. Antonopoulos (eds), *Myth and History: Close Encounters*. Berlin/New York: 207–220.

2022.2.5.57

Künzer, I. 2022. “‘Ich rette diese Stadt, mein Leben geb ich freudig für sie hin.’ Pathos und Pragmatik bei der Selbsttötung für die Polis.” In V. Räuichle and S. Page (eds), *Pathos und Polis: Einsatz und Wirkung von Emotionen im klassischen Griechenland*. Tübingen: 279–304.

2022.2.5.58

Laks, A. 2022. “Aristotelian Perspectives on Hesiod: A Programmatic Sketch.” In L. Iribarren and H. Koning (eds), *Hesiod and the Beginnings of Greek Philosophy*. Leiden/Boston: 39–56.

2022.2.5.59

Ljung, E. “A Third Gracchus Brother? Revisiting Plutarch’s Account of the Death of Tiberius Sempronius Gracchus.” *Classical World* 115.4: 399–415.

2022.2.5.60

Mackenzie, T. 2022. “Hesiod, the Presocratic Poets, Aristeas, Epimenides and the Gold Tablets: Genre and Narrative.” In L. Iribarren and H. Koning (eds), *Hesiod and the Beginnings of Greek Philosophy*. Leiden/Boston: 81–103.

2022.2.5.61

Mirto, M.S. 2022. “Eteocle e Polinice: la riconciliazione onomastica dei fratelli nemici’ in il Nome nel testo.” *Rivista internazionale di onomastica letteraria* 24: 129–143.

2022.2.5.62

Moorman, R. 2022. “Feeling Scaphism: Enargeia and Assimilation in the Artaxerxes.” In C. Giroux (ed), *Plutarch: Cultural Practice in a Connected World*. *Teiresias Supplements Online* 3: 56–71.

2022.2.5.63

Morgan, K.A. 2022. “Parmenides and the Language of Constraint.” In L. Iribarren and H. Koning (eds), *Hesiod and the Beginnings of Greek Philosophy*. Leiden/Boston: 221–238.

2022.2.5.64

Most, G.W. 2022. “The World of the Catalogue.” In L. Iribarren and H. Koning (eds), *Hesiod and the Beginnings of Greek Philosophy*. Leiden/Boston: 104–116.

2022.2.5.65

Nerdahl, M. 2022. “Plutarch’s Narratorial Silences in the Dion.” In J. Beneker, C. Cooper, N. Humble and F. Titchener (eds), *Plutarch’s Unexpected Silences Suppression and Selection in the Lives and Moralia*. Leiden/Boston: 36–49.

2022.2.5.66

Nijs, W. 2022. “Epicurus at Plutarch’s dinner table: a tale of after-dinner sex and questionable polemics (Quaest. conv. III, 6).” *Rivista di Filologia e di Istruzione Classica* 150.1: 70–105.

2022.2.5.67

Nobili, C. 2022. “Ecphrastic Elements in Archaic and Classical Agonistic Epigrams.” *Nikephoros* 28: 257–280.

2022.2.5.68

Norman, M. 2022. “Genealogies of τέχνη. The Origins and Limits of Craft in Pindar.” *Mnemosyne Advance Articles*: 1–23.

<https://brill.com/view/journals/mnem/aop/article-10.1163-1568525x-bja10133/article-10.1163-1568525x-bja10133.xml>

2022.2.5.69

Omrani, B. 2022. “Euripides’ Suppliants: Mystery Cult Initiation and the Deaths of Evadne and Capaneus.” *Arethusa* 55.1: 1–18.

2022.2.5.70

Oughton, C.W. 2022. “What about the Gold-Digging Ants? The Silences and Irony of Plutarch’s *de Herodoti malignitate*.” In J. Beneker, C. Cooper, N. Humble and F. Titchener (eds), *Plutarch’s Unexpected Silences Suppression and Selection in the Lives and Moralia*. Leiden/Boston: 151–169.

2022.2.5.71

Papadimitropoulos, L. 2022. “Pindar’s Olympian 3: The Olive Branch as a Symbol of the Cohesion of the Human Community page.” *Wiener Studien* 135: 7–28.

2022.2.5.72

Papazoglou, E. 2022. “The Dramaturgy of Vocatives: Dynamics of Communication in Sophoclean Thebes.” *Skenè. Journal of Theatre and Drama Studies* 8.1: 143–167.

2022.2.5.73

Pelling, C. 2022. “What your Best Friend won’t tell you: Thucydidean and Plutarchan Silences on Sicily.” In J. Beneker, C. Cooper, N. Humble and F. Titchener (eds), *Plutarch’s Unexpected Silences Suppression and Selection in the Lives and Moralia*. Leiden/Boston: 210–222.

2022.2.5.74

Piano, V. 2022. “From Humans to Kosmos: Daimones in the Derveni Papyrus between Hesiod and Plato.” In L. Iribarren and H. Koning (eds), *Hesiod and the Beginnings of Greek Philosophy*. Leiden/Boston: 313–335.

2022.2.5.75

Presutti, T. 2022. “‘Un brivido, per lei, prese il Cielo e la Madre Terra.’ La nascita di Atena in Pindaro (Ol. 7, 35-38).” *Quaderni Urbinati di Cultura Classica* 131: 83–100.

2022.2.5.76

Ranno, A. 2021. “Le pire dei Sette: Pind. O. 6,15-17.” *Eikasmós* 32: 83–92.

2022.2.5.77

Rendina, S. 2022. “Pyrrhus’ Cold Wars (Plutarch Pyrrhus 12).” *GRBS* 62.2: 23–43.

2022.2.5.78

Rose, T.C. 2022. “The Quiet Life: Silence in Plutarch’s Demetrius.” In J. Beneker, C. Cooper, N. Humble and F. Titchener (eds), *Plutarch’s Unexpected Silences Suppression and Selection in the Lives and Moralia*. Leiden/Boston: 65–80.

2022.2.5.79

Santamaría, M.A. 2020. “Tiresias y los guardianes de las laminillas de oro.” In L. Conti Jiménez, M. Dolores Jiménez López, R. Fornieles, L.M. Macía Aparicio and J. de la Villa Polo (eds), *Δῶρα τὰ οἱ δίδομεν φιλέοντες*. Homenaje al profesor Emilio Crespo. Madrid: 269–276.

2022.2.5.80

Santamaría, M.A. 2022. “Divine Crime and Punishment: Breaking the Cosmic Law in Hesiod’s Theogony 783–806 and Empedocles’ Fragment DK B115.” In L. Iribarren and H. Koning (eds), *Hesiod and the Beginnings of Greek Philosophy*. Leiden/Boston: 294–312.

2022.2.5.81

Šćepanović, S. 2022. “Thinking about Time and Eternity—From Hesiod and the Presocratics to Plato and Aristotle.” In L. Iribarren and H. Koning (eds), *Hesiod and the Beginnings of Greek Philosophy*. Leiden/Boston: 139–158.

2022.2.5.82

Scharff, S. 2022. ‘No Life without Athletics. Plutarch and Greek Sport’. In C. Giroux (ed), *Plutarch: Cultural Practice in a Connected World*. *Teiresias Supplements Online* 3: 40–55.

2022.2.5.83

Schlapbach, K. 2022. “The Place of Dance in Plutarch’s World. Written Traces of a Physical Cultural Practice.” In C. Giroux (ed), *Plutarch: Cultural Practice in a Connected World*. *Teiresias Supplements Online* 3: 17–39.

2022.2.5.84

Schmidt, T. 2022. “Local Past and Global Present in Plutarch’s Greek, Roman, and Barbarian Questions.” In C. Giroux (ed), *Plutarch: Cultural Practice in a Connected World*. *Teiresias Supplements Online* 3: 72–96.

2022.2.5.85

Scully, S. 2022. “Δίκη/δίκη in Hesiod, Anaximander and Heraclitus.” In L. Iribarren and H. Koning (eds), *Hesiod and the Beginnings of Greek Philosophy*. Leiden/Boston: 159–176.

2022.2.5.86

Sicka, D. 2022. “The Unmercenary Muse? Poet, Patron, and Fee in Pindar’s Isthmian 2.” *Nikephoros* 28: 347–358.

2022.2.5.87

Söllradl, B. 2022. “satis est meminisse priorum. Zur Funktion der Totenbeschwörung in Stat. Theb. 4.” *Gymnasium* 129.1: 17–43.

2022.2.5.88

Sotiriou, M. 2022. “Poet, Patron, Message: Witness-Roles and the Game of Truth in Epinician Eidography.” In A. Markantonatos, V. Liotsakis and A. Serafim (eds), *Witnesses and Evidence in Ancient Greek Literature*. Berlin/New York: 229–248.

2022.2.5.89

Stem, R. 2022. “Plutarch’s Silence about the Relationship between Military Success and Political Virtue in Sulla and Caesar.” In J. Beneker, C. Cooper, N. Humble and F. Titchener (eds), *Plutarch’s Unexpected Silences Suppression and Selection in the Lives and Moralia*. Leiden/Boston: 102–116.

2022.2.5.90

Strauss Clay, J. 2022. “Hesiod reads Empedocles.” In L. Iribarren and H. Koning (eds), *Hesiod and the Beginnings of Greek Philosophy*. Leiden/Boston: 198–217.

2022.2.5.91

Tibiletti, A. 2022. “On the Alleged Davicus Ethicus in Pindar.” *Prometheus* 48: 35–45.

2022.2.5.92

Tibiletti, A. 2022. “Osservazioni sulla Nemea 2 di Pindaro.” *L’Antiquité classique* 91: 151–164.

2022.2.5.93

Tor, S. 2022. “Xenophanes’ rejection of Theogony.” In L. Iribarren and H. Koning (eds), *Hesiod and the Beginnings of Greek Philosophy*. Leiden/Boston: 177–197.

2022.2.5.94

Vergados, A. 2022. “Hesiod and Some Linguistic Approaches of the 5th Century BCE.” In L. Iribarren and H. Koning (eds), *Hesiod and the Beginnings of Greek Philosophy*. Leiden/Boston: 239–262.

2022.2.5.95

Vottéro, G. 2021. “Les e: et o: En béotien.” In M. Bile, R. Hodot and G. Vottéro (eds), *Questions de dialectologie grecque*. Paris: 75–102.

2022.2.5.96

Zaccaria, P. 2022. “The fragments of Alexander’s Ephemerides reconsidered: new evidence from Plutarch’s corpus.” *Aevum* 96.1: 121–150.

## Reviews

2022.2.5.97

Andò, V. 2021. *Euripide: Ifigenia in Aulide: Introduzione, testo critico, traduzione e commento. Lexis supplementi 4. Venezia*. Reviewed by: S. Rodríguez Piedrabuena, 2022. *Bryn Mawr Classical Review* 2022.09.07.

2022.2.5.98

Briguglio, S. 2020. *La notte di Argo: saggio di commento a Stazio, Tebaide 1, 390-720. Millennium, 11. Alessandria*. Reviewed by: B. Martínez Zepeda, 2022. *Bryn Mawr Classical Review* 2022.07.08.

2022.2.5.99

Chinn, C. 2022. *Visualizing the Poetry of Statius. An Intertextual Approach. Mnemosyne Supplements 449. Leiden/Boston*. Reviewed by: T. Spinelli, 2022. *Classical Review* 72.2: 543–545.

2022.2.5.100

Citro, S. and F. Tanga (eds). 2021. *Volpe Cacciatore A Life Devoted to Plutarch: Philology, Philosophy, and Reception. Selected Essays by Paola Volpe Cacciatore*. Reviewed by: T. Tsiamposkalos, 2022. *Classical Review* 72.2: 488–490.

2022.2.5.101

Clúa Serena, J.A. 2020. *Mythologica Plutarchea. Estudios sobre los mitos en Plutarco. XIII Simposio Internacional de la Sociedad Española de Plutarquistas (Universidad de Lleida, 4–5–6 de octubre de 2018). Madrid*. Reviewed by: S. Xenophontos, 2022. *Classical Review* 72.2: 483–485.

2022.2.5.102

Georganzoglou, N. 2016. Pindarou Olympionikos 1. hermeneutikos scholiasmos. Reviewed by: Marinis, 2022. CJ-Online Review 22.10.2022.

2022.2.5.103

Hulls, J-M. 2021. The search for the self in Statius' 'Thebaid': identity, intertext and the sublime. Trends in classics. Supplementary volumes, 116. Berlin/Boston. Reviewed by: E. Sanderson, 2022. Bryn Mawr Classical Review 2022.06.43.

2022.2.5.104

Lesage Gárriga, L. 2021. Plutarch: On the Face Which Appears in the Orb of the Moon. Introduction, Edition, English Translation and Commentary to the Critical Edition. Brill's Plutarch Studies 7 (512.2.08). Reviewed by: C. Martins Jesus, 2022. Humanitas 79: 189-219.

2022.2.5.105

Lesage Gárriga, L. 2021. Plutarch. On the Face Which Appears in the Orb of the Moon. Introduction, Edition, English Translation and Commentary to the Critical Edition. Reviewed by: V. M. Ramón Palermo, 2022. Atlantis Review 48: 137–139.

2022.2.5.106

Maréchaux, B. and Mineo, B. 2020. Plutarque et la construction de l'Histoire. Entre récit historique et invention littéraire. Actes du colloque organisé les 13 et 14 mai 2016 à l'université de Nantes. Reviewed by: T. Schmidt, 2022. Gnomon 4/94: 307–310.

2022.2.5.107

Newmyer, S.T. 2021. Plutarch's Three Treatises on Animals. A Translation with Introductions and Commentary. Reviewed by: A.V. Zadorojnyi, 2022. Classical Review 72.2: 485–487.

2022.2.5.108

Onelley, G.B. and S. Peçanha. 2020. Hesíodo: Trabalhos e Dias. Prefácio de Jacyntho Lins Brandão. Reviewed by: F. de Oliveira, 2022. Humanitas 79: 193–195.

2022.2.5.109

Pellettieri, A. 2021. I composti nell'Alessandra di Licofrone: studi filologici e linguistici. Reviewed by: C. Cusset, 2021. Aitia 11.2.

<https://doi.org/10.4000/aitia.8783>

2022.2.5.110

Peri, A. 2021. *L'Olimpica XIII di Pindaro. Introduzione, commento e analisi metrica* (512.2.10). Reviewed by: T. Kuhn-Treichel, 2022. *Rivista di Cultura Classica e Medioevale* 64.2: 576–578.

2022.2.5.111

Peri, A. 2021. *L'Olimpica XIII di Pindaro. Introduzione, commento e analisi metrica*. (Hermes Einzelschriften 121.). Stuttgart. Reviewed by: C. Eckerman, 2022. *Classical Review* 72.2: 421–423.

2022.2.5.112

Recchia, M. 2022. *Pindari et Bacchylidis hyporchematum fragmenta*. Edizioni dell'Ateneo. Rome. Reviewed by: T. Philips, 2022. *Bryn Mawr Classical Review* 2022.10.39.

2022.2.5.113

Schmidt, T., M.V. Ruffy. and R. Hirsch-Luipold (eds). 2020. *The Dynamics of Intertextuality in Plutarch*. Leiden/Boston. Reviewed by: J. Pinheiro, 2022. *Atlantis Review* 46: 134–137.

2022.2.5.114

Simms, R.G. 2019. *Anticipation and Anachrony in Statius' Thebaid*. Reviewed by: F. Ripoll, 2022. *L'Antiquité classique* 91: 230–232.

2022.2.5.115

Vöhler, M. 2005. *Pindarrezeptionen. Sechs Studien zum Wandel des Pindarverständnisses von Erasmus bis Herder*. Reviewed by: A. Conzo, 2022. *Eisodos* 2022.1. 22–27.