

§2 Locally compact groups and the Haar integral

A Hausdorff topological grp G is called a locally compact grp if G carries a locally compact topology. Equivalently, $e \in G$ has some compact neighborhood.

If G is locally compact and if $H \subseteq G$ is closed, then G/H is locally compact (Exercise). (*)

1. Lemma Let G be a locally compact grp. If $U \subseteq G$ is a compact and open identity neighborhood, then U contains an open subgrp H .

proof We apply Wallace' Lemma §1.10

to $U \times \{e\} \subseteq U \times U$: There is an open symmetric identity neighborhood $V \subseteq U$ such that $UV \subseteq U$

(because $Ue = U \subseteq U$). Hence $VV \subseteq U$, and the

$V \cdot V \cdot V \subseteq U$ etc. as $\underbrace{V \cdots V}_m \subseteq U$ for all $m \geq 1$

so $H = \langle V \rangle \subseteq U$, and H is open by §1.9. $\square$

Examples of locally compact groups:

- every compact group, eg. $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{\mathbb{N}}$.
- $(\mathbb{R}^n, +)$, $(\mathbb{R}^*, \cdot)$, $(\mathbb{C}^*, \cdot)$
- $GL_n(\mathbb{R}) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is open ($g \in GL_n(\mathbb{R}) \Leftrightarrow \det(g) \neq 0$)
hence locally compact.
- every closed sub group of a locally compact group
is again locally compact.

2. Lemma Every locally compact group G has a σ -compact open subgrp $H \subseteq G$. In particular, every connected locally compact group is σ -compact.

proof Let $U \subseteq G$ be an open symmetric identity neighborhood. Then

$$\langle U \rangle = U \cup UU \cup UUU \cup \underbrace{UU \dots U}_4 \cup \dots$$

is σ -compact and open. □

3. Def Let X be a topological space. The quasi-component of $a \in X$ is

$$Q(a) = \bigcap \{ D \subseteq X \mid a \in D, D \subseteq X \text{ closed and open} \}$$

Lemma If X is a compact space and $a \in X$, then $Q(a)$ is connected.

proof $Q(a)$ is closed and contains a .

Suppose that $Q(a) = A \cup B$, A, B closed,

$$A \cap B = \emptyset, a \in A.$$

Claim: $B = \emptyset$

Since X is normal ($=T_4$), there are open sets

$U, V \subseteq X$ with $A \subseteq U$, $B \subseteq V$, $U \cap V = \emptyset$

Put $G' = X - (U \cup V) \Rightarrow G' \cap Q(a) = \emptyset$

For every $c \in G'$,

there is a closed set

W_c with $a \in W_c$,

$c \notin W_c$

Thus $G' \subseteq \bigcup_{c \in G'} \underbrace{(X - W_c)}_{\text{open}}$

$\Rightarrow G' \subseteq (X - W_{c_1}) \cup \dots \cup (X - W_{c_m})$, because G'

is compact. Put $W = W_{c_1} \cup \dots \cup W_{c_m} \Rightarrow G' \cap W = \emptyset$

where $W \subseteq U \cup V \Rightarrow Q(a) \subseteq W$, since $a \in W$

and W closed. Now $Y = U \cup (X - W)$ is open

$Z = V \cap W$ is open

$X = Y \cup Z$ hence Y is closed. Thus

$Q(a) \subseteq Y \Rightarrow B = \emptyset$

□

Y



Z

For the connected component $C(a)$ we have always $C(a) \subseteq Q(a)$. The previous Lemma says that $C(a) = Q(a)$ if X is compact. $\#$

4. Def A Hausdorff space $X \neq \emptyset$ is called zero-dimensional if every $a \in X$ has arbitrarily small clopen neighborhoods: $W \subseteq X$ nbhd of $a \Rightarrow \exists$ clopen nbhd V of a with $V \subseteq W$.
 Note: zero-dimensional $\Rightarrow$ totally disconnected.

Lemma A locally compact space X is zero-dimensional if and only if it is totally disconnected.

proof Assume X is locally compact and totally disconnected. Let $a \in X$, let W be a neighborhood of a . Replacing W by a smaller neighborhood, we may assume that W is open and that $\bar{W} \subseteq X$ is compact. Claim: There is a clopen neighborhood $V \subseteq X$ of a , with $V \subseteq W$.

If $\bar{W} = W$ put $V = W$ as done.

Otherwise, consider $\partial W = \bar{W} - W \approx \partial W \neq \emptyset$ is compact.

Since $\bar{W}$ is compact and totally disconnected,
 $Q(a) = \{a\}$. Hence for every $b \in \partial W$, there is
 a compact neighborhood $U_b \subseteq \bar{W}$ of a with $b \notin U_b$,
 and U_b is open in $\bar{W}$. Then $\bigcap_{b \in \partial W} U_b \cap \partial W = \emptyset$,

hence there are finitely many $b_1, \dots, b_m \in \partial W$ with

$$U_{b_1} \cap \dots \cap U_{b_m} \cap \partial W = \emptyset. \text{ Put } U = U_{b_1} \cap \dots \cap U_{b_m}$$

so U compact, open in $\bar{W}$, $U \subseteq W$ so U clopen
 in X . $\square$

5. Theorem (van Dantzig)

[D. van Dantzig, Dutch math., 1900-1959]

Let G be a locally compact group. The following
 are equivalent: (i) G is totally disconnected

(ii) G is zero-dimensional

(iii) every identity neighborhood contains a compact
 open subgroup.

(iv) every identity neighborhood contains an open
 subgroup.

proof We have $(i) \stackrel{\S 2.4}{\Leftrightarrow} (ii)$, $(ii) \Rightarrow (iv)$

$(ii) \Rightarrow (iii)$: If $W \subseteq G$ is any identity nbhd, then
 W contains a compact open identity nbhd U by $\S 2.4$.

By $\S 2.1$, U contains a compact open subgroup. $\square$

$(iv) \Rightarrow (i)$: Suppose $g \in G$, $g \neq e$. There is a closed
 subgroup $H \subseteq G - \{g\}$ so $g \notin G^0$ so $G^0 = \{e\}$ $\square$

Theorem §2.5 shows in particular that in a locally compact totally disconnected group G , the compact open subgroups form a neighborhood basis of the identity.

6. Proposition Let G be a locally compact group, $H \leq G$ a closed subgroup. Then G/H is totally disconnected if and only if $H \leq G^0$.

proof. We consider the canonical map $q: G \rightarrow G/H$, $g \mapsto gH$. If $G^0 \not\leq H$, then $q(G^0)$ is a connected set containing more than 1 element $\Rightarrow G/H$ not totally disconnected.

Suppose now $G^0 \leq H$. Consider the canonical map

$p: G/G^0 \rightarrow G/H$, $p(qG^0) = qH$. This map is

continuous and open:

$W \leq G/G^0$ open $\Rightarrow$

$$p(W) = q(\underbrace{q_0^{-1}(W)}_{\text{open}})$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{open } G & \xrightarrow{q_0} & G/G^0 \\ \downarrow q & & \downarrow p \\ G & \xrightarrow{q} & G/H \end{array}$$

Let $a \in G - H$. Then $q_0(a) \neq q_0(e)$, hence there is a compact open subgroup $K \leq G/G^0$ (by §2.5 and

§1.15) with $q_0(a) \notin K$. Then $p(K) \leq G/H$

is clopen, with $q(a) \notin p(K)$. Hence the connected component of $q(e)$ is $\{q(e)\} = \{H\}$.

But G acts transitively on G/H , hence the connected component of every element of G/H is trivial. $\square$

For compact groups, Theorem 5 can be improved.

7. Theorem (van Dantzig) let G be a compact group. The following are equivalent.

- (i) G is totally disconnected
- (ii) G is zero-dimensional
- (iii) every identity neighbourhood $V \subseteq G$ contains a compact open normal subgroup $U \trianglelefteq G$.

In particular, the compact open normal subgroups form then a neighbourhood basis for $e \in G$.

Proof By Theorem 5 we have $(i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii)$.

Claim: $(ii) \Rightarrow (iii)$. Let $V \subseteq G$ be an identity neighbourhood. By §2.5, V contains an open compact subgroup $H \subseteq G$. Then G/H is

finite (because $G = \bigcup_{g \in G} gH \approx G = H \cup g_1 H \cup \dots \cup g_n H$)

We have a homomorphism $f: G \rightarrow S_{\text{fin}}(G/H)$

$$g \mapsto f(g), \quad f(g)(aH) = gaH$$

and $\ker(F) = N = \bigcap \{gHg^{-1} \mid g \in G\}$

has finite index in $G \Rightarrow N$ is open in G

(because N is closed and $G = N \cup h_2 N \cup \dots \cup h_n G$)

$$N \leq H \leq V$$

□

8. Def A compact grp G satisfying the equivalent conditions in §2.7 is called a profinite group.

Proposition A ^{topological} group G is profinite if and only if G is isomorphic (as a topological grp) to a closed subgroup of a product

$$F = \prod_{i \in I} F_i$$

of finite groups F_i (with the discrete topology on the F_i and the product topology on F). #

proof F as above is a compact grp, and F is

totally disconnected (if $\emptyset \neq G \subseteq F$ is connected, then

$p_i(G) \subseteq F_i$ is connected $\Rightarrow p_i(G) = \{c_i\} \subseteq F_i$ for all

$i \Rightarrow G = \{(c_i)_{i \in I}\}$ is a singleton). Hence

every closed subgroup $G \subseteq F$ is compact and

totally disconnected, i.e. profinite.

[48]

Conversely, suppose that G is compact and totally disconnected. Put $\mathcal{N} = \{N \trianglelefteq G \mid N \subseteq G \text{ is open}\}$

and $F = \varprojlim_{N \in \mathcal{N}} G/N$, $f: G \rightarrow F$,

$f(g) = (gN)_{N \in \mathcal{N}}$. Then f is a monomorphism

(since $\text{pr}_N \circ f(g) = gN$ is continuous for all $N \in \mathcal{N}$)

and $\ker(f) = \bigcap \mathcal{N} = \{e\}$ by §2.7.

Hence $f: G \rightarrow F$ is injective. Since G is compact, F maps G homeomorphically onto $f(G)$. $\square$

9. Def Let G be a group (no topology on G).

We call G residually finite if the following

holds: for every element $a \in G$, $a \neq e$, there is

a finite group E (depending on a) and a

homomorphism $f: G \rightarrow E$ with $f(a) \neq f(e)$

The result above says that every profinite group

is (as an abstract group) residually finite.

But there are other examples.

• $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$ is residually finite: for $k \neq 0$, put

$E = \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$, $f(k) = k + m\mathbb{Z}$, $m = |k| + 1$.

49

Then $f(k) = k + m\mathbb{Z} \neq m\mathbb{Z}$ since $m \nmid k$
 (k is not a multiple of m).

• Every finite group is residually finite.

10. Def let G be a residually finite group, let

$\mathcal{N}_G = \{N \trianglelefteq G \mid [G:N] < \infty\}$ denote the set of all
 normal subgroups of finite index in G . Thus $\bigcap \mathcal{N}_G = \{e\}$,

because for every $g \in G - \{e\}$ there is some $N \in \mathcal{N}_G$ with

$g \notin N$. Put $F = \prod_{N \in \mathcal{N}_G} G/N$ with the product

topology (so F is profinite) and consider

$f: G \rightarrow F, g \mapsto (gN)_{N \in \mathcal{N}_G}$. We denote the

closure of $f(G)$ by $\hat{G} = \overline{f(G)}$ and call

is $G \rightarrow \hat{G}, g \mapsto f(g)$ the profinite completion
 of G .

11. Proposition (The universal property of the profinite
 completion)

let G be a residually finite group, let $i: G \rightarrow \hat{G}$

be its profinite completion. let K be any

profinite group and let $h: G \rightarrow K$ be a
 group homomorphism.

Then there is a unique morphism $\hat{h}: \hat{G} \rightarrow K$ such that $h = \hat{h} \circ i$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G & \xrightarrow{i} & \hat{G} \\ & \searrow h & \downarrow \hat{h} \quad \exists! \\ & & K \end{array}$$

Proof Existence of $\hat{h}$. Put $\mathcal{N}_G = \{ N \trianglelefteq G \mid [G:N] < \infty \}$

$\mathcal{N}_K = \{ M \trianglelefteq K \mid M \trianglelefteq K_{\text{open}} \}$. For each $M \in \mathcal{N}_K$,

consider $M' = \ker(h \circ p_M)$ $G \xrightarrow{h} K \xrightarrow{p_M} K/M$

Since K/M is finite, $M' \in \mathcal{N}_G$. We define a

morphism $\tilde{h}: \prod_{N \in \mathcal{N}_G} G/N \longrightarrow \prod_{M \in \mathcal{N}_K} K/M$

via $(g_N N)_{N \in \mathcal{N}_G} \longmapsto (h_M(g_{M'} M'))_{M \in \mathcal{N}_K}$,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G & \xrightarrow{h} & K \\ p_{M'} \downarrow & & \downarrow p_M \\ G/M' & \xrightarrow{h_M} & K/M \end{array}$$

Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} G & \xrightarrow{i} & \hat{G} & \longleftrightarrow & \prod_{N \in \mathcal{N}_G} G/N \\ \downarrow h & \nearrow \hat{h} & \downarrow \tilde{h} & & \downarrow \tilde{h} \\ K & \xrightarrow{f} & f(\hat{G}) & \longleftrightarrow & \prod_{M \in \mathcal{N}_K} K/M \end{array}$$

$f(k) = (h_M)_{M \in \mathcal{N}_K}$

□

Uniqueness of $\hat{h}$

Since $i(G) \subseteq \hat{G}$ is dense,

(51)

$\hat{h}$ is uniquely determined by h ,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G & \xrightarrow{\quad} & i(G) \subseteq \overline{i(G)} = \hat{G} \\ h \downarrow & & \uparrow \hat{h} \\ K & & \end{array}$$

□

We make a useful observation.

Lemma let G be a profinite group, let $H \subseteq G$ be a subgroup. Then $\bar{H} = \bigcap \{K \mid K \subseteq G \text{ open subgroup with } H \subseteq K\}$.

Proof Suppose $g \in G - \bar{H}$. Then there is an identity neighborhood V such that $gV \cap H = \emptyset$. Let $L \trianglelefteq G$ be an open normal subgroup with $L \subseteq V^{-1}$ and consider $K = HL$. This is a subgroup (because $L \trianglelefteq G$) and open (because L is open). Since $g \notin HV^{-1}$, $g \notin K$.

□

12. Example The profinit completion of $\mathbb{Z}$

52(a)

By definition, $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ is the closure of $f(\mathbb{Z}) \subseteq \prod_{n=2}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$,
 where $f(k) = (k + n\mathbb{Z})_{n=2}^{\infty}$. This is not helpful.

Fact Let $m \geq 2$ be an integer, with prime decomposition

$$m = p_1^{u_1} \cdots p_\ell^{u_\ell}, \quad p_1 < \cdots < p_\ell \text{ primes, } u_j \geq 1.$$

$$\text{Then } \mathbb{Z}/m \cong \mathbb{Z}/p_1^{u_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}/p_\ell^{u_\ell}.$$

The proof uses for example the Chinese Remainder Theorem
 or Sylow's Theorem.

We therefore consider first $f_p: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}/p^n$, $p \in \mathbb{P}$

$$f_p(k) = (k + p^n \mathbb{Z})_{n=1}^{\infty} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{Z}_p = \overline{f_p(\mathbb{Z})} \subseteq \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}/p^n$$

The elements of $\mathbb{Z}_p$ are called the p-adic integers.

Small observation $\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{Z}/m$ are commutative
 rings. Hence $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_p$ are compact
commutative rings.

Since $\ker f_p = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} p^n \mathbb{Z} = \{0\}$, f_p is injective.

We view $\mathbb{Z}$ as a subring of $\mathbb{Z}_p$.

Some properties of $\mathbb{Z}_p$

For any abelian group $(A, +)$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have the endomorphism $a \mapsto ma = \underbrace{a+a+\dots+a}_m$ of A .

Lemma A If $\gcd(m, p) = 1$, then the map $u \mapsto mu$ is a surjective endomorphism of $(\mathbb{Z}_p, +)$.

proof We claim that $\overline{m\mathbb{Z}} = \mathbb{Z}_p$. It suffices to show that $1 \in \overline{m\mathbb{Z}}$, for then $\mathbb{Z}_p = \overline{\mathbb{Z}} \subseteq \overline{m\mathbb{Z}}$.

Given $n \geq 1$, we have $\gcd(m, p^n) = 1$, hence there are integers $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $am + bp^n = 1$ (Bézout's Lemma),

whence $am + p^k\mathbb{Z} = 1 + p^k\mathbb{Z}$ for all $k \leq n$.

Hence $1 \in \overline{m\mathbb{Z}}$. □

Lemma B For $n \geq 1$, $p^n\mathbb{Z}_p \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_p$ is an open subgroup of index p^n .

proof Consider

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z}_p & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z} \\ \uparrow & \searrow h & \downarrow \text{pr}_n \\ \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z} \end{array}$$

We have $p^n \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \ker(\text{pr}_n)$, whence $p^n\mathbb{Z}_p \subseteq \ker(h)$.

Since $\ker(h) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_p$ is open, $p^n\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z} \cap \ker(h)$ is dense in $\ker(h)$.

Hence $\overline{p^n\mathbb{Z}} = \ker(h) \supseteq \overline{p^n\mathbb{Z}} = \underbrace{p^n\mathbb{Z}_p}_{\text{compact}} \supseteq \overline{p^n\mathbb{Z}} \Rightarrow \ker(h) = p^n\mathbb{Z}_p$. □

Lemma C Every closed subgroup $0 \neq H \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_p$ is of the form $H = p^n \mathbb{Z}_p$, some $n \geq 0$.

proof let $L \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_p$ be an open subgroup, $m = [\mathbb{Z}_p : L]$.

If $q \in \mathbb{P}$, $q \neq p$, then $q \mathbb{Z}_p = \mathbb{Z}_p$ by Lemma A,

hence $q \frac{\mathbb{Z}_p}{L} = \frac{\mathbb{Z}_p}{L} \Rightarrow q \nmid m$ (Lagrange's Theorem).

Thus $m = p^n$ for some $n \geq 1$ and $p^n \mathbb{Z}_p \subseteq L$,

But $[\mathbb{Z}_p : p^n \mathbb{Z}_p] = [\mathbb{Z}_p : L]$, hence $L = p^n \mathbb{Z}_p$.

So every open subgroup $L \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_p$ is of the form $L = p^n \mathbb{Z}_p$.

But then every closed subgroup $\neq 0$ also of this form, since it is an intersection of open subgroups by §2.11

□

Lemma D $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow p\mathbb{Z}$ is injective on $\mathbb{Z}_p$.

proof let $u \in \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}/p^k$, $u = (u_k + p^k \mathbb{Z})$.

If $pu = 0$, then $u_k = p^{k-1} l_k$.

If $u \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}}$, then for each k there is some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$

with $m = u_k + p^k r_k = p^{k-1} (l_k + p r_k)$

$m = u_{k+1} + p^{k+1} r_{k+1} = p^k (l_{k+1} + p r_{k+1})$

$\Rightarrow m \in p^k \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow u = 0$.

□



52 (e) $\frac{1}{2}$

In more detail: let $H \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_p$ be a closed subgrp. If $H \neq 0$, then H is $u \in H, u \neq 0$.

For $n \gg 1$, $u \notin p^n \mathbb{Z}_p$ since $H \not\subseteq \{p^n \mathbb{Z}_p \mid n \geq 1\}$

from a neighborhood basis of 0. Hence

$\{u \in H \mid u \in p^n \mathbb{Z}_p\}$ is finite $\Rightarrow \bigcap \{p^n \mathbb{Z}_p \mid H \subseteq p^n \mathbb{Z}_p\}$

$= p^m \mathbb{Z}_p$ for some $m \geq 0$. □

Lemma E $\mathbb{Z}_p$ is an integral domain and contains no elements of (additively) finite order $\neq 0$.

proof let $u \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ and put $A = \{a \in \mathbb{Z}_p \mid au = 0\}$.

Then A is a closed subgroup of $(\mathbb{Z}_p, +)$, where

$A = 0$ or $A = p^n \mathbb{Z}_p$ for some $n \geq 0$. In particular,

$p^n \mathbb{Z} \subseteq A$ if $A \neq 0$. If $u \neq 0$, then $A = 0$ by Lemma D.

Since $\mathbb{Z} \subseteq A$, this implies $mu \neq 0$ if $u \neq 0$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m \neq 0$. $\square$

Lemma F Put $R = \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \mathbb{Z}_p$, let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \geq 2$.

Then $mR \subseteq R$ is the unique open subgroup of index m .

proof Put $m = p_1^{u_1} \cdots p_e^{u_e}$, $p_1 < p_2 < \cdots < p_e$ primes,

$u_1, \dots, u_e \geq 1$. Then $mR = \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} m\mathbb{Z}_p$

$$= p_1^{u_1} \mathbb{Z}_{p_1} \times \cdots \times p_e^{u_e} \mathbb{Z}_{p_e} \times \prod_{\substack{q \in \mathbb{P} \\ q \neq p_1, \dots, p_e}} \mathbb{Z}_q$$

where $R/mR = \mathbb{Z}/p_1^{u_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}/p_e^{u_e} \cong \mathbb{Z}/m$, i.e.

$$[R : mR] = m.$$

If $L \subseteq R$ is an open subgroup, $[R : L] = m$, then

$mR \subseteq L$, where $mR = L$. $\square$

Theorem The profinite completion of $\mathbb{Z}$ is

$$\hat{\mathbb{Z}} = \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \mathbb{Z}_p.$$

proof let $m \in \mathbb{N}, m \geq 2$. Put $f(h) = (f_p(h))_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \in R = \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \mathbb{Z}_p$,

$f_p: \mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} m\mathbb{Z} & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}/m \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \cong \downarrow h_m \\ mR & \hookrightarrow & R & \longrightarrow & R/mR \end{array}$$

from which we obtain $h: R \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{Z}} \subseteq \prod_{m=2}^{\infty} R/mR$

$$h(u) = (u + mR)_{m=2}^{\infty}$$

and $\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow R \hookrightarrow \hat{\mathbb{Z}} \subseteq \prod_{m=2}^{\infty} R/mR.$

But R is compact and $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ is the closure of $\mathbb{Z}$, hence $R = \hat{\mathbb{Z}}.$

□

✱

Now we turn to integration on locally compact groups. We fix some notation.

53

1.3. Def Let X be a Hausdorff space. Then

$C(X, \mathbb{R})$ denotes the vector space (and ring)

of continuous real functions on X . The

support of $f \in C(X, \mathbb{R})$ is $\text{supp}(f) = \overline{\{x \in X \mid f(x) \neq 0\}}$

We say that f has compact support if $\text{supp}(f)$

is compact. The continuous functions with compact

support form a subspace $C_c(X, \mathbb{R}) \subseteq C(X, \mathbb{R})$.

Every $f \in C_c(X, \mathbb{R})$ is bounded and we put

$$\|f\|_\infty = \max \{ |f(x)| \mid x \in X \} = \sup \{ |f(x)| \mid x \in X \}$$

This is a norm on $C_c(X, \mathbb{R})$.

If $f(x) \leq h(x)$ holds for all x , we write $f \leq h$.

Put $C_c^+(X, \mathbb{R}) = \{ f \in C_c(X, \mathbb{R}) \mid f \geq 0 \}$. Every

$f \in C_c(X, \mathbb{R})$ is of the form $f = f_+ - f_-$,

with $f_+, f_- \in C_c(X, \mathbb{R})$ (put $f_+(x) = \max\{0, f(x)\}$)

14. Lemma A. Suppose that X is locally compact and that $G \subseteq X$ is compact. Then there is a continuous map $f: X \rightarrow [0,1]$ with compact support, such that $f(c) = 1$ for all $c \in G$.

Proof Each $c \in G$ has an open neighborhood with compact closure U_c . Since G is compact, $G \subseteq U_{c_1} \cup \dots \cup U_{c_m} = U$. Then $\bar{U} \subseteq \bar{U}_{c_1} \cup \dots \cup \bar{U}_{c_m}$ is compact. Since $\bar{U}$ is normal (T_4), there is a continuous map $f: \bar{U} \rightarrow [0,1]$ with $f(c) = 1$ for all $c \in G$ and $f(x) = 0$ for all $x \in \partial U = \bar{U} - U$. We extend f to X by putting $f(z) = 0$ for $z \in X - U$. $\square$

Lemma B Let G be a locally compact r.g., let $f \in C_c(G, \mathbb{R})$. For every $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a symmetric identity neighborhood $V \subseteq G$ such that $|f(g) - f(h)| < \varepsilon$ holds for all $g, h \in G$ with $g^{-1}h \in V$.

proof For every $a \in G$ we choose an identity neighborhood $W_a \subseteq G$ such that $|f(a) - f(a')| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ holds for all $a' \in aW_a$, and an identity neighborhood U_a such that $U_a U_a \subseteq W_a$.

$\ast$ This is Urysohn's Lemma.

Since $G = \text{supp}(f)$ is compact, there exist $a_1, \dots, a_m \in G$ with $G \subseteq a_1 U_{a_1} \cup \dots \cup a_m U_{a_m}$.

We choose a symmetric identity neighborhood V

such that $V^{-1} \cdot V \subseteq U_{a_1} \cap \dots \cap U_{a_m}$.

Suppose $g^{-1}h \in V$. If $gV \cap a_k U_{a_k} = \emptyset$ for all

$k = 1, \dots, m$, then $f(g) = f(h) = 0$ (V).

If $gV \cap a_k U_{a_k} \neq \emptyset$, then $g \in a_k U_{a_k} V^{-1}$,

where $gV \subseteq a_k U_{a_k} V^{-1} V \subseteq a_k W_k$. For $h \in gV$

we have then $|f(g) - f(h)| \leq |f(g) - f(a_k)| + |f(a_k) - f(h)|$
 $< \varepsilon$. □

In an entirely similar way, one shows that there is a symmetric identity neighborhood $V \subseteq G$ such that

$|f(g) - f(h)| < \varepsilon$ holds for all g, h with $h g^{-1} \in V$.

Now we recall a construction from algebra,
 the group ring.

15. Def The group ring.

Let R be a

commutative ring (e.g. $R = \mathbb{R}$ or $R = \mathbb{C}$) and

let G be a group (without a topology.)

Let $R[G]$ denote the free R -module with basis $\{g \in G\}$. The elements of $R[G]$ are thus formal finite linear combinations.

$$\underline{r_1 g_1 + \dots + r_n g_n}, \quad r_1, \dots, r_n \in R, \quad g_1, \dots, g_n \in G.$$

$$= \sum_{g \in G} r_g \cdot g \quad \text{almost all coefficients } r_g = 0$$

The addition is the obvious one, $\sum_{g \in G} r_g g + \sum_{g \in G} s_g g$

$$= \sum_{g \in G} (r_g + s_g) g$$

and the multiplication in $R[G]$ is obtained

$$\text{by putting } (r_g \cdot g)(s_h \cdot h) = (r_g \cdot s_h)(gh),$$

Then $R[G]$ is a ring, non commutative if G is not abelian. The unit element is 1.e., $1 \in R$ $e \in G$.

There is a surjective ring homomorphism

$$\epsilon: R[G] \rightarrow R, \quad \sum_{g \in G} r_g \cdot g \mapsto \sum_{g \in G} r_g$$

The augmentation.

If M is an R -module (a "vector space over R ") (57)
 and if G acts (from the right) linearly on M
 (i.e. $m \mapsto mg$ is a linear map for each $g \in G$, and
 $(mg)h = m(gh)$ for all $g, h \in G$)
 Then M is a (right) $R[G]$ -module if we
 put $m(r_g g) = (mr_g)g$

16. Def Let G be a locally compact group, let
 $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a map. Recall that $\lambda_a(g) = ag$
 for $a, g \in G$. If we put $fa = f \circ \lambda_a$, i.e.
 $(fa)(g) = f(ag)$, then G acts linearly (from
 the right) on $C_c(G, \mathbb{R})$ via

$$(fa)(g) = f(ag).$$

Then a $C_c(G, \mathbb{R})$ is a (right) $\mathbb{R}[G]$ -module.

We note also that

$$\|f\|_\infty = \|fa\|_\infty$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{since } \|f\|_\infty &= \sup \{ |f(g)| \mid g \in G \} \\ &= \sup \{ |f(ag)| \mid g \in G \} \end{aligned}$$

#

Let $I: C_c(G, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a linear map.

We call I a left invariant integral on the locally compact group if the following hold

(i) If $f \geq 0$, then $I(f) \geq 0$

(ii) If $a \in G$, then $I(fa) = I(f)$

(iii) There is some $f \geq 0$ with $I(f) > 0$.

Note that (i) implies that $f \leq h \Rightarrow I(f) \leq I(h)$.

If I is a left invariant integral and if $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha > 0$,

then αI is also a left invariant integral.

Our aim is to show the existence of a left invariant integral.

17. Example Let G be any group, with the discrete topology. Then G is locally compact and $C_c(G, \mathbb{R}) = \{ f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid f(g) \neq 0 \text{ only for finitely many } g \in G \}$

Hence we may define
$$I(f) = \underbrace{\sum_{g \in G} f(g)}_{\text{finite sum}}$$

$\Rightarrow I$ is a left invariant integral on G .

18. We put $\mathbb{R}^+[G] = \left\{ \sum_{g \in G} r_g g \in \mathbb{R}[G] \mid \begin{array}{l} r_g \geq 0 \text{ for all } g \end{array} \right\}$ and we note the following.

If $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^+[G]$, then $u \cdot v, u + v \in \mathbb{R}^+[G]$.

Lemma Let G be a locally compact group, and assume that $f, \alpha \in C_c^+(G, \mathbb{R})$. If $\alpha \neq 0$, then there is $u \in \mathbb{R}^+[G]$ with $F \leq \alpha u$.

proof Let $t = \|\alpha\|_\infty$, $s = \|f\|_\infty$ and

$U = \{g \in G \mid \alpha(g) > \frac{t}{2}\}$. Then $U \neq \emptyset$ (since $t > 0$).

Since $G' = \text{supp}(f) \subseteq G$ is compact, there exist

$g_1, \dots, g_n \in G$ with $G' \subseteq g_1 U \cup \dots \cup g_n U$.

For $c \in g_k U$ we have $\alpha(g_k^{-1}c) > \frac{t}{2}$.

We put $u = \frac{2 \cdot s}{t} (g_1^{-1} + \dots + g_n^{-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^+[G]$

For $c \in G'$ we have the

$f(c) \leq s$ and $\alpha u(c) = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{2 \cdot s}{t} \alpha(g_j^{-1}c) > s \cdot n$

when $n \geq 1$. $F \leq \alpha u$. □

19. Def Let G be a locally compact group, let $f, \alpha \in C_c^+(G, \mathbb{R})$, with $\alpha \neq 0$. We define

$$\begin{aligned} (f:\alpha) &= \inf \{ E(u) \mid u \in \mathbb{R}[G]^+, f \leq \alpha u \} \\ &= \inf \left\{ \sum_{g \in G} r_g \mid \sum_{g \in G} r_g g \in \mathbb{R}[G], r_g \geq 0, \right. \\ &\quad \left. f(x) \leq \sum_{g \in G} r_g \alpha(gx) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

By the previous Lemma § 2.18, this is a real number.

Lemma Let G be a locally compact group, let $f, h, \alpha, \beta \in C_c^+(G, \mathbb{R})$ with $\alpha, \beta \neq 0$. Then we have

- (i) $(f\alpha:\alpha) = (f:\alpha) = (f:\alpha\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in G$
- (ii) $(sf:\alpha) = s(f:\alpha)$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}, s \geq 0$
- (iii) $f \leq h \Rightarrow (f:\alpha) \leq (h:\alpha)$
- (iv) $(f+h:\alpha) \leq (f:\alpha) + (h:\alpha)$
- (v) $(f:\alpha) \leq (f:\beta)(\beta:\alpha)$
- (vi) $\|f\|_\infty \leq (f:\alpha)\|\alpha\|_\infty$

proof (i) - (iv) are clear from the definition.

(v): Suppose $u, v \in \mathbb{R}[G]^+$ with

$f \leq \beta u$ and $\beta \leq \alpha v$. Then $f \leq \alpha uv$

whence $(f:\alpha) \leq E(uv) = E(u)E(v)$

(vi) Suppose $u \in \mathbb{R}[G]^+$ with $f \leq \alpha u$.

$$\text{Then } f(x) \leq \sum_{g \in G} r_g \alpha(gx) \leq \sum_{g \in G} r_g \|\alpha\|_\infty \quad u = \sum_{g \in G} r_g g$$

$$= \epsilon(u) \|\alpha\|_\infty, \text{ where } \|f\|_\infty \leq \epsilon(u) \|\alpha\|_\infty \quad \square$$

20. Lemma Let G be a locally compact group, let

$f_1, f_2 \in C_c^+(G, \mathbb{R})$. Then there is $h \in C_c^+(G, \mathbb{R})$

such that for every $\epsilon > 0$, there is an identity neighborhood

$V \subseteq G$ such that for all $\alpha \in C_c^+(G, \mathbb{R})$, $\alpha \neq 0$,

$\text{supp}(\alpha) \subseteq V$ we have

$$(f_1 : \alpha) + (f_2 : \alpha) \leq (f_1 + f_2 : \alpha)(1 + \epsilon) + \epsilon(1 + \epsilon)(h : \alpha)$$

proof By Lemma A § 2.14 there is a continuous

$h: G \rightarrow [0, 1]$ with compact support, such that

$h(x) = 1$ for all $x \in \text{supp}(f_1) \cup \text{supp}(f_2)$. We put

$\xi(x) = f_1(x) + f_2(x) + \epsilon \cdot h(x)$ and we define

$\tilde{f}_1, \tilde{f}_2$ as follows:

$$\tilde{f}_1(x) = 0 \quad \text{if } f_1(x) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{f}_1(x) = \frac{f_1(x)}{\xi(x)} \quad \text{if}$$

$x \in \text{supp}(f_2)$. This is possible since $\xi(x) \neq 0$ for $x \in \text{supp}(f_1)$.

Similarly,

$$\tilde{f}_2(x) = 0 \quad \text{if } f_2(x) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{f}_2(x) = \frac{f_2(x)}{\xi(x)} \quad \text{if } x \in \text{supp}(f_1).$$

Then $\tilde{f}_1 + \tilde{f}_2 \leq 1$. Choose $\delta > 0$ such that

$$G \cdot \|\xi\|_\infty \cdot \delta \leq \varepsilon^3 \quad \text{and} \quad 2\delta < \varepsilon^2. \quad \forall g$$

Lemma B §2.14 there is a symmetric identity neighborhood $V \subseteq G$ such that

$$|f_1(x) - f_1(y)|, |f_2(x) - f_2(y)| < \delta \quad \text{for all } x^{-1}y \in V.$$

For $x^{-1}y \in V$ and $x, y \in \text{supp}(f_1)$ then

$$|\tilde{f}_1(x) - \tilde{f}_1(y)| = \left| \frac{f_1(x)\xi(y) - f_1(y)\xi(x)}{\xi(x)\xi(y)} \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} |f_1(x)\xi(y) - f_1(y)\xi(x)| \quad (\text{since } \xi(x), \xi(y) \geq \varepsilon)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} |f_1(x)\xi(y) - f_1(x)\xi(x)| + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} |f_1(x)\xi(x) - f_1(y)\xi(x)|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} 2\delta \|f_1\|_\infty + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \delta \|\xi\|_\infty \underset{f_1 \in \xi}{\leq} \frac{3}{\varepsilon^2} \delta \|\xi\|_\infty \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

If $\tilde{f}_1(x) = 0 \neq \tilde{f}_1(y)$, then $x^{-1}y \in V$, then

$$|\tilde{f}_1(x) - \tilde{f}_1(y)| = \left| \frac{f_1(y)}{\xi(y)} \right| \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \delta \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

Thus $|\tilde{f}_1(x) - \tilde{f}_1(y)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ for all $x^{-1}y \in V$. $\star$

Suppose $\alpha \in C_c^+(G, \mathbb{R})$, $\alpha \neq 0$, $\text{supp}(\alpha) \subseteq V$.

Suppose that $u = \sum_{g \in G} r_g g \in \mathbb{R}^+[G]$ with

$\xi \leq \alpha u$. Since $f_i = \tilde{f}_i \cdot \xi$, we have for $i=1,2$

$$f_i(x) \leq \sum_{g \in G} \tilde{f}_i(x) r_g \alpha(gx) \leq \sum_{g \in G} \left(\tilde{f}_i(g^{-1}) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right) r_g \alpha(gx)$$

$\uparrow$
 $\text{Supp}(\alpha) \subseteq V$

where $(f_i : \alpha) \leq \sum_{g \in G} \left(\tilde{f}_i(g^{-1}) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right) r_g$ and

$$(f_1 : \alpha) + (f_2 : \alpha) \leq \sum_{g \in G} \underbrace{\left(\tilde{f}_1(g^{-1}) + \tilde{f}_2(g^{-1}) + \varepsilon \right)}_{\leq 1} r_g \leq (1+\varepsilon) \varepsilon(u).$$

Hence $(f_1 : \alpha) + (f_2 : \alpha) \leq (1+\varepsilon) (\xi : \alpha)$

$$= (1+\varepsilon) (f_1 + f_2 + \varepsilon h : \alpha)$$

$$\leq (1+\varepsilon) (f_1 + f_2 : \alpha) + \varepsilon(1+\varepsilon) (h : \alpha)$$



21. Construction (A. Weil) Let G be a locally compact grp, let $P = \{f \in C_c(G, \mathbb{R}) \mid f \geq 0, f \neq 0\}$. Since G is completely regular (§1.19), $P \neq \emptyset$. We fix $f_0 \in P$.

For $\alpha, f \in P$ we put $I(f, \alpha) = \frac{(f: \alpha)}{(f_0: \alpha)}$

(note that $\frac{\|f_0\|_\infty}{\|f_0\|_\infty} \leq (f_0: \alpha) \|\alpha\|_\infty$, whence $(f_0: \alpha) \neq 0$)

By §2.19 we have $\frac{1}{(f_0: f)} \leq I(f, \alpha) \leq (f: f_0)$

$I(f\alpha, \alpha) = I(f, \alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in G$

$I(o f, \alpha) = o I(f, \alpha)$ for all $o > 0$

$I(f_1 + f_2, \alpha) \leq I(f_1, \alpha) + I(f_2, \alpha)$ for all $f_1, f_2 \in P$.

We consider the infinite compact cube $Q = \prod_{f \in P} \left[\frac{1}{(f: f_0)}, (f: f_0) \right]$

If $V \subseteq G$ is a symmetric identity neighborhood, then

we put $Q_V = \{ (I(f, \alpha))_{f \in P} \mid \text{supp}(\alpha) \subseteq V \} \subseteq Q$

If $W \subseteq V$, then $Q_W \subseteq Q_V$, hence the set

$\{Q_V \mid V \text{ identity neighborhood}\}$ has the finite intersection

property. By compactness, there exists some

$I \in \bigcap \{ \overline{Q_V} \subseteq Q \mid V \subseteq G \text{ identity neighborhood} \}$

We may view I as a map $I: P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, f \mapsto I(f)$. 65
 $(I = (I(f))_{f \in P} \in \mathbb{Q})$

22. Theorem (A. Haar) Let G be a locally compact group. Then there exists a left invariant integral I on G , as in §2.16.

[A. Haar, 1885-1933, Hungarian math.]

Proof We put f_0, P, I as in §2.21.

For all $\alpha, f \in P, \alpha > 0, a \in G$ we have

$$I(\alpha f, \alpha) - \alpha I(f, \alpha) = 0 \Rightarrow I(\alpha f) - \alpha I(f) = 0$$

$$I(fa, \alpha) - I(f, \alpha) = 0 \Rightarrow I(fa) - I(f) = 0$$

For $f_1, f_2 \in P$ we have

$$I(f_1, \alpha) + I(f_2, \alpha) - I(f_1 + f_2, \alpha) \geq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow I(f_1) + I(f_2) - I(f_1 + f_2) \geq 0$$

Let $h \in P$ as in §2.20. For every $\varepsilon > 0$, there is an identity neighborhood $V_\varepsilon \subseteq G$ such that

$$(f_1; \alpha) + (f_2; \alpha) \leq (f_1 + f_2; \alpha)(1 + \varepsilon) + \varepsilon(1 + \varepsilon)(h; \alpha)$$

hold whenever $\text{supp}(\alpha) \subseteq V_\varepsilon$.

Hence

$$I(f_1, \alpha) + I(f_2, \alpha) \leq I(f_1 + f_2, \alpha)(1 + \varepsilon) + \varepsilon(1 + \varepsilon) \underbrace{(h; f_0)}_{\text{constant!}}$$

and thus $I(f_1) + I(f_2) \leq I(f_1 + f_2)(1 + \varepsilon) + \varepsilon(1 + \varepsilon)(h; f_0)$

for all $\varepsilon > 0$. Hence $I(f_1) + I(f_2) = I(f_1 + f_2)$.

Now we put $I(f) = 0$ if $f = 0$.

For $f_1, f_2 \in P$ we put

$I(f_1 - f_2) = I(f_1) - I(f_2)$. This is well-defined: if $f_1 - f_2 = f_1' - f_2'$ for $f_1', f_2' \in P$, then

$f_1 + f_2' = f_1' + f_2$, whence $I(f_1) + I(f_2') = I(f_1') + I(f_2)$

Then $I: C_c(G, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is linear. We have

$I(f_0, \alpha) = 1$, hence $I(f_0) = 1$. Therefore

I is a left invariant integral. □

Our next aim is to show that I is essentially unique.

23 Lemma let G be a locally compact g.p.,

let J be any left invariant integral on G .

let $\alpha, f \in C_c^+(G, \mathbb{R})$, $\alpha \neq 0$. Then

$$J(f) \leq (f; \alpha) J(\alpha).$$

In particular, $J(\alpha) \neq 0$.

proof let $u \in \mathbb{R}^+[G]$, $u = \sum_{g \in G} r_g g$ with

$f \leq \alpha u$, as in § 2.18. We have thus

$$f \leq \sum_{g \in G} r_g (\alpha \circ 1_g), \text{ whence } J(f) \leq \sum_{g \in G} r_g J(\alpha) \\ = \epsilon(u) J(\alpha),$$

lemma $J(f) \leq (f: \alpha) J(\alpha)$. Since there is some

$f \in C_c^+(G, \mathbb{R})$ with $J(f) > 0$, this implies $J(\alpha) > 0$ $\square$

24. Example let $G = \mathbb{R}$. If $f \in C_c(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$,

then there is an interval $[u, v] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ with $\text{supp}(f) \subseteq [u, v]$. Put $I(f) = \int_u^v f(t) dt$. This is a left

invariant integral: for $a \in \mathbb{R}$, the $\int_{u-a}^{v-a} f(t+a) dt$

$$= \int_u^v f(t) dt.$$

More generally, if $G = \mathbb{R}^m$ and if λ^m is the m -dimensional Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^m$,

then $\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} f d\lambda^m = I(f)$ is a left invariant integral.

25. Theorem (Hear) (Uniqueness of the left invariant integral) GP

Let G be a locally compact group, let I, J be two left invariant integrals on G . Then there is a constant $\lambda > 0$ such that $J = \lambda \cdot I$, i.e.

$$J(f) = \lambda I(f) \quad \text{for all } f \in C_c(G, \mathbb{R}).$$

Proof let $P = \{f \in C_c^+(G, \mathbb{R}) \mid f \neq 0\}$ and

fix $f_0 \in P$. It suffices to show that

$$\frac{I(f_1)}{I(f_0)} = \frac{J(f_1)}{J(f_0)} \quad \text{holds for all } f_1 \in P$$

(note: $I(f_0) \neq 0 \neq J(f_0)$ by § 2.23). For the

$$J(f_1) = \frac{J(f_0)}{I(f_0)} I(f_1) \quad \text{holds for all } f_1 \in P$$

$$\leadsto J(f) = \frac{J(f_0)}{I(f_0)} I(f) \quad \text{for all } f \in C_c(G, \mathbb{R}).$$

□

The proof uses the following claim which we show afterwards

Claim (*) Given $f \in P$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there is

$\alpha \in P$ such that $(1-\varepsilon)(f:\alpha)J(\alpha) \leq J(f)$
and $(1-\varepsilon)(f_0:\alpha)J(\alpha) \leq J(f_0)$
and similarly for I .

From this chain and $J(f) \leq (f; \alpha) \cdot J(\alpha)$ we

obtain
$$(1-\varepsilon) \frac{(f; \alpha)}{(f_0; \alpha)} \leq \frac{1}{(f_0; \alpha)} \frac{J(f)}{J(\alpha)} \leq \frac{J(f)}{J(f_0)}$$

and
$$(1-\varepsilon) \frac{(f_0; \alpha)}{(f; \alpha)} \leq \frac{J(f_0)}{J(f)} \Rightarrow \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon} \frac{(f; \alpha)}{(f_0; \alpha)} \geq \frac{J(f)}{J(f_0)}$$

whence
$$(1-\varepsilon) \frac{(f; \alpha)}{(f_0; \alpha)} \leq \frac{J(f)}{J(f_0)} \leq \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon} \frac{(f; \alpha)}{(f_0; \alpha)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{J(f)}{J(f_0)} \leq \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon} \frac{(f; \alpha)}{(f_0; \alpha)} \leq \frac{1}{(1-\varepsilon)^2} \frac{J(f)}{J(f_0)} \quad \text{for all } \varepsilon > 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{J(f)}{J(f_0)} \leq \frac{J(f)}{J(f_0)} \quad \text{similarly} \quad \frac{J(f)}{J(f_0)} \leq \frac{I(f)}{I(f_0)} \quad \text{so}$$

The result follows. $\square$

We still need to prove Claim *.

Proof of Claim * We put $f = f_1$, need to show that given $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $\alpha \in P$ such that

$$(1-\varepsilon)(f_j; \alpha) J(\alpha) \leq J(f_j) \quad j=1,0.$$

Put $G = \text{supp}(f_0) \cup \text{supp}(f_1)$, let $\gamma: G \rightarrow [0,1]$, $\gamma \in C_c(G, \mathbb{R})$ with $\gamma(c) = 1$ for all $c \in G$, sp §2.14.

We choose $\delta > 0$ so that $\delta \cdot (\gamma; f_j) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$, $j=0,1$.

Let $V \subseteq G$ be a symmetric identity neighborhood

such that $|f_j(x) - f_j(y)| < \delta$ for $j=0,1$, $x^{-1}y \in V$.

Let $p \in P$ with $\text{supp}(p) \subseteq V$, put $\alpha(x) = p(x) + p(x')$ $\Rightarrow \text{supp}(\alpha) \subseteq V$, $\alpha \in P$, $\alpha(x) = \alpha(x')$.

We claim this is the right α for Claim ②.

Note: these data do not depend on J or I .

Choose $t > 0$ such that $t \cdot J(f_j) \leq \delta J(\alpha)$ $j = 0, 1$.

Choose an open sym. idet neighborhood $W \subseteq G$ with $\bar{W}$ compact, such that $|\alpha(x) - \alpha(y)| < t$ for $x', y \in W$.

Since $G' \subseteq G$ is compact, there are $g_1, \dots, g_m \in G$ with $G' \subseteq g_1 W \cup \dots \cup g_m W$. Put $U_k = g_k W$ and $U_0 = G - G' \Rightarrow G = U_0 \cup \dots \cup U_m$.

Since G is locally compact, there is a partition of

unity $\psi_0, \dots, \psi_m \in C_c(G, \mathbb{R})$, $\psi_k: G \rightarrow [0, 1]$,

$\text{supp}(\psi_k) \subseteq U_k$, $\sum_{k=0}^m \psi_k = 1$, cp Dugundji VIII §4.2

or Euphine §5.1.9.

For $c \in G$ we have then $\sum_{k=1}^m \psi_k(c) = 1$, and thus

$$(1) \quad F_j(x) = \sum_{k=1}^m F_j'(x) \cdot \psi_k(x) \quad \forall x \in G$$

For $x^{-1}y \in V$ we have $f_j(x) - \delta \leq f_j(y)$

[7]

$$\Rightarrow (f_j(x) - \delta) \cdot \alpha(x^{-1}y) \leq f_j(y) \cdot \alpha(x^{-1}y) \quad \forall x, y \in G$$

$$\Rightarrow (2) \quad (f_j(x) - \delta) J(\alpha) \leq J(f_j \cdot (\alpha x^{-1})) \quad \forall x \in G$$

For $y \in U_k$ $g_h^{-1}y = g_h^{-1}x x^{-1}y \in W$, hence

$$\alpha(x^{-1}y) \leq \alpha(x^{-1}g_h) + t = \alpha(g_h^{-1}x) + t$$

$$\Rightarrow \psi_h(y) \alpha(x^{-1}y) \leq \psi_h(y) \alpha(g_h^{-1}x) + t \cdot \psi_h(y) \quad \forall y \in G$$

$$\Rightarrow f_j(y) \cdot \psi_h(y) \cdot \alpha(x^{-1}y) \leq f_j(y) \cdot \psi_h(y) \cdot \alpha(g_h^{-1}x) + t \cdot f_j(y) \psi_h(y)$$

Hence

$$(3) \quad J(f_j \cdot (\alpha x^{-1})) \leq \sum_{h=1}^m J(f_j \cdot \psi_h) \alpha(g_h^{-1}x) + t J(f_j)$$

$$\leq \sum_{h=1}^m J(f_j \cdot \psi_h) \alpha(g_h^{-1}x) + \delta J(\alpha)$$

$$\Rightarrow (f_j(x) - \delta) J(\alpha) \leq \sum_{h=1}^m J(f_j \cdot \psi_h) \alpha(g_h^{-1}x) + \delta J(\alpha)$$

Put $f_j' = \max \{0, f_j - 2\delta\}$ $\Rightarrow f_j' \geq 0, f_j' \in C_c(G, \mathbb{R})$

$$\Rightarrow f_j'(x) J(\alpha) \leq \sum_{h=1}^m J(f_j \cdot \psi_h) \alpha(g_h^{-1}x)$$

$$\Rightarrow (f_j'; \alpha) J(\alpha) \leq \sum_{h=1}^m J(f_j \cdot \psi_h) = J(f_j)$$

and $J(f_j) \leq (f_j : \alpha) J(\alpha)$.

Now $f_j \leq f_j' + 2\delta\gamma$, hence

$$\begin{aligned} (f_j : \alpha) &\leq (f_j' + 2\delta\gamma : \alpha) \leq (f_j' : \alpha) + 2\delta(\gamma : \alpha) \\ &\leq (f_j' : \alpha) + 2\delta(\gamma : f_j)(f_j : \alpha) \\ &\leq (f_j' : \alpha) + \varepsilon(f_j : \alpha) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow (f_j : \alpha)(1 - \varepsilon)J(\alpha) \leq J(f_j)$$



This left invariant integral I , which is unique up to a scalar $s > 0$, is called the Haar integral on the locally compact group G . We write

$$I(f) = \int_G f(g) dg.$$

If G is compact, then the function $f(g) = 1 = \text{const}$ has compact support. In this case we can normalize the Haar integral such that

$$\text{vol}(G) = \int_G 1 dg = 1.$$

73

26. Def Let G be a locally compact group,
 let $a \in G$. Consider for $f \in C_c(G, \mathbb{R})$

$$I_a(f) = \int_G f(ga^{-1}) dg = \int_G (f \circ \beta_{a^{-1}})(g) dg = I(f \circ \beta_{a^{-1}})$$

where $\beta_x(y) = yx$.

Then I_a is a left invariant integral, since

$$\int_G f(bga^{-1}) dg = \int_G (f \circ \beta_{a^{-1}} \circ \lambda_b)(g) dg =$$

$$\int_G (f \circ \beta_{a^{-1}})(g) dg. \quad \text{By Thm §2.25 there is}$$

$$\delta > 0 \text{ such that } I_a = \delta I.$$

We put $\text{mod}(a) = \delta$ and call $\text{mod}(a)$ the modulus of a , and mod the modulus function.

27 Theorem Let G be a locally compact group, let $a \in G$.

Then $\text{mod}(a)$ is independent of the chosen

Haar integral I . The map $\text{mod}: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^*$

is a morphism of topological groups.

proof let J be another left invariant integral.

Then $J = t \cdot I$ for some $t > 0$, and

$$J(f \circ \beta_{a^{-1}}) = t I(f \circ \beta_{a^{-1}}) = t \cdot \text{mod}(a) I(f) = \text{mod}(a) J(f),$$

hence mod is independent of I .

$$\text{For } a, b \in G \text{ we have } I(f \circ \beta_{a^{-1}} \circ \beta_{b^{-1}}) = \text{mod}(b) I(f \circ \beta_{a^{-1}})$$

$$= \text{mod}(b) \text{mod}(a) I(f) = I(f \circ \beta_{b^{-1}a^{-1}}) = I(f \circ \beta_{(ab)^{-1}})$$

$$= \text{mod}(ab) \cdot I(f), \text{ hence } \text{mod} \text{ is a group homomorphism.}$$

In order to show that mod is continuous, it suffices

to show continuity at $e \in G$, cf. Problem 1.1.

We choose $f \in P = \{h \in C_c(G, \mathbb{R}) \mid h \geq 0, h \neq 0\}$

and put $G' = \text{supp}(f)$. Let $U \in G$ be an identity

neighbourhood with $\bar{U}$ compact. Since $G' \bar{U} \subseteq G$ is

compact, there is $\eta : G \rightarrow [0, 1]$, $\eta \in C_c(G, \mathbb{R})$ with

$\eta(x) = 1$ for all $x \in G' \bar{U}$. Let now $\varepsilon > 0$.

Let $V = V_\varepsilon \subseteq U$ be open and symmetric, such that

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \cdot I(\eta) < \varepsilon \cdot I(f) \text{ for } x, y \in V.$$

For $x \in G$, $a \in V$ we have

$|f(xa^{-1}) - f(x)| \cdot I(\gamma) < \varepsilon \cdot \gamma(x) I(f)$, because:

$$\begin{cases} x \in G' \bar{U} \leadsto \gamma(x) = 1 & \text{and } x^{-1}xa^{-1} = a^{-1} \in V \\ x \notin G' \bar{U} \leadsto x \notin G' \text{ and } xa^{-1} \notin G' \leadsto f(xa^{-1}) = 0 = f(x) \end{cases}$$

Hence $|I_a(f) - I(f)| \cdot I(\gamma) \leq \varepsilon I(\gamma) \cdot I(f)$

$\leadsto |\text{mod}(a) - 1| \leq \varepsilon$ for $a \in U$. $\square$

28. Def We call a locally compact group G unimodular if $\text{mod} : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^*$ is constant. This holds if and only if the Haar integral is right invariant, i.e. iff $I(f \circ \rho_a) = I(f)$ for all $a \in G$.

Prop The following types of groups are unimodular.

- (i) abelian locally compact groups
- (ii) compact groups
- (iii) locally compact groups with $\overline{[G, G]} = G$

(recall: $[G, G] = \langle [x, y] \mid x, y \in G \rangle$,

$$[x, y] = xyx^{-1}y^{-1} = xy(gxy^{-1})$$

proof (i) If G is abelian, $\int_{a^{-1}} = \lambda_{a^{-1}}$.

(ii) If G is compact, then $\text{mod}(G) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^*$ is compact. If $t \in \mathbb{R}^*$, $t \neq 1$, then $\langle t \rangle = \{t^n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is unbounded. Hence $\text{mod}(G) = \{1\}$.

(iii) $\mathbb{R}^*$ is abelian, hence $[G, G] \subseteq \ker(\text{mod})$. $\square$

29. Examples (a) $G = \mathbb{R}^m$

$$I(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} f \, d\lambda^m$$

$\uparrow$ Lebesgue measure

is translation invariant, and $\mathbb{R}^m$ is unimodular (abelian).

(b) G a discrete group, $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with compact = finite support

$$I(f) = \sum_{g \in G} f(g) \quad \text{is left and right invariant}$$

$$\sum_{g \in G} f(ag) = \sum_{g \in G} f(g) = \sum_{g \in G} f(ga^{-1})$$

Hence discrete groups are unimodular.

$$(c) \quad G = GL_m \mathbb{R} = \{ g \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m} \mid \det(g) \neq 0 \}$$

Note: G is open in $\mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$. Let $f \in C_c(G, \mathbb{R})$

$$\text{Ansatz: } I(f) = \int_G f \cdot h \, d\lambda^{m \times m}$$

$\uparrow$ Lebesgue measure

for some fixed $h: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. We have for

$a \in G$ the Transformation Formula:

$$\int_G (f \circ \lambda_a) \cdot (h \circ \lambda_a) \cdot |\det(D\lambda_a)| \, d\lambda^{m \times m} =$$

G

$$\int_G f \cdot h \, d\lambda^{m \times m}$$

G

Note: $\lambda_a: \mathbb{R}^{m \times m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ is linear, hence
 $D\lambda_a = \lambda_a$ and $\det(\lambda_a) = \det(a)^m$.

Hence we want $h(xa) |\det(a)|^m = 1$, or

$$h(x) = |\det(x)|^{-m}$$

$$\Rightarrow I(f) = \int_G f(x) \cdot |\det(x)|^{-m} \, d\lambda^{m \times m}(x)$$

This group is unimodular ($\rightarrow$ Exercise)

$$(d) \quad G = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} s & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid s, t \in \mathbb{R}, s > 0 \right\}$$

78

is locally compact and not unimodular
($\rightarrow$ Exercises)

30 Remark For $x, g \in G$ put $\gamma_g(x) = g x g^{-1}$

Then $\gamma: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(G)$, $g \mapsto \gamma_g$

is a homomorphism with kernel $\text{Cent}(G) =$

$$\{ g \in G \mid gx = xg \text{ for all } x \in G \}$$

If G is locally compact, then

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{I}(f \circ \gamma_a) &= \int_G f(ag a^{-1}) dg = \int_G f(g a^{-1}) dg \\ &= \text{mod}(a) \underline{I}(f) \end{aligned}$$

Thus mod factors as

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G & \xrightarrow{\text{mod}} & \mathbb{R}^* \\ \eta \downarrow & \nearrow & \\ G/\text{Cent}(G) & & \end{array}$$