

start

Solidity is an adjective for condensed abelian groups that is analogous to "non-Archimedean completeness".

To define it we're going to declare a family of free solid abelian groups & then use them to generate all other solid abelian groups.

$$\mathbb{Z}[S] := \varprojlim \mathbb{Z}[S_i] \quad \text{for } S = \varprojlim S_i.$$

as  $\text{Pro}F = \text{Pro-Object}(\text{Fin Sets})$  & so  $\mathbb{Z}[S]$  is indep. of how we present  $S$  as an inverse limit of finite sets as any two such ways of presenting  $S$  are equivalent as formal projective systems. ( $S = \varprojlim S_i$ ,  $\varprojlim$  object in  $\text{Fin}(\text{Sets})$ )

(For  $\mathcal{R} \in \text{sh}(\text{Pro}F)$ , the nat. arrow  $\varprojlim_{\leftarrow n} \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{R}]_{\leq n} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{R}]$  is iso).

From there we have  $\mathbb{Z}[S] = \varprojlim_{\leftarrow n} \mathbb{Z}[S]_{\leq n}$ .

Prop<sup>n</sup> 9.1.2 - Nat. map  $\mathbb{Z}[S] \rightarrow \varprojlim_{\leftarrow n} \mathbb{Z}[S_i]$  is one-one. Its image is precisely the condensed abelian group

$$\bigcup_n \varprojlim_{\leftarrow i} \mathbb{Z}[S_i]_{\leq n}.$$

→ Requires some results about pre-sheaves etc.

Egs:- Consider  $S = \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\} \Rightarrow S \in \text{Pro}F$ . So,  $S$  is obtained as the  $S = \varprojlim S_i$  where  $S_i = \{0, 1, \dots, i-1, \infty\}$  where

$$S \rightarrow S_i \text{ is } \begin{cases} \{0, 1, \dots, i-1\} \xrightarrow{\text{id}} \{0, 1, \dots, i-1\} \\ \text{others} \mapsto \{\infty\} \end{cases}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{Z}[S] / ([\infty] = 0) &= \varprojlim_{\leftarrow} \mathbb{Z}[S_i] / ([\infty] = 0) \\ &= \varprojlim_{\leftarrow} \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{N}] / (\mathbb{Z}[\infty]) = \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{N}] \end{aligned}$$

Now, let's define  $\text{Solid}(\text{AB})$  as a full sub-cat. of  $\text{Cond}(\text{AB})$

Def<sup>n</sup> Let  $M \in \text{Cond}(A, B)$ .  $\exists$  a nat. restriction map for any  $S \in \mathcal{ED}$

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Cond}(A, B)}(\mathbb{Z}[S], M) \rightarrow \text{Mor}_{\text{Cond}(A, B)}(S, M)$$

Say  $M$  is "solid" if this restriction map is an iso  $\forall S \in \mathcal{ED}$ .  
Link & ~~later~~ as well  $(\mathcal{ED} \subset \text{Prof})$ .  $M$  solid  $\Rightarrow$  the map is iso  $\forall S \in \text{Prof}$ .

Prop<sup>n</sup> 9.1.6:-  $M \in \text{Cond}(A, B)$  solid  $\Leftrightarrow \forall S \in \text{Prof}$  the nat. map  $\text{Hom}_{\text{Cond}(A, B)}(\mathbb{Z}[S], M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\text{Cond}(A, B)}(\mathbb{Z}[S], M)$

is an iso.

Pf:- Immediate from def<sup>n</sup> of solid & adjunction iso:-  
 $\text{Hom}_{\text{Cond}(A, B)}(\mathbb{Z}[S], M) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Hom}_{\text{Cond}(A, B)}(\mathbb{Z}[S], M)$

Def<sup>n</sup> A complex  $C \in \mathcal{D}(\text{Cond}(A, B))$  is derived solid (or just "solid" if its understood we're using a complex) if  $\forall S \in \text{Prof}$ , the natural map  $R\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}[S], C) \rightarrow R\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}[S], C)$  is an iso.

Link & we can replace Hom above.

Prop<sup>n</sup> 9.1.7:-  $X \in \text{Cond}(A, B)$  is such that the one-term complex  $X \in \mathcal{D}(\text{Cond}(A, B))$  is derived solid, then  $X$  is solid. (No Proof to be given).

Pf We've natural map:  
 $R\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}[S], X) \rightarrow R\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}[S], X)$

is iso in derived case.  $\forall S \in \mathcal{ED}$ .

$\mathbb{Z}[S]$  projective  $\Rightarrow R\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}[S], X) = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}[S], X)$   
 Take 0-th coho of two iso complexes  $\Rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}[S], X) = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}[S], X)$

"Solidification as measures"  
Interpretation of  $\mathbb{R}[S]$  as a space of measures

We claim!  $\exists$  a nat. iso  $\mathbb{R}[S] \cong \text{Hom}_{\text{Cond}(\mathbb{R})}(C(S, \mathbb{R}); \mathbb{R})$  (9.2.1).

vvi  
Here  $C(S, \mathbb{R})$  is viewed as Hausdorff abelian topo. grp with discrete topo. why discrete?  
Well  $S$  finite  $\Rightarrow C(S, \mathbb{R})$  have discrete topo.  
Generally, discrete topo is chosen so that the following holds:

Lemma 9.2.2: The nat map  $\phi: \varinjlim C(S_i, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C(S, \mathbb{R})$  is iso of topo groups.

Pft Check  $\phi$  is top group map.  
 $\therefore$  Each  $C(S_i, \mathbb{R})$  discrete  $\times i \Rightarrow \text{colim } \varinjlim C(S_i, \mathbb{R})$  is also discrete (by def<sup>n</sup> of the topo. on colim).  
As  $C(S, \mathbb{R})$  is discrete  $\Rightarrow$  To show  $\phi$  is iso of topo grps, enough to show that  $\phi$  is a set bije<sup>n</sup>.

Check  $\phi$  is one-one (by def<sup>n</sup>)

Pf of onto: Equivalently we can show that any  $C^0$ -map  $f: S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  factors via some  $S_i$ . SG prof  $\Rightarrow$  S cpt & so  $f(S) \subset \mathbb{R}$ . But then  $f: S \rightarrow f(S)$  is a morphism of finite profinite sets, & hence it must factor via some  $S_i$ .  
(recall the equivalence b/w ~~set of pro-finite~~ Prof & pro-objects (FinSets) & use def<sup>n</sup> of Homsets in the later category.)

pf of 9.2.1! - first assume  $S$  is finite, at end we'll use a limit to prove (9.2.1.)  $\forall S \in \text{Prof}$ .

$$S \text{ finite} \Rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[S]^{\otimes} = \mathbb{Z}[S] = \underline{\mathbb{Z}[S]}$$

(Prop 5.7.5 in Barz)

$$S \text{ finite} \Rightarrow C(S, \mathbb{Z}) = \prod_S \mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}[S] \text{ as}$$

"abelian grp" & so

$$\mathbb{Z}[S]^{\otimes} = \underline{C(S, \mathbb{Z})}$$

But again using finiteness of  $S$ ,  $\exists$  an iso of abelian grps

$$C(S, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \text{Hom}(C(S, \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z})$$

$$(\cdot) \text{Hom}(\prod_S \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \prod_S \mathbb{Z}$$

as index set is finite

and the above induces an iso of cond. abelian groups:

$$\mathbb{Z}[S]^{\otimes} \cong \underline{\text{Hom}(C(S, \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z})}$$

Then 5.8.4  $\Rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[S]^{\otimes} \cong \underline{\text{Hom}(C(S, \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z})}$  whenever  $S$  finite.

General

For  $S \in \text{Prof}$ , we've

$$\mathbb{Z}[S]^{\otimes} = \varprojlim \mathbb{Z}[S_i] \cong \varprojlim \underline{\text{Hom}(C(S_i, \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z})}$$

Now  $\underline{\text{Hom}}$  &  $\varprojlim$  commute i.e. for  $\{X_i\}$ ,  $Y \in \text{Cond}(Ab)$ ,

$$\varprojlim \underline{\text{Hom}}(X_i, Y) = \underline{\text{Hom}}(\varprojlim X_i, Y)$$

(refer lemma 9.2.3, Barz)

~~Now~~ (crux is tensor product is left adjoint & hence commutes with limits).

$$\text{Thus } \mathbb{Z}[S]^{\otimes} \cong \underline{\text{Hom}(\varprojlim C(S_i, \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z})}$$

I guess it was discussed in last talk with pre  $\mathbb{Z}[S] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[S]$   
 $S(E) = C(E, S)$   
 $\mathbb{Z}[S](T)$   
 $= C(T, \mathbb{Z}[S])$

Already seen!

$$\lim_{\rightarrow} C(S_i, \mathbb{Z}) = C(S, \mathbb{Z}) \quad \text{! true for at level of topo abelian grps.} \quad (5)$$

To show for <sup>level of</sup> Cond. ab. grps :-

Lemma 9.2.45  $\{A_i\}$  is a directed system of discrete topo abelian grps; then  $A = \varinjlim A_i$  is a discrete topo abelian grp. Also in Cond  $(A_i)$

$$\varinjlim A_i = A$$

Corollary 4  $S \in \text{Prof} \Rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[S] \cong \text{Hom}(C(S, \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z})$   
vvI " viewing  $C(S, \mathbb{Z})$  as discrete topo abelian group.

Def<sup>n</sup> If  $S \in \text{Prof}$ , define

$M(S, \mathbb{Z}) := \text{Hom}(C(S, \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z})$  as the set of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -valued measures on  $S$ .

Prop<sup>n</sup> 9.2.72 If  $S \in \text{ED}$  &  $A \in \text{Solid}$ , then  $\forall \mu \in M(S, \mathbb{Z})$  &  $f \in A$   $f: S \rightarrow A$  (a morphism of condensed sets) one can define  $\int f \mu \in A(*)$ . So, we can integrate.

Pf:  $f \rightsquigarrow f': \mathbb{Z}[S] \rightarrow A$  extending uniquely to  $\tilde{f}: \mathbb{Z}[S] \rightarrow A$  as  $A$  is solid.  $\perp \tilde{f}(*)$  gives an intg. map.

Lemma In Cond  $(A_i)$ ,  
 $\text{Hom}(\bigoplus_i A_i, B) = \prod_i \text{Hom}(A_i, B)$  (to be given)

Pf:  $\forall S \in \text{ED}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Hom} \left( \bigoplus_i A_i, B \right) (E) &= \text{Hom} \left( \mathbb{Z}[E] \otimes \bigoplus_i A_i, B \right) \\
 &= \text{Hom} \left( \bigoplus_i \left( \mathbb{Z}[E] \otimes A_i \right), B \right) \\
 &= \prod_i \text{Hom} \left( \mathbb{Z}[E] \otimes A_i, B \right) \\
 &= \prod_i \text{Hom} \left( A_i, B \right) (E).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thm 9.2.8:  $\forall S \in \text{Prof}$ ,  $\exists$  a set  $I$  with  $|I| \leq 2^{|S|}$  where prod. is taken in the  $\text{Cat}$  of condensed abelian grps.

$\rightarrow S \in \text{Prof} \Rightarrow C(S, \mathbb{Z})$  is free abelian

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{Z}[S]^{\oplus} &\cong \text{Hom} \left( C(S, \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z} \right) \cong \text{Hom} \left( \bigoplus_I \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z} \right) \\
 &= \prod_I \mathbb{Z} \quad (\text{with } |I| \leq 2^{|S|})
 \end{aligned}$$

Thm 2.3.16  $S \in \text{Prof} \Rightarrow$  the cond. ab. grps  $\mathbb{Z}[S]^{\oplus}$  is both solid & derived solid.

$\rightarrow$  for any  $T \in \text{Prof}$ , we've a natural map

$$\text{RHom} \left( \mathbb{Z}[T]^{\oplus}, \mathbb{Z}[S]^{\oplus} \right) \rightarrow \text{RHom} \left( \mathbb{Z}[T], \mathbb{Z}[S]^{\oplus} \right)$$

Thm 9.2.8  $\Rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[S]^{\oplus} = \prod_I \mathbb{Z}$  for index set  $I$ .

$$\therefore \text{RHom} \left( \mathbb{Z}[T]^{\oplus}, \mathbb{Z}[S]^{\oplus} \right) = \bigoplus_I \text{RHom} \left( \mathbb{Z}[T]^{\oplus}, \mathbb{Z} \right)$$

$$\& \text{RHom} \left( \mathbb{Z}[T], \mathbb{Z}[S]^{\oplus} \right) = \bigoplus_I \text{RHom} \left( \mathbb{Z}[T], \mathbb{Z} \right).$$

BTS! — The result holds for  $S = *$  aka  $\mathbb{Z}[S]^{\oplus} = \mathbb{Z}$ .

The object  $\text{RHom} \left( \mathbb{Z}[T], \mathbb{Z} \right)$  is quite simple.

From our who conditions, calculations, (7)

$$\text{Ext}^i(\mathbb{Z}[T], \mathbb{Z}) = H^i(T, \mathbb{Z}) = 0 \quad \forall i > 0$$

$$\text{Ext}^0(\mathbb{Z}[S], \mathbb{Z}) = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}[T], \mathbb{Z}) = C(T, \mathbb{Z}).$$

$$\therefore \text{RHom}(\mathbb{Z}[S], \mathbb{Z}) = C(T, \mathbb{Z})[0]$$

Now, we compute

$$\text{RHom}(\mathbb{Z}[T], \mathbb{Z}).$$

First by,  $\mathbb{Z}[T] \cong \prod_J \mathbb{Z}$  and then 9.2.9.  $\Rightarrow$

$$\text{Now then 8.6.1. } \Rightarrow \left( \text{RHom}\left(\prod_J \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}\right) = \bigoplus_J \mathbb{Z}[0] \right).$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{RHom}(\mathbb{Z}[T], \mathbb{Z}) = \text{RHom}\left(\prod_J \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}\right) = \bigoplus_J \mathbb{Z}[0]$$

(From last fall)

But  $C(T, \mathbb{Z}) = \bigoplus_J \mathbb{Z}$  thus  $\Rightarrow$  done  $\square$

Prop 9.3.2 Let  $\mathcal{X}$  is a cat with

$$\text{Obj}(\mathcal{X}) = \text{Mor}_{\text{Cond}(A)}(S \rightarrow A) \text{ with } A \in \text{Solid}(A_0)$$

Then  $S \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[S]$  is initial in this cat. i.e.

every  $S \rightarrow A$  factors via  $S \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[S]$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{If } A \text{ solid } \Rightarrow \text{Mor}(S, A) &= \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}[S], A) \\ &= \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}[S], A) \end{aligned}$$

Rank 1  $\neq$  prime  $p$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is solid.

Rank 1  $\mathbb{Z}[[T]] = \prod_{\mathcal{A}} \mathbb{Z}$  solid. (Don't tell).

Thm: If  $X$  is pro-finite, then  $C(X, \mathbb{Z})$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module. (Borz, pg 27)

→ We've  $X = \varprojlim X_i$  for some finite sets  $X_i$ .

∴  $X \in \text{prof} \Rightarrow X$  cpt & hence any continuous function into  $\mathbb{Z}$  has finite image, means it must factor via one of the  $X_i$ 's. The indicator functions of various pts. in  $X_i$  induce continuous functions  $X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ .  
∧ it's clear that these are spanning, & it seems plausible that they might be independent, if we take things in stages; if our index set for the inverse limit were well-ordered.

(Bogman) Find a cont. ~~function~~ injection  $X \hookrightarrow \prod_I \{0, 1\}$ .  
To see such is possible, note if  $X = \varprojlim X_i$ , then

$X \hookrightarrow \prod X_i$  & then we can continuously embed a finite set into a product of copies of  $\{0, 1\}$ .  
Well order  $I$ , identity with ordinal  $\lambda$ ;  $\text{elts}(I) \leftrightarrow \mu$  for  $\mu < \lambda$ .  
For each  $\mu < \lambda$ , we get an element of  $I$

which is  $e_\mu: X \xrightarrow{c^0} \{0, 1\}$ .

Order the "products"  $e_{\mu_1} \dots e_{\mu_r}$  (with  $\mu_1 < \dots < \mu_r$ ) → lex  
lexicographically, including the empty product for  $r=0$ .  
Let  $E$  be the set of all such products that are not linear combination of smaller products. We show that

$E$  is a basis of  $C(X, \mathbb{Z})$  using "transfinite induction" on  $\lambda$ . If  $\lambda=0 \Rightarrow$  claim trivial.



⑦ If  $\lambda$  is a limit ordinal, then the result is true for all  $\mu < \lambda$ , then let  $S_\mu \xrightarrow{\pi_\mu} \mathbb{T} \{0, 1\}$

Then  $E_\mu$  be a basis of  $S_\mu$ , &  $E = \bigcup_{\mu} E_\mu$ .

Also,  $S = \varinjlim_{\mu < \lambda} S_\mu$ . As each  $E_\mu$  is spanning

we find that  $E$  is "spanning" in the limit.

$E$  is independent by construction.

Now let  $\lambda = \beta + 1$ , a successor ordinal. Let  $S' = S_\beta$ .

We have closed immersions  $S \hookrightarrow S' \times \{0, 1\}$ ,  
(due to compactness)

so that the projections  $S \rightarrow S'$  is onto.

$$\text{Coker} \quad 0 \rightarrow C(S', \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow C(S, \mathbb{Z})$$

Cokernel of the above ??

Let  $T \subset S'$  be  $T := \left\{ t \in S' \mid \begin{array}{l} (t, 0) \in S \text{ and} \\ (t, 1) \in S \end{array} \right\}$

claim  $0 \rightarrow C(S', \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow C(S, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow C(T, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow 0$

exact.

$$f \mapsto g \quad \text{where } g(t) = f(t, 0) - f(t, 1)$$

Indeed  $g=0$  ( $\Rightarrow$ )  $f$  was induced from a map over  $S'$  & this  $f \mapsto g$  is onto as for any  $g: T \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ , we can

define  $f(t, 0) = g(t)$  & then 0 everywhere else on  $S$ .

To see such a def<sup>n</sup> is cont, enough to check

$S \setminus (T \times \{0\})$  is open which is obvious.

$\therefore \forall n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ ,

$$f^{-1}(n) = g^{-1}(n) \times \{0\} \text{ open by continuity of } f.$$

$$\wedge f^{-1}(0) = \underbrace{(S \setminus (T \times \{0\}))}_{\text{open}} \cup \underbrace{g^{-1}(0)}_{\text{open}}$$

$\implies f^{-1}(0)$  open.

Induction hypothesis  $\Rightarrow C(S', \mathbb{Z}) = C(S_p, \mathbb{Z})$  is free with basis  $\{e_f\}$  where there are products not containing  $e_f$  in their multiplicand (as a term).

Additionally, the products containing  $e_f$  must start with  $e_f$  (we order all terms in a product) where recall here that  $e_f: S \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  is the map obtained

by

$$S \rightarrow S' \times \{0, 1\} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$$

But a prod  $e_f e_{\mu(p-1)} \dots e_{\mu_1}$  projects to the map  $T \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  given by  $e_{\mu(p-1)}; \dots; e_{\mu_1}$ .

Hence (ind<sup>n</sup> hypo  $\implies$ ) The products project onto a basis of  $C(T, \mathbb{Z})$  applied to  $T$ .

$C(T, \mathbb{Z})$  free  $\implies$  exact sequence split hence  $C(S, \mathbb{Z})$  free with basis being  $\{e_f \cup \{ \text{terms which start with } e_f \text{ as a factor} \} \}$

Thm 5.81

(i) The cate.  $\text{Solid}(Ab) \subset \text{Cond}(Ab)$  is an abelian sub-  
 cate stable under all limits & co-limits & extensions.  
 The objects  $\Pi \mathbb{Z} \in \text{Solid}(Ab)$  form a family of compact  
 projective generators for any set  $I$ .

And,  $\text{Solid}(Ab) \subset \text{Cond}(Ab)$  admits a rt.-adjoint  
 $M \rightarrow M^{\#} : \text{Cond}(Ab) \rightarrow \text{Solid}(Ab)$  that is the ! colimit-  
 preserving extension of  $\mathbb{Z} \in [S] \mapsto \mathbb{Z} \in [S]^{\#}$ .

(ii) Moreover,  $D(\text{Solid}(Ab)) \rightarrow D(\text{Cond}(Ab))$  induced by the  
 map  $\text{Solid}(Ab) \hookrightarrow \text{Cond}(Ab)$  is fully faithful &  
 describes its images (which are precisely the objects of  
 $\mathcal{D}(\text{Cond}(Ab))$ ).

An object  $C \in \mathcal{D}(\text{Cond}(Ab))$  is solid  $\Leftrightarrow H^i(C) \in \text{Solid}(Ab)$   
 $\forall i \in \mathbb{N}_0$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(x) dx$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(x) dx$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(x) dx$$

Let  $u \in K_{loc}(\Omega)$  &  $x \in \mathbb{N}^n$  be a multi-index. Then  
 $u \in K_{loc}(\Omega)$  is called the  $x$ -th weak derivative  
 of  $u$  usually written as  $\partial_x u$  or if