

Talk: Condensed cohomology

Sources: Scholze's script + Dagur Árgarsson's master thesis

We have seen a way to send Chains to Cond Sets by $X \rightarrow \underline{X}$ with good categorical properties. Now we want ~~construct~~ to see that we can detect some of the topological invariants on the condensed sets.

Main focus: how to compute the condensed cohomology groups

~~Def 0~~ \mathcal{A} is always an abelian category

Def. 1: A cochain complex A^\bullet in \mathcal{A} is given by =

- a collection $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$
- morphisms $d^n: A^n \rightarrow A^{n+1} \quad \forall n$ (differentials) with $d \circ d = 0$

A morphism $f: A^\bullet \rightarrow B^\bullet$ is given by morphisms $(f_n: A^n \rightarrow B^n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ which ~~commute~~ commutes with d . The category is called $\text{CoCh}(\mathcal{A})$

A homotopy from f to g with $f, g: A^\bullet \rightarrow B^\bullet$ is given by morphisms $(h_n: A^n \rightarrow B^{n-1})_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ with $f - g = d \circ h + h \circ d$ (omitting the indices).

The category with the objects $\text{CoCh}(\mathcal{A})$ and ~~morphism~~ homotopy classes as morphisms is called $K(\mathcal{A})$.

The i -th cohomology is given by $H^i(A^\bullet) = \frac{\ker d^i}{\text{im } d^{i-1}}$ and if $H^i(f)$ is isomorphic $\forall i$ f is called quasi-isomorphic.

Def. 2: $N \in K(\mathcal{A})$ is called acyclic if $H^i(N) = 0 \quad \forall i$.

- $P \in K(\mathcal{A})$ is K -projective if $\text{Hom}^*(P, N)$ is acyclic $\forall N$ acyclic

- ~~$P \in K(\mathcal{A})$~~ A K -projective resolution of $M \in K(\mathcal{A})$ is a quasi-isom. $P \rightarrow M$ in $K(\mathcal{A})$ where P is K -projective.

Prop: Every object in $\text{Cond } \mathcal{A}$ has a K -proj. resolution

Simplicial Hypercovers

Def 3: Δ is the category with objects $[n] = \{0, \dots, n\}$ and morphisms: order preserving maps. For a category \mathcal{C} we define a simplicial

object of \mathcal{C} as a functor $\Delta^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. The category is denoted as $\text{Simp}(\mathcal{C})$. Further $\Delta_{\leq n}$ is the full subcategory of Δ with objects $[m]$, $m \leq n$ and $\text{Simp}_n(\mathcal{C})$ are called n -truncated simplicial

objects of \mathcal{C} .

Prop 4: A simplicial object of \mathcal{C} is fully defined by:

- a collection $(X_n)_n \in \mathcal{C} \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.

• for $0 \leq i \leq n$ a morphism $d_i: X_n \rightarrow X_{n-1}$ (faces) and

$s_i: X_i \rightarrow X_{i+1}$ satisfying $d_i d_j = d_{j-1} d_i$ and $s_i s_j = s_{j+1} s_i$

and $d_i s_j = \begin{cases} s_{j-1} d_i & \text{for } i < j \\ \text{id} & \text{for } i = j, i = j+1 \\ s_j d_{i-1} & \text{for } i > j+1 \end{cases}$

The restriction $sk_n: \text{Simp}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Simp}_n(\mathcal{C})$ is called skeleton functor

and its right adjoint co_k_n coskeleton functor ($co_k_n: \text{Simp}_n(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Simp}(\mathcal{C})$)

Prop 5: co_k_n exists in simplicial sets $\forall n$.

Def 5: Let \mathcal{C} be a category and X an object of \mathcal{C} . Then we get

the category of semi-representables over X ($SR(\mathcal{C}, X)$)

- objects: families $\{X_i \rightarrow X\}_{i \in I}$ of morphisms

- morphisms: $\{X_i \rightarrow X\}_{i \in I} \rightarrow \{Y_j \rightarrow X\}_{j \in J}$ given by a map of sets $\alpha: I \rightarrow J$ and for each $i \in I$ a morphism $\varphi_i: X_i \rightarrow Y_{\alpha(i)}$ in \mathcal{C} such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_i & \rightarrow & Y_{\alpha(i)} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & X & \end{array} \text{ commutes.}$$

Prop 6: If category \mathcal{C} has a fibre product $\Rightarrow SR(\mathcal{C}, X)$ has

all finite limits and coskeleton functors exists in $\text{Simp} SR(\mathcal{C}, X)$

(can be written as a finite limit here). All finite limits have the form of a finite fiber product.

Def 7: Let $X \in \mathcal{C}$ Haus. A hypercover of X is a simplicial object K of $SR(\mathcal{C}, X)$ such that $K_0 = \{X_i \rightarrow X\}_{i \in I}$ jointly

surjective ($\coprod_{i \in I} X_i \rightarrow X$ surj.) and $\forall n \geq 0$ the map $K_{n+1} \rightarrow (co_k_n sk_n K)_{n+1}$ gives covering family. Means

is $K_{n+1} = \{X_i \rightarrow X\}_{i \in I}$ and $(co_k_n sk_n K)_{n+1} = \{Y_j \rightarrow X\}_{j \in J}$

and the map is given by $\alpha: I \rightarrow J$. Then for each $j \in J$ we have $\{X_i \rightarrow Y_j\}_{\alpha(i)=j}$ jointly surjective.

Cohomology

Compare a new cohomology theory to the old ones for example

singular cohomology, Lich and Sheaf cohomology.

(For the definition of ~~condensed~~ condensed cohomology we will use right derived functors from the next talk)

Let $S \in \text{Chtaus}$. Then $\Gamma(S, -) = \text{Hom}_{\text{cond Ab}}(\mathbb{Z}[S], -)$
functor from cond Ab to Ab .

Now we define for $M \in \text{cond Ab}$ and $S \in \text{Chtaus}$

$$H_{\text{cond}}^i(S, M) = H^i(\mathbb{R}\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}[S], M))$$

(Here I will only tell you how to compute it) ~~and that's~~

~~condensed cohomology~~

Prop: $M, N \in K(A)$ and $P \rightarrow M$ proj. resolution. Then

$$\mathbb{R}\text{Hom}(M, N) = \text{Hom}^*(P, N)$$

Now we can compute $H_{\text{cond}}^i(S, M)$. For that we look at $\mathbb{Z}[S]$ and M as objects $\dots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \dots$.

How to compute $H_{\text{cond}}^i(S, M)$?

Construct $P^0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[S]$ projective resolution by using extremely disconnected hypercover $S_0 \rightarrow S$.

Inductive construction: $S_0 \twoheadrightarrow S$ extremely disconnected set (for example discrete S). If S_0, \dots, S_n n -truncated simplicial objects of ED. Then S_{n+1} extremely disconnected surjective onto $(\text{cosk}_n(S_0, \dots, S_n))_{n+1}$. + face maps = composing face maps from $\text{cosk}_n(S_0, \dots, S_n)$ with surjection (same for S_n)

This gives all the axioms (without proof here) for a hypercover

Now define $P^i = \mathbb{Z}[S_i] +$ alternating sum of face maps as differentials (Moore complex). One can find in the ^{sources} literature

that this gives a ~~the~~ projective resolution.

$\Rightarrow H_{\text{cond}}^i(S, M)$ is given by cohomology of

$$0 \rightarrow \Gamma(S_0, M) \rightarrow \Gamma(S_1, M) \rightarrow \dots$$

Comparison of cohomology

~~is not~~ In the classic cohomology theories there exists

a natural isomorphism $H_{\text{sing}}^i(S, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_{\text{cond}}^i(S, \mathbb{Z})$ for S paracompact. If S is also a CW-space the cohomology groups also agree with $H_{\text{sing}}^i(S, \mathbb{Z})$.

Now we get the following comparison for the ~~rest~~ condensed cohomology (without proof):

Thm 9 (Dyckhoff '76): Let $S \in \text{Chaus}$ and M discrete abelian group. Then $H_{\text{sing}}^i(S, M) \cong H_{\text{cond}}^i(S, M)$ natural.
 \uparrow identification from constant presheaf

~~Thm 10~~ For not discrete groups M the theory ~~is~~ hasn't the same nice properties.

Thm 10: For any $S \in \text{Chaus}$ let $C(S, \mathbb{R})$ \mathbb{R} -valued functions. Then $H_{\text{cond}}^i(S, \mathbb{R}) = \begin{cases} C(S, \mathbb{R}) & \text{if } i=0 \\ 0 & \text{if } i > 0 \end{cases}$